

DEDICATION

To my wife, Debra Kamanga whose high achieving spirit gave me the resolve to complete this work and to all women who have died or have suffered complications of unsafe abortions because they have no access to a safe abortion.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank the following:

1. **Dr Lackson Kasonka**, my consultant and supervisor for his patient guidance and support at all stages in the preparation of this dissertation.
2. **Dr. Christine Kaseba** who provided the ideas on which this dissertation is based.
3. **Dr Belington Vwallika** for his encouragement and provision of some materials for preparation of this dissertation.
4. **Mr. Yusuf Ahmed** for the encouragement and detailed editing. I am greatly indebted.
5. **Dr Makasa** of Lusaka DHMT and all the management heads of the private institutions for the permission to conduct this study in their institutions.
6. All the research assistants who made this study possible

STATEMENT

I HEREBY STATE THAT THIS DISSERTATION IS ENTIRELY THE RESULT OF MY OWN PERSONAL EFFORT. THE VARIOUS SOURCES TO WHICH I AM INDEBTED HAVE BEEN CLEARLY INDICATED IN THE BIBLIOGRAPHY AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

SIGNED:.....

DR. AMECK ARIEL KAMANGA

DECLARATION

I DECLARE THAT THIS DISSERTATION HEREIN PRESENTED FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF MEDICINE IN OBSTETRICS AND GYNAECOLOGY HAS NOT BEEN PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED EITHER WHOLLY OR IN PART FOR ANY OTHER DEGREE AT THIS OR ANY OTHER UNIVERSITY NOR IS IT BEING CURRENTLY SUBMITTED FOR ANY OTHER DEGREE.

SIGNED:.....

DR. AMECK ARIEL KAMANGA

APPROVED BY:.....

DR. LACKSON KASONKA (SUPERVISOR)

APPROVAL

THIS DISSERTATION OF DR AMECK ARIEL KAMANGA IS APPROVED AS
FULFILLING PART OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF
THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF MEDICINE IN OBSTETRICS AND
GYNAECOLOGY BY THE UNIVERSITY OF ZAMBIA.

SIGNATURE

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

Unsafe abortions contribute about one third to the maternal mortality in Zambia. The maternal mortality ratio in Zambia stands at 729/100000 live births. The millennium target for Zambia is 162/100000 live births. There are a high number of referrals to UTH for incomplete abortions which previously have been demonstrated to be mostly induced. Health workers in peripheral clinics were implicated as part of the barriers in part, due to their negative attitude and as providers of illegal and unsafe abortion services to these clients. This study assessed the knowledge of the law, the attitudes towards and the extent to which health workers in Lusaka provide abortion services.

METHODS

A pre tested self administered questionnaire with both open and closed ended questions was used to collect data from a conveniently sampled group of health workers from Lusaka DHMT facilities and in five established private clinics.

RESULTS

Of the 141 responses included for analysis, 4% were from doctors, 9% from clinical officers, 32% from midwives, 46% from general nurses, 2% from pharmacists and 5% from other health staff such as laboratory technicians, radiographers and environmental health technicians. Fifty five percent demonstrated good knowledge of the Zambian abortion law while twenty nine percent had no knowledge of its existence. Fifty nine percent did not support laws that allowed abortions at all while 9% supported only in certain circumstances and 32% supported these laws in most circumstances. Religion was the basis for not supporting laws that allowed abortions in about 90% of those that were against abortions. 70% of respondents had had contact with a client seeking an abortion and only 3% admitted to have provided an abortion to such a client. 25% referred the client to a doctor, 4% referred her to another colleague but 70% turned the client away after some form of counseling.

CONCLUSION

There are gaps in the knowledge and understanding of the Zambian abortion law among health workers in both Lusaka DHMT and private institutions. Provision of an elective abortion for reasons other than that of saving a woman's life is generally not accepted. Fewer health workers provide abortion service than literature suggests.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEDICATION	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	ii
STATEMENT	iii
DECLARATION.....	iv
APPROVAL.....	v
ABSTRACT.....	vi
CONTENTS.....	vii
LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES.....	viii
ABBREVIATIONS.....	ix
1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
2. LITERATURE REVIEW.....	4
3. STATEMENT OF PROBLEM.....	9
4. AIM OF THE STUDY.....	9
5. OBJECTIVES.....	9
6. SIGNIFICANCY OF THE STUDY.....	9
7. METHODS.....	10
8. RESULTS.....	16
9. DISCUSSION.....	42
10. STUDY LIMITATIONS.....	48
11. CONCLUSIONS.....	49
12. RECOMMENDATIONS.....	50
13. REFERENCES.....	51
14. APPENDICES.....	56

TABLES AND FIGURES

TABLES

Table 1. Questionnaire distribution and response	16
Table 2. Demographic data of participants.....	17
Table 3. Overall knowledge of the law among respondents by institution.....	18
Table 4. Overall Level of knowledge of the law among respondents by health cadre.....	20
Table 5. Level of knowledge by number of years in service.....	21
Table 6. Level of knowledge of whether the current law allows abortion	22
Table 7. Knowledge of the circumstance under which abortion is allowed	22
Table 8. Attitudes towards laws that allow abortion	23
Table 9. Reasons for attitudes towards abortion.....	24
Table 10. Circumstances supported for abortion	25
Table 11. Maximum gestation age supported for abortion	26
Table 12. Attitudes towards laws allowing other cadres to provide abortions.....	27
Table 13. Reasons for attitudes towards laws allowing other cadres providing abortions.....	28
Table 14. Willingness to be trained on managing clients requesting abortion.....	29
Table 15. Reasons for attitudes towards training on abortion.....	30
Table 16. Attitudes among different denominations	31
Table 17. Contact with client requesting for an abortion	32
Table 18. Action taken by those who had contact	33
Table 19. Summary of the circumstances, methods and cadre that performed abortion.....	34
Table 3 (S1). Sensitivity analysis of results in table 3	36
Table 3(S2). Sensitivity analysis of results in table 3 public institution.....	37
Table 3 (S3). Sensitivity analysis of results in table 3 private institution.....	37
Table 6(S). Sensitivity analysis of results in table 6.....	38
Table 8(S). Sensitivity analysis of results in table 8.....	39
Table 12(S) Sensitivity analysis of results in table 12.....	40
Table 14(S) Sensitivity analysis of results in table 14.....	40

Table 17(S) Sensitivity analysis of results in table 17.....41

FIGURES

Figure 1. Overall Level of knowledge of the law.....19

Figure 2. Comparing overall level of knowledge of the among respondents from private and public sector19

Figure 3. Knowledge of the law among different health cadre.....20

Figure 4. Level of knowledge by number of years in service.....21

Figure 5. Attitudes towards abortion.....23

Figure 6. Maximum gestation age supported for abortion.....26

Figure 7. Attitudes among different denominations31

Figure 8. Level of contact of the participants.....32

ABBREVIATIONS

CSO	Central Statistical Office
DHMT	District Health Management Team
MVA	Manual Vacuum Aspiration
MDG	Millennium Development Goal
PAC	Post Abortion Care
QA/QC	Quality Control/Quality Assurance
RCZ	Reformed Church in Zambia
SDA	Seventh Day Adventist
TOP	Termination Of Pregnancy
UTH	University Teaching Hospital
UNICEF	United Nations International Children's Education Fund
UCZ	United Church of Zambia
ZDHS	Zambia Demographic and Health Survey
ZMA	Zambia Medical Association