

A STUDY ON PREVALENCE AND RISK FACTORS OF RENAL DYSFUNCTION AMONG HOSPITALIZED HIV INFECTED ADULT PATIENTS AT THE UNIVERSITY TEACHING HOSPITAL, LUSAKA

Justor Banda, MBChB

A dissertation submitted to the University of Zambia in fulfillment of the requirement of the degree in Masters of Medicine (Internal Medicine)

University of Zambia

2011

I

DECLARATION

I declare that this dissertation is my own work. It is being submitted for the Master's degree in Internal Medicine at the University of Zambia, Lusaka. It has not been submitted before for any degree or examination at this or any other University.

Signed
Student
Signed
Supervisor
Signed
Head of Department

© 2011 by Justor Banda. All rights reserved

ABSTRACT

Background- Despite the highest disease burden of HIV, Sub-Saharan Africa has limited data on HIV related kidney disease in the region. Kidney disease is a recognised complication in HIV infected patients, presenting with either can be acute renal failure (ARF) or chronic kidney disease (CKD). This study investigated the prevalence and factors associated with renal dysfunction among hospitalised HIV infected patients at the University Teaching Hospital (UTH), Lusaka.

Methodology- This was a cross sectional study that was conducted at the University Teaching Hospital Lusaka, Zambia. Enrolment of all eligible participants started from August 2010 to October, 2010. Inclusion criteria were hospitalised patients aged 16years and above who consented to the study. Qualified HIV counselors were used to counsel the patients. After obtaining demographic information, study participants were screened for HIV upon their consenting for the test. A full clinical history and examination was done by study physician to determine the factors associated with renal dysfunction.

Results- Of the 300 recruited hospitalised patients in this cross sectional study, 154(51%) were males. In HIV infected patients mean SD was 35.6(9.5) and 44.1(20.0) in uninfected HIV infected subjects. Our study observed a significantly higher prevalence of renal dysfunction among hospitalised HIV infected patients (42%) compared to (27%) among uninfected HIV patients p=0.006 and 2fold increased likelihood of developing kidney dysfunction; 1.96(1.21-3.17). WHO stage III was associated with renal dysfunction in HIV infected patients. Tenofovir a first line antiretroviral drug in Zambia wasn't associated with renal dysfunction. Mean arterial pressure (MAP) <65mmHg was not associated with kidney disease in multivariable analysis.

Conclusion-Renal dysfunction is significantly higher among hospitalised HIV infected compared to uninfected patients

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would to thank the following for their support and contributions;

My supervisor Dr Shabir Lakhi, who supported me all the way throughout this dissertation and my career

Dr Aggrey Mweemba as my supervisor and role model since medical school

Prof Seta Siziya for his help and guidance

Dr Ben Andrews for guiding me throughout this research

Professor Naicker for deepening my understanding about nephrology at Johannesburg Hospital

Dr Peter Mwaba for his continued support since my medical career

Department of Internal Medicine staff, University Teaching Hospital

Kawelwa Mwale and Agrippa Lungu for their dedication as research assistants

Theresa Banda my sister for providing me with the support and parental guidance throughout my education

My wife Kabwe Kanjele Banda for supporting me throughout this research

I also thank Vanderbilt University and Ministry of Health for financial support to do this study

Finally I would like to thank the patients in the study without whose participation this study would not have been possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

CHAPTER 1	1
Introduction	1
CHAPTER 2	2
Literature review	2
CHAPTER 3	8
Study justification	8
Objectives	9
CHAPTER 4	10
Materials and methods	10
CHAPTER 5	16
Results	16
CHAPTER 6	26
Discussion	26
Study limitation	28
CHAPTER 7	29
Conclusion	29
Recommendation	29
References	30
APPENDICES	34
Information sheet	
Data collection sheet	
Ethical approval	

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

Figure 1	Patient's flow chart	page	11
Table 1	Baseline characteristics of HIV positive and HIV negative		
	Participants	page	17
Table 2	Laboratory characteristics of HIV positive and HIV-negative		
	Subjects	page	18
Table 3	Factors associated with renal dysfunction in HIV positive subjects	page	20
Table 4	Factors associated with renal dysfunction in HIV-negative		
	Subjects	page	21
Table 5	Factors associated with renal dysfunction in HIV positive cohort, multivariable logistic regression	page	22
Table 6	Factors associated with renal dysfunction in		
	HIV-negative cohort, multivariable logistic regression	page	23
Table 7.1	Laboratory findings in HIV positive patients with renal		
	dysfunction	page	24
Table 7.2	Laboratory findings in HIV-negative patients with renal		
	dysfunction	page	25

GLOSSARY

ACEI	Angiotensin Converting Enzyme Inhibitor			
ADQI	Acute Dialysis Quality Initiative			
AIDS	Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome			
AKI	Acute Kidney Injury			
ALT	Alanine aminotransferase			
ATN	Acute Tubular Necrosis			
ARF	Acute Renal Failure			
ART	Anti Retroviral Therapy			
ARB	Angiotensin Receptor Blocker			
AST	Aspartate aminotransferase			
AZT	Zidovudine			
CKD	Chronic Kidney Disease			
CVA	Cerebrovascular accident			
DBP	Diastolic Blood Pressure			
DM	Diabetes Mellitus			
ESKD	End Stage Kidney Disease			
FBS	Fasting blood sugar			
GFR	Glomerular Filtration Rate			
HAART	Highly Active Antiretroviral Treatment			
HB	Hemoglobin			
HIVAN	HIV Associated Nephropathy			
HTN	Hypertension			

MAP	Mean Arterial Pressure				
MOD	Multiple Organ Dysfunction				
МОН	Ministry of Health				
NSAIDS	Non Steroid Anti Inflammatory Drugs				
OI	Opportunistic Infections				
OR	Odds Ratio				
РСР	Pneumocystis Carinii Pneumonia				
PTID	Patient Information Identification Number				
RBS	Random blood sugar				
RIFLE	Risk Injury Failure Loss and End stage				
RRT	Renal Replacement Therapy				
SD	Standard Deviation				
SBP	Systolic Blood Pressure				
UTH	University Teaching Hospital				
UPCR	Urine Protein Creatinine Ratio				
UTI	Urinary Tract Infection				
WHO	World Health Organization				
WBC	White Blood Count				

CHAPTER 1

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Renal disease disproportionately affects patients living with HIV. Although Sub-Saharan Africa endures over 60% of the world's burden of HIV disease, there is limited data in the region on HIV related kidney disease, with most available data coming from the developed countries [1, 2]. Kidney disease is a recognised complication in HIV infected patients whose presentation can be acute renal failure (ARF) or chronic kidney disease (CKD) [3].

The few outpatient renal screening studies that have been done in Sub-Saharan Africa describe varying prevalence ranging between 6% to almost 50%. Screening outpatient studies in South Africa reported 6% and 5.5% renal dysfunction, 33.5% in Zambia and 48.5% in Uganda [4]. In hospitalised HIV infected patients, prevalence is up to 10 times higher and carries high mortality. This can be explained by differences in criterion employed in defining kidney dysfunction, study designs used and the subject population studied.

Prevalence and risk factors of renal dysfunction among hospitalised HIV infected patients remains unknown in developing countries like Zambia. In 2009, a prevalence of renal dysfunction of 33.5% among HIV infected outpatients commencing Highly Active Antiretroviral Treatment (HAART) in Lusaka, Zambia was found. It is estimated that more than 574 000 HIV infected patients may well have renal disease [5]. Zambia like most Sub-Saharan countries has limited facilities offering renal replacement therapy (RRT) with only one public Haemodialysis Unit with limited machines. The study thus investigated the prevalence and factors associated with renal dysfunction among hospitalised HIV infected and uninfected patients at the University Teaching Hospital (UTH), Lusaka.

CHAPTER 2

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

HIV infected patients are affected by a spectrum of renal diseases that include ARF, electrolyte imbalances and acid base disorders, glomerular diseases, CKD and side effects that come with HIV treatment [3].

2.1 Prevalence and incidence

Incidence and prevalence of renal dysfunction varies in developed countries and is a major complication in HAART naïve and HAART experienced hospitalised HIV infected patients [4]. The Incidence of ARF in developed countries is between 5% and 20%. For example, a London study found an incidence of 5.7% in new HIV care patients and the risk of developing ARF was 10 times higher during the first three months of HIV care whereas a New York study showed increased incidence from 2.9% to 6%. The likelihood of developing kidney dysfunction was three times more likely among HIV infected than in HIV negative patients [6, 38].

Lopez *et al.* found prevalence of 47% renal dysfunction in hospitalised HIV infected patients in Portugal. The overall mortality was 43% and was higher among patients with ARF and 95% died within the first month of hospitalization [7]. Wyatt *et al.* in New York found renal dysfunction common among hospitalized HIV infected patients in both pre-HAART and post HAART patients and rare among HIV infected out patients [8, 20]. CKD prevalence among HIV infected patients in developed countries ranges between 5.5% and 8.7% and higher in those of African ethnicity [6].

In Sub-Saharan Africa, prevalence of renal dysfunction shows varying trends as well. Muloma *et al.* in an outpatient screening study among 219 antiretroviral naive patients attending an HIV clinic in Kenya found one in four HIV patients had kidney dysfunction. In Uganda, 48.5% participants attending HIV clinic had renal insufficiency [4, 9]. In Nigeria, prevalence for CKD ranges from 8-12% among hospitalised HIV infected patients [10] and 26% in Cote d'Ivoire (4). The definition criterion for renal dysfunction in these studies was based on Glomerular Filtration Rate and proteinuria.

In Zambia, Mulenga *et al.* found 33.4% renal insufficiency at initiation of HAART with increased mortality in a cohort study of outpatient HIV naive patients in eighteen primary public health care facilities in Lusaka [5].

2.2 Risk factors of renal dysfunction among HIV infected patients

There are various risk factors predisposing HIV infected patients to developing renal dysfunction. This development happens by and large due to the same reasons as in non HIV infected. However, certain factors are exclusive to HIV and the incidence is higher in HIV patients [11]. The causes of renal disease in HIV patients can be community or hospital acquired but hospitalised patients have a higher risk of developing renal dysfunction (up to ten times more common) with worse outcomes[3].

Volume depleting conditions are a major cause of pre renal failure in HIV patients due to chronic gastroenteritis among HIV infected patients [12, 23, 26]. Hypotension causes reduced mean arterial pressure (MAP) leading to Acute Tubular Necrosis (ATN) [4, 30, 38]. The causes of reduced MAP among hospitalised HIV infected patients include gastroenteritis, sepsis with shock and dehydration. Disordered renal regulations of salt and water balance such as adrenal insufficiency and tubular dysfunction are also contributory to volume depletion conditions and hypotension among HIV infected patients [12, 13]. Gastroenteritis is caused by opportunistic infections, malabsorption and drug treatments for HIV and related opportunistic infections. Opportunistic infections (OIs) causing chronic diarrhoea are cryptosporidiosis, isospora belli, microsporidiosis, mycobacterium avium complex (MAC) and malignancies affecting the gastrointestinal tract [13, 14].

Infections are a leading cause of severe renal dysfunction in studies among HIV infected patients. AIDS leads to impaired immunity in HIV infected patients [11]. Rao and Freidman observed sepsis induced renal dysfunction in half of the hospitalised patients [15]. Among ninety seven critically ill hospitalised HIV-infected in Portugal, 47.4% had renal dysfunction and sepsis was the leading cause. In multivariable analysis, age > 60years, severity of illness and hepatitis C were independent predictors of renal dysfunction [7].

In a London study, the common infections in early setting ARF were AIDS defining illnesses such as cryptoccocal meningitis, pneumocystis carinii pneumonia (PCP) and toxoplasmosis. Bacterial infections were associated with late onset ARF [16]. In other studies, sepsis was associated with 52% renal dysfunction, the majority of which were AIDs associated infections. The common sites of infections were the central nervous system (meningitis, encephalitis, pneumonias, lung abscesses, gastroenteritis, pancreatitis, cholangitis and other skin infections. The most isolated organisms were Cryptococcus neoformans, Pneumocystis carinii jiroveci, Cytomegalovirus, Herpes simplex virus, Mycobacterium tuberculosis, and Mycobacterium avium complex and Staphylococcus aureus [14]. In other studies, non infective diseases such as liver diseases were a major cause of renal dysfunction in hospitalised HIV positive patients [14, 17, 20].

Medications prescribed to HIV patients may also cause renal dysfunction [8, 20, 26]. Some of these medications include HAART, medications for treatment or prophylaxis of OIs and herbal medications. For example, tenofovir disoproxil fumerate is a first line antiretroviral drug in Zambia and has been linked to renal dysfunction though the incidence is very low [5, 18] (MOH guidelines 2007). Amphotericin B is used for treatment of cryptococcus neoformans which is the most common cause of meningitis at UTH [19]. Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole, a drug used for pneumocystis carinii pneumonia prophylaxis among HIV patients with CD4 count below 350cells/mm³ and treatment, can cause renal dysfunction [18].

HIV patients with CD4 counts below 200cells/mm³ are more predisposed to development of renal dysfunction. In Franceschini study, sixty nine episodes of renal dysfunction occurred among 39 patients with CD4 counts <200cell/mm³ compared to forty renal dysfunctions episodes among 30 patients with CD4 counts 200cells/mm³ [14, 20-26].

Patients with higher viral load are significantly at risk of developing renal dysfunction among hospitalised patients [14, 26]. Franceschini *et al.* found increased renal dysfunction among hospitalised patients, HIV RNA >10,000copies/ml (mean log10 HIV RNA 4.2 1.3 versus 3.4 1.3, respectively, p< 0.00001). WHO stages III and IV of the disease have also been associated with renal dysfunction among HIV infected patients in Zambia [5].

Malnutrition, age, and severity of HIV disease were common risk factors in hospitalised HIV patients with kidney dysfunction in a Nigerian study [10, 26]. Anaemia is also associated with renal dysfunction among HIV infected patients and contributes significantly to morbidity and mortality [5, 10, 26].

2.3 Mortality and renal dysfunction in hospitalised HIV infected patients

Mortality rates are high in HIV patients with renal disease. They range from 25% to 80% depending on the cause of kidney injury and co-morbidities in the patient [20].

Although reversible with RRT, development of renal dysfunction carries high mortality in HIV infected patients compared to non-HIV infected patients [3]. Of the 700 patients admitted with ARF at the Johannesburg Hospital, almost 20% had HIV and mortality was 20% among the HIV infected patients [4, 26]. At the University Teaching Hospital Haemodialysis Unit in Lusaka, mortality was 34.4% among 58 hospitalised HIV infected patients with renal dysfunction in the first half of the 2009 (unpublished UTH audit 2009).

In other studies, established CKD at HAART initiation was associated with higher mortality independent of HIV related risk factors for death. HIV infected patients with kidney dysfunction were twice likely to die compared to those with normal kidneys [4, 39].

Development of ARF is a strong predictor of in-hospital mortality in HIV infected patients. Moreover, CKD in HIV infected is also associated with development of ARF and in-hospital mortality [17].

2.4 Definition of renal dysfunction

2.4.1 Acute Renal Failure definition

Despite some advances in treatment and understanding pathogenesis of ARF, definition has been a subject with controversy, confusion and lack of consensus. Lack of standard definition has also resulted in varying reported incidences and mortality. There are more than 30 ARF definitions in literature that have been used today. To establish a uniform definition, Acute Dialysis Quality Initiative (ADQI) was formed and represents efforts of a workgroup seeking to develop a consensus definition and evidence based statement in the field of ARF [27]. ADQI identified a definition and classification of ARF called RIFLE criteria. RIFLE defines three levels of severity of ARF based on changes in serum creatinine or urine output from baseline condition. A RIFLE criterion is a new system of classification from an evidence appraisal and expert opinion.

'RIFLE' stands for:

Risk is 1.5x increases in serum creatinine from normal or GFR decrease by 25% or urine output less than 0.5ml/kg per hour for 6 hours. Injury is 2 x increases in serum creatinine from normal, or GFR decrease by 50% or urine output less than 0.5ml/kg per hour for 12hours.

Failure 3x serum creatinine increase from normal or GFR decrease by 75% or urine output less than 0.5ml/kg per hour for 24hours.

Loss and End stage are outcome parameters.

Concerns on RIFLE criterion were on use of small changes in serum creatinine and urine output. Others pointed that 50% increment in creatinine was conservative and that smaller changes in creatinine were important. Despite this, RIFLE has been used in over 100 000 studies in nephrology and critical care literature [28, 29].

2.4.2 Definition of CKD

According to National Kidney Foundation, CKD is evidence of kidney damage that persists for \geq 3months. Severity of damage is graded according to renal function on basis of GFR or creatinine clearance into five stages [21].

CKD stage 1 is kidney damage with normal or increased GFR above 90ml/min/1.73m².

CKD stage 2 is kidney damage with mild or reduced GFR ranging 60-89ml/min/1.73m²

CKD stage 3 is kidney damage with moderate GFR ranging 30-59ml/min/1.73m²

CKD stage 4 is kidney damage with severe GFR ranging 15-29ml/min/1.73m²

CKD stage 5 is ESKD with GFR below 15ml/min/1.73m² and in need of permanent renal replacement therapy in form of dialysis [21].

CHAPTER 3

3.0 STUDY JUSTIFICATION

Prevalence and factors associated with renal dysfunction among Hospitalised HIV infected patients in UTH and the rest of Zambia is unknown. Renal dysfunction also seems to be high among hospitalised HIV infected patients at the University Teaching Hospital and is a major cause of morbidity and mortality. The factors may be worse in HIV infected than HIV-negative patients. There has been no study in Zambia that has investigated the prevalence and factors associated with renal dysfunction among hospitalized HIV infected patients. ARF is reversible if diagnosis and intervention is early enough. Treatment of ARF is temporal and cheaper whereas treatment of CKD is long term and more expensive worldwide. Also progression of CKD to ESKD can also be delayed with early diagnosis and intervention.

The information generated would shed light on the burden of renal dysfunction among HIV patients in Zambia and be helpful for policy makers and clinicians to formulate guidelines for prevention, treatment and intervention of renal dysfunction. This will reduce the number of patients developing renal dysfunction and in long run reduce mortality, morbidity and costs associated with renal dysfunction. Therefore the study will add knowledge to the field of medicine in Zambia.

3.1 Research Hypothesis

Our research hypothesis was that HIV infected patients have a higher prevalence of renal dysfunction than non-HIV infected patients.

3.2 GENERAL OBJECTIVE

To investigate prevalence of renal dysfunction and the associated factors in hospitalized HIV infected and uninfected patients in UTH.

3.2.1 Specific Objectives

- To determine the proportion of renal dysfunction in hospitalized HIV and non-HIV infected patients in UTH admission wards and Adult filter Clinic.
- To determine the factors associated with renal dysfunction among hospitalized HIV infected and HIV-negative patients.
- To compare the prevalence of renal dysfunction in hospitalized HIV and non-HIV infected patients.

CHAPTER 4

4.0 STUDY DESIGNS AND METHODS

This was a cross sectional study that was conducted at the University Teaching Hospital, Lusaka, Zambia. Enrolment of all eligible participants took place from August, 2010 to October, 2010. All eligible hospitalised patients at AFC and Phase V medical admission wards were recruited to the study after consenting. Selection of participants was by convenient sampling of every consecutive patient that was admitted from Monday to Saturday in the day time. A maximum of ten hospitalised patients were enrolled to the study in a day. Using a data collection sheet, information on age, race, gender, and marital status was obtained. Patients' data was obtained within 48hours of hospitalisation to the admission wards. Study participants were screened for HIV upon their consenting for the test. Qualified HIV counselors were used to counsel consenting patients before an HIV test. A full clinical history and clinical examination was done by study physician who then assessed risk factors for renal dysfunction as well as clinical presentation (see appendix).

4.1 Inclusion Criteria

- Hospitalized patients admitted to the University Teaching Hospital medical admission wards (Adult filter clinic and phase V) who agreed to participate in the study were recruited
- Aged 16years and above

4.2 Sample size

Using a prevalence of 20% of renal dysfunction among hospitalized HIV infected patients and 8% among non HIV infected, sample size was calculated using the Pocock's formula. A minimum sample size of 141 HIV positive and 141 uninfected patients was adequate to detect a difference at 0.05 significant levels

$\mathbf{N} = [\mathbf{P}_1 (100 - \mathbf{P}_1) + \mathbf{P}_2 (100 - \mathbf{P}_2)]$

$$(\mathbf{P}_1 - \mathbf{P}_2)^2 \mathbf{x} \mathbf{f}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$$

 $\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{20}(\mathbf{100}\text{-}\mathbf{20}) + \mathbf{8}(\mathbf{100}\text{-}\mathbf{8})$

$$12^2 \times 7.85 = 127$$

P₁= prevalence in Hospitalized HIV infected patients

 P_2 = prevalence in non-HIV

Figure 1 Participants flow chart



4.3 Ethical consideration

The protocol for this study was approved by the UNZA Biomedical Research Ethics Committee, ethics clearance certificate number 026-04-10. An informed and signed consent was obtained from the patients or next of kin. Details about the benefits or harm related to the study were explained to the participant or next of kin. Additionally, issues of confidentiality and procedures were explained as well. Study participants were assured of confidentiality.

4.4 Procedures

4.4.1 Biochemistry and hematology

Blood and urine samples assessing renal function were collected soon after patient assessment and hospitalisation. Creatinine samples were collected in heparinised tubes while urine samples in plain bottles. EDTA containing tubes were used to collect Complete Blood Counts (CBC) and CD4 counts. An Olympus AU 400 analyzer was used for determination of biochemistry parameters. A PENTRA 80 and XT-2000 was used to determine CBC and Fax caliber to determine CD4 counts.

4.4.2 Serum Creatinine

Serum creatinine was measured using the modified Jaffe method. In alkaline solution, creatinine forms a yellow orange complex with picrate and this colour intensity is directly proportional to the creatinine concentration. This was measured spectrophotometrically at 510nm. The normal range is 60-120micromole/l.

4.4.3 Serum albumin

Serum albumin was measured by a colorimetric assay using an end point method. Albumin binds with bromocresol green (ionic dye stuff) at a pH value of 4.1 to form a blue-green complex. The colour intensity of the blue-green colour is directly proportional to the albumin concentration and this was determined spectrophotometrically at 510nm. Normal values are 34–48g/l.

4.4.4 Serum urea

Urea was measured by the decrease in NADH absorbance per unit time. Urea is hydrolyzed by urease to produce carbon dioxide and ammonia. The produced ammonia combines with 2-oxogluterate and NADH yielding glutamate and NAD⁺.

4.4.5 Random Blood sugar

An Accu-chek active 2001 glucometer was used to measure the random blood sugar during patient assessment. Patients' history of diabetes mellitus was noted in those already diagnosed with diabetes mellitus.

4.4.6 Blood pressure measurement

Blood pressure (BP) measurement was recorded non-invasively in the arm at the brachial area. MAP was calculated as diastolic blood pressure plus one third pulse pressure. Patient's history of hypertension as well as duration was noted in those already diagnosed with hypertensive disease.

4.5 Study definitions

4.5.1 Renal dysfunction

For the purpose of this study renal dysfunction was defined as rise of serum creatinine 1.5x upper limit of normal i.e. \geq 180micromole/l with or without decrement in urine output. (Zambia Laboratory Services/UTH normal values for creatinine is 60-120micromole/l)

4.5.2 Sepsis and MOD

Sepsis was defined according to the ACCP/SCCM Consensus Conference as a focus of infection with two or more of the following parameters:

- temperature >38 °C or <36 °C
- heart rate >90beats /min
- respiratory rate >20breaths/min

• White cell count >1200 mm³ or <4000/ mm³

Patients with more than two dysfunctional organs were considered to have multi-organ dysfunction (MOD).

4.6 Variables

The following were explanatory variables for this study:

4.6.1 Independent variables

- Sex
- Sepsis(as defined above)
- Age
- Herbal medications
- diarrhoea
- Drugs (NSAIDs, Septrin, Tenofovir, Amphotericin, ACEI/ARB, others)
- WHO stage of HIV (I,II,III,IV)
- CD4 count
 - <200cells/ mm³

200-350cells/ mm³

>350 cells/ mm³

- Hypertension (\geq 140/90mmHg)
- Diabetes Mellitus (Fasting of 7.8mmol/l or RBS of 11.1mmol/l)

4.6.2 Dependant variable

• Renal dysfunction as defined above was the dependant variable.

4.7 Statistical analyses

Data was collected onto a hard copy data entry sheet. Each participant had a patient identification (PTID) number. The data from the hard copy entry sheet was then transferred to a data entry programme on *epi info* version 3.5.1.dataset computer.

All statistical analysis was performed using *epi info* version 3.5.1 dataset. We used frequency tables to describe both categorical and quantitative variables. For continuous variables, means and percentages were used to discuss participants and the student t-test was used to test for significance. For categorical variables, frequencies, proportions and percentages were used to discuss participants and chi-square test was used to assess association between the variables. A step wise multivariable logistic regression was used to determine the independent factors associated with renal dysfunction among hospitalised HIV infected and non-HIV infected patients. We began with albumin <35g/dl, amphotericin B, anemia, CD4 count, diarrhoea, any HAART, tenofovir based regimen, MAP below 65mmhg, NSAIDS, septrin, vomiting and WHO stage III/IV. Variables with highest p values (p>0.5) were eliminated in step wise manner. A p value of less than 0.05 was taken as statistically significant.

CHAPTER 5

5.0 **RESULTS**

5.1 Social-demographic characteristics of the participants

Patient demographics and clinical findings are shown in table 1 and described briefly here. Of the 300 recruited hospitalised patients in this cross sectional study, 154(51%) were males. Because continuous variables other than age had a non-Gaussian distribution, intergroup differences in medians of the variables were compared using Kruskal-Wallis test for non parametric distributions. Patients age ranged from 16 to 91years with mean of 40.1(standard deviation of 16.4); in HIV infected patients mean SD was 35.6(9.5) and 44.1(20.0) in HIV-negative subjects. Of the entire group, 204(68%) were married, 11(3.7%) divorced, 54(8%) single and 31(10.3) widowed. only 2(0.7%) patients were non-black.

5.2 Clinical characteristics of HIV and non-HIV infected subjects

There was significant hypertension among the HIV negative patients compared to HIV positive patients. Of the total 41(14%) hypertensive patients, 35(22%) were HIV negative and 6(4%) were HIV positive patients; p<0.001. Systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure were significantly higher (p<0.001) among the HIV-negative patients. The mean duration of hypertension was 6 and 5 years among the HIV-negative and positive patients respectively.

Regarding nephrotoxic drugs, there was significant use of septrin among HIV infected patients compared to HIV negative p< 0.001. ACEI/ARB and NSAIDs were used significantly in HIV uninfected patients p < 0.001. Septrin is used as a prophylactic drug for OI in HIV infected patients. Among the HIV-negative on NSAIDS, 29(50%) were on ASA, 21(36%) on brufen and the 8(13%) on diclofenac sodium. Of the total 29patients with heart failure, 18(62%) were on 75mg ASA (aspirin) as an anti-platelets drug. Only 3 of 300 (1%) were using herbal medications prior to admission.

Significantly more HIV positive hospitalised patients experienced vomiting and diarrhoea compared to the non HIV infected patients with p=0.006 and 0.003 for vomiting and diarrhoea

respectively. All the 12 HIV negative patients had acute diarrhoea of less than 14days. Among the 27 HIV positive, 10 had chronic diarrhoea lasting more than 14days.

	Total	HIV+	HIV-	p-value
	(n=300)	(n=142)	(n=158)	
Age, mean (SD)	40.1 (16.4)	35.6 (9.5)	44.1 (20)	0.005
Male	154 (51)	80 (56)	74 (47)	0.10
Diarrhea	39 (13)	27 (19)	12 (8)	0.003
Vomiting	49 (16)	32 (23)	17(11)	0.006
Anuria	13 (4)	6 (4)	7 (4)	0.93
Diabetes	20 (7)	4 (3)	16 (10)	0.01
Hypertension	41 (14)	6 (4)	35 (22)	< 0.001
SBP mean (SD)	113 (30)	105(17)	120(36)	< 0.001
DBP mean SD	71 (19)	66(13)	75(22)	< 0.001
Pulse mean (SD)	95(12)	97(12)	93(12)	0.0038
Temperature mean (SD)	37(1)	37(1)	37(1)	0.02
MAP <65mmHg	32(10.7)	21(14.8)	11(7)	0.028
Nephrotoxic drugs				
NSAIDs	86(29)	28(20)	58(37)	< 0.001
ACEI/ARB	54(18)	2(1.4)	52(33)	< 0.001
Septrin	111 (37)	110 (78)	1 (0.6)	< 0.001
Tenofovir	51(17)	51(35.9)	0	< 0.001
Amphotericin B	6(2)	6(4.2)	0	0.009
Heart failure	29(9.7)	1(0.7)	28(17.7)	< 0.001
CVA	19(6.3)	2(1.4)	17(10.8)	< 0.001
Sepsis	91(30.3	62(43.7)	29(18.4)	< 0.001
Malignancy	16(5.3)	10(7)	6(3.8)	0.212
Anemia <8g/dl	102(34)	57(40)	45(28)	0.033
Creatinine >180	103 (34)	60 (42)	43 (27)	0.006
micromole/l				

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of HIV positive and HIV negative subjects

* Variables expressed as SD otherwise in %

Sepsis was significantly higher among the HIV infected patients compared to HIV-negative patients (p<0.001). The causes of sepsis among the HIV positive patients were meningitis 27(43%), pneumonias 21(34%), encephalitis 9 (14%) and others 5 (8%).

Of the total 29 sepsis HIV-negative patients, 11(38%) had pneumonia, 5(17%) meningitis, 4(13%) encephalitis and 9(31%) others. Included among other sepsis causes were patients with infected ulcers, Urinary Tract Infections (UTI) with systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS).

5.3 Laboratory characteristics of participants

The laboratory characteristics of participants are described briefly below (table 2);

There were no differences in ALT (p=0.06) and AST (p=0.77) measurements among the two groups. The mean hemoglobin was significantly lower in the HIV positive group compared to the HIV-negative group <0.001.

	Total	HIV+	HIV-	P value
	N=300	N=142	N=158	
WBC	8(5)	7(4)	9(6)	0.006
PLT	247(145)	248(142)	245(148)	0.84
ALT	58(135)	46(54)	68(179)	0.12
AST	106(312)	72(79)	138(422)	0.05
Albumin	35(7)	35(6)	35(7)	0.90
Urea	14(16)	15(15)	13(17)	0.03
Hb	9.6(4)	9(3)	10(4)	< 0.001
UPCR				
1-2.9999	83(29.6%)	49(36%)	34(23.3%)	0.02
= or > 3	35(12.5%)	14(10.4%)	21(14.4%)	0.02

 Table 2
 Laboratory characteristics of HIV positive and HIV negative subjects.

*All parameters SD except UPCR

5.4 Prevalence of renal dysfunction among HIV positives and HIV-negative

participants

Renal dysfunction was more common in HIV+ patients with an unadjusted odds ratio of 1.96 (1.21-3.17), see Table1). Age adjusted OR for renal dysfunction in HIV positive versus HIV negative using 2 variable logistic regressions was 1.99 (1.20-3.28). Among the HIV infected, 42% had renal dysfunction compared to 27% among non HIV infected p= 0.006. Among the HIV positives 33(41.3%) were males and 43.5 were females. While in non HIV positives, 26 (35.1%) were males and 17(20.2%) females.

5.5 Factors associated with renal dysfunction among Hospitalised HIV

positive patients

The characteristics associated with renal dysfunction among hospitalised HIV infected patients are briefly described here and shown in table 3.

WHO stage III was an associated factor for development of renal dysfunction compared to WHO stage IV (OR 2.3; 1.16-5.00, 95%CI). WHO stage 1V disease had a lower odds (OR 0.42; 0.20-0.86, 95%CI). Of the total 142 HIV positive patients, 2(1.4%) were in stage 1, 86(60%) in stage 111 and 54(38%) in stage 1V of HIV infection. Of the 54 WHO stage 4 disease, 18(33%) had Extra pulmonary tuberculosis (EPTB), 8(14.8%) confirmed cryptococcal meningitis, 9(16.6%) KS, 3(5.5%) PCP, 2(3.7%) cryptosporidiosis, 2(3.7%) suspected HIV Associated Nephropathy (HIVAN) with the remaining 12(22%) having other stage 4 disease conditions.

Tenofovir was not associated with renal dysfunction among hospitalised patients (1.03; 0.45-2.37, 95% CI). Other drugs not associated with renal dysfunction were amphotericin B (OR 1.49; 0.26-8.39, 95% CI) and septrin (OR 1.09; 0.49-2.42, 95% CI). Of the 61patients on HAART, 85.2% were on tenofovir based regimen, 9.8% on AZT (zidovudine) based regimen and 4.9% on stavudine based regimen.

	Renal dysfunction	No renal dysfunction	OR (95% CI)
	N=60	N=82	
HTN	2(3.3)	4(4.9)	1.48(0.26-8.39)
DM	2(3.3)	2(2.4)	1.37(0.19-10.08)
Diarrhoea	16(26.7)	11(13.4)	2.35(0.99-5.52)
Vomiting	24(40)	8(9.8)	6.17(2.52-15.08)
Nephrotoxic Drugs			
NSAIDS	12(20)	16(19.5)	1.03(0.45-2.37)
Tenofovir	23(38)	28(34)	1.19(0.59-2.39)
Amphotericin	2(3.3)	4(4.9)	1.49(0.26-8.39)
Septrin	47(78.3)	63(76.8)	1.09(0.49-2.42)
CD4 defined as			*
>350cell/ml	5(81)	10(15)	1.0
200-350	5(8)	12(15)	0.68(0.14-3.07)
<200cells/ml	50(83)	62(76)	1.29(0.40-4.19)
WHO			
III	43(72)	43(53)	1.0
IV	16(27)	38(46)	0.42(0.20-0.86)
Sepsis	23(38.3)	39(47.6)	0.68(0.34-1.35)
Malignancy	2(3.3)	8(9.8)	0.32(0.06-1.55)
MAP < 65	14(23.3)	7(8.5)	3.26(1.22-8.67)
Anaemia†	26(43)	31(37.8)	1.26(0.64-2.47)

Table 3 Factors associated with renal dysfunction among HIV positive participants

†Anaemia defined as Hb < 8 g/dl

5.6 Factors associated with renal dysfunction among HIV-negative patients

The factors for kidney dysfunction in hospitalised HIV-negative patients are shown in table 4 and briefly described below.

Hypertensive was a significant factor for the development of kidney dysfunction among the non-HIV disease patients (OR 4.15; 1.87-9.19, 95% CI).

Usage of ACEI/ARBs was a significant factor for the development of renal dysfunction among HIV-negative hospitalised patients (OR 2.03; 0.61-6.67, 95%CI). Of the total 54 patients on ACE/ARBs, the distribution was enalapril 90.1%, captopril 5.5% and Losartan 3.7%.

	Renal dysfunction	No renal dysfunction	OR (95% CI)
HTN	18(42)	17(15)	4.15(1.87-9.19)
DM	5(11.6)	11(9.6)	1.24(0.37-3.82)
Diarrhoea	5(11.6)	7(6)	2.03(0.61-6.77)
Vomiting	9(21)	8(7)	3.54((1.27-9.89)
Nephrotoxic Drugs			
NSAIDS	16(37)	42(36)	1.03(0.49-2.13)
ACEI/ARB	22(51)	30(26)	2.97(1.43-6.15)
Septrin	00	1(0.9	Undefined
Sepsis	6(14)	23(20.0)	0.64(0.24-1.72)
Heart Failure	9(21)	19(16.5)	0.80(0.24-2.61)
CVA	4(9.3)	13(11.3)	1.24(0.38-4.04)
Malignancy	00	6(5.2)	1.54(0.44-5.70)
Anemia(Hb<8g/dl)	29(25)	16(37.2)	1.75(0.83-3.71)
MAP<65mmHg	4(9.3)	7(6.1)	1.54(0.44-5.70)

Table 4 Factors associated with renal dysfunction among HIV negative participants

5.7 Factors associated with renal dysfunction in HIV positive

multivariable logistic regression.

We performed multivariable stepwise logistic modeling to identify factors independently associated with renal dysfunction among hospitalised patients among the HIV positive patients. We began with the following variables: albumin<35g/dl, amphotericin B, and anemia (defined as Hb <8g/dl), CD4 count, diarrhoea, any HAART, tenofovir based regimen, MAP<65mmHg, NSAIDS, septrin, vomiting and WHO stages 111 and IV. Results for multivariable regression are shown in table 5 and described below;

Vomiting was associated with renal dysfunction among hospitalised HIV disease patients in both univariable and multivariable analysis (OR 7.77; 2.46-24.53 95%CI).

MAP below 65mmHg was not an independent factor for renal dysfunction among hospitalised HIV infected patients. WHO stage III was independently associated with renal dysfunction among hospitalised HIV infected patients (OR 0.17; 0.05-0.53, 95%CI).

Term	Odds Ratio	95%CI
AGE	1.02	(0.97-1.06)
Amphoterin B	3.60	(0.43-30.19)
CD4 <200	0.46	(0.09-30.19)
CD4 200-350	0.52	(0.14-1.98)
CD4 >350	1	
Diarrhoea	0.48	(0.12-1.91)
Tenofovir	2.13	(0.39 -11.39)
MAP<65mmHg	2.50	(0.73-8.58)
NSAIDS	1.94	(0.69-5.46)
Sepsis	0.26	(0.09- 0.70)
Vomiting	7.77	(2.46-24.53)
WHO Stage III	1	
WHO Stage IV	0.18	(0.06-0.53)
Any HAART	0.49	(0.09-2.55)

Table 5 Factors associated with renal dysfunction in HIV+ multivariable logistic regression

* OR for age is the increasing odd per year of life. In a stepwise fashion we eliminated the variable with the highest p value, if the p > 0.5. The logistic regression results for the remaining variables are shown above.

5.8 Factors associated with renal dysfunction in uninfected HIV participants

multivariable logistic regression

Multivariable stepwise logistic modeling to identify factors independently associated with renal dysfunction among hospitalised patients among the HIV-negative patients was done. We began with the following variables: age, ACEI/ARBs, albumin<35g/dl, anemia (HB<8g/dl), diarrhoea, DM, heart failure, HTN, MAP<65mmHg, NSAIDS, sepsis and vomiting. Results for multivariable logistic regression are shown in table 6

Vomiting was an independent risk factor for renal dysfunction among HIV negative patients (OR 4.43; 1.40-16.66, 95%CI

Hypertension was a significant independently risk factor for renal dysfunction among hospitalised HIV negative patients (4.75; 1.59-14, 95%CI).

Term	Odds Ratio	95%CI
Age	0.98	(0.96-1.00)
ACEI/ARB	2.65	(1.01-7.00)
Albumin <35 g/dl	2.97	(1.25-7.05)
Anaemia	1.93	(0.78-4.78)
HTN	4.75	(1.59-14.20)
Sepsis	1.75	(0.52-5.88)
Vomiting	4.83	(1.40-16.66)

 Table 6 Factors associated with renal dysfunction in uninfected HIV participants'

 multivariable logistic regression

* OR for age is the increasing odd per year of life. OR for albumin is the decreasing odd of renal failure per g/dl increase in albumin. In a stepwise fashion we eliminated the variable with the highest p value, if the p > 0.5. The logistic regression results for the remaining variables are shown in Table 6

5.9 Laboratory findings of participants with renal dysfunction

The laboratory characteristics of HIV positive participants are shown in table 7.1 and described briefly below;

There were no significant differences in hemoglobin among the HIV positive patients and HIVnegative with renal dysfunction compared to those without renal dysfunction p=0.490.

	Total HIV + 142	Renal dysfunction N=60	No renal dysfunction N=82	p-value
Albumin	34(32-37)	34(32-36)	34(31-37)	0.914
ALT	46(54-89)	41(23-71)	24(14-40)	0.004
AST	43(27-84)	47(34-93)	37(23-67)	0.025
Hb	6(4-16)	8.3(6-11.5)	9(6-11.7)	0.490
Platelet	233(144-342)	194(110-298)	249(162-397)	0.024
WBC	7(4.3-8.6)	6(4.6-8.7)	6.9(4-9)	0.970
Urea	6.7(5-23)	26.5(18.5-35)	5(4-6)	< 0.001
Creatinine	107(79-266)	296(189-665	81(66-96)	< 0.001

Table 7.1 Laboratory findings in HIV positive patients with and without renal dysfunction

*Kruskal-Wallis test used to compare nonparametric variables

*Medians and interquartile ranges used

	Total HIV –	Renal	No renal	p-value
	N=158	dysfunction	dysfunction	
		N=43	N=115	
Albumin	34(29-40)	36(25-38)	36(30-42)	0.003
ALT	34(29-40)	28(17-83)	22(14-40)	0.061
AST	34(21-75)	34(19-84)	34(22-68)	0.766
Hb	11.1(7-13)	11(7-12)	11(7-13)	0.402
Platelet	229(133-345)	189(128-279)	250(141-371)	0.059
WBC	7.6(5-11)	8(6-11)	7(5-12)	0.226
Urea	6(4-16)	29(23-48)	4(3-6)	< 0.001
Creatinine	105(77-183)	476(189-1426)	91(74-111)	< 0.001

Table 7.2 Laboratory findings of HIV-negative participants with renal dysfunction.

*Medians and interquartile ranges used

CHAPTER 6

6.0 DISCUSSION

This study was carried out to determine prevalence and risk factors contributing to kidney dysfunction in hospitalised HIV positive and HIV negative patients. Our case definition of kidney dysfunction was at least 1.5 fold increase in serum Creatinine from normal (>180micromol/l).

Prevalence of renal dysfunction from our study was 42% among hospitalised HIV positive infected patients compared to 27% among non HIV infected patients. This is similar to Lopez *at al.* study that found 47% among critically ill hospitalised patients. The subject populations in Lopez's study were critically ill and hence the slight increase in total number of renal dysfunction cases. Additionally, UTH is the only tertiary referral hospital for all renal and non-renal disease. Franceschini *et al.* also found increased renal dysfunction among hospitalised HIV in both pre-HAART (2.9 vs. 1.0 adjusted OR 4.62; 95Cl) and post HAART error (6 vs. 2.72 adjusted OR 2.82; 95CL) [7, 14]. In a few in-patient studies in Africa, renal dysfunction ranged from 17% to almost 30% in HIV positive patients [4, 26]. The difference is that the African studies recruited patients with surgical and obstetric conditions as well.

Mean arterial pressure appeared to be a risk factor for renal dysfunction but when adjusting for other co-variables it was found to be statistically not significant unlike previous studies done in Europe and Africa. This may be explained by the small sample size recruited in our study. Valerie et al found 38% renal dysfunction due to volume depleting conditions among hospitalised HIV positive patients. The causes of low mean arterial pressure included sepsis with shock, chronic gastroenteritis and adrenal insufficiency [11, 12, 30].

WHO stage III was a risk factor for renal dysfunction in our study unlike previous studies that found both stages III and IV as contributory risk factors [5]. Other studies found WHO stage IV renal protective similar to our study [31]. Patients with renal failure come in WHO stage III presenting with chronic diarrheal diseases. Only when the causative agents for diarrhoea are known that they are classified as stage IV.

Tenofovir was not associated with renal dysfunction among hospitalised HIV infected patients in our study. This is in keeping with many other studies. Izzedine et al study found no differences in tenofovir based regimen compared to non-tenofovir based regimen [8]. Mauss *et al.* 2005 found renal impairment among patients taking tenofovir compared with patients not receiving tenofovir. Patients on tenofovir had higher proteinuria while their GFR remained the same. Cohort and case control studies found that renal failure associated with Tenofovir was not more common than with other ARV regimens [31-33]. Renal dysfunction due to tenofovir depends on a complex interaction on genetic, environmental and pharmacological factors which may explain the protective effect.

In our study, a CD4 count below 200 cells was not associated with renal dysfunction among hospitalised HIV infected patients unlike previous studies. In other studies, a low CD4 count was a predictor of renal dysfunction and likelihood of kidney dysfunction increased with CD4count <100 cells/mm. In a London study, patients with CD4 counts <50 cell/mm had a 10 fold risk of developing kidney dysfunction compared to 1.6 fold risk among those with CD4 counts between 200 to 350 cells[34,35]. In our study, HIV infected patients with CD4 counts ranges 200cells, <100 cells and < 50cells were not compared unlike previous studies. Limited sample size of HIV hospitalised patients in our study may as well explain the difference.

Sepsis in this study was not associated with renal dysfunction unlike previous studies [7]. Previous studies demonstrated 52% renal dysfunction dues to sepsis resulting from sepsis induced arterial hypotension and a reduced MAP. The AIDS defining illnesses were not the common causes of sepsis induced renal dysfunction in our study. Under diagnosis due to limited laboratory backup may explain the differences in our study.

Hypertension was a significant risk factor for renal dysfunction among hospitalised HIVnegative patients similar to other studies in Europe and Africa [10]. The likelihood of developing renal dysfunction among hypertensive patients was almost five fold after adjusting for other covariable. Hypertension is both a cause and complication of renal dysfunction and determines prognosis. Primary kidney disease is responsible for almost 4% hypertension in the general populations [36-37]. Vomiting was associated with kidney disease in both HIV positive and HIV negative patients. Vomiting and nausea are common clinical presentation of kidney disease. This is similar to the Nigerian study that found vomiting a common presentation of renal dysfunction among HIV infected patients [10].

6.1 Study limitations

The severity of co-morbidities using APACHE score were not determined among both HIV positive and HIV negative patients in this study due to non availability of laboratory reagents and limitation of the funding.

Renal biopsies among patients with renal dysfunction were not done for histological confirmation. More than 10% nephrotic proteinuria found in both HIV-negative and HIV positive patients merited kidney biopsy. Biopsy was also necessary in determining the proportion of CKD in hospitalised patients.

As patients in our study were critically ill and bedridden, we were unable to measure their body mass index using weight and heights to quantify their nutritional status. The later has been associated with renal disease in previous studies.

CHAPTER 7

7.0 CONCLUSION

In conclusion, prevalence of renal dysfunction among hospitalized HIV infected patients was higher compared to uninfected HIV patients, in keeping with previous studies.

WHO stage III and vomiting were associated with renal dysfunction among HIV infected patients in line with previous studies. In HIV negatives, hypertension was a risk factor for renal dysfunction. Tenofovir was not associated with kidney disease in our study.

In view of the high prevalence of renal dysfunction among hospitalised HIV infected patients, it is recommended that baseline creatinine and UPCR be done on all patients and CrCl be used in the initiation of HAART. Kidney biopsies should be done on all patients for histopathological diagnosis and confirmation. A longitudinal study should be done in future looking at outcome of these patients with kidney disease in a resource limited country like Zambia.

8.0 REFERENCES

- 1. UNAIDS, 2009. On this day World AIDS day in 2008/20years after the first one/several milestones have been reached. *World AIDS day report, 2009*
- 2. Wools-Kaloustian. Will there be an epidemic of HIV related chronic kidney disease in Sub-Saharan Africa? *Kidney international 2008;* 74-845.
- Fabian J and Naicker S. HIV Infection and the kidney. Southern African Journal HIV medicine 2008; 9-12.
- 4. Fabian J and Naicker S. Nat. Rev. Nephrol. (2009) 5; 591-598
- Mulenga B, Kruse G, Lakhi S *et al.* Baseline renal insufficiency and risk of death among HIV infected HIV adults on antiretroviral therapy in Lusaka, Zambia. *AIDS* 2008, 22:1-7.
- 6. Post FA and Holt SG. Recent developments in HIV and the Kidney. *Current Opinion in Infectious Disease (2009), 22:43-48.*
- 7. Lopez JA, Fernandez J, Jorge S et al. Acute renal failure in critically ill HIV-Infected patients. *Crit Care*.2007; 11(1)404.
- Izzedine H and Mariane H and Parazella M. The Nephrotoxic effects of HAART. *Rev.Nephrol.5(2009); 563-573,Nat*
- 9. Edward J Bernard. Kidney Diseases may be highly prevalent amongst HIV infected in Africa. *Aids map news*, 2005
- Emem C, Arogundade F, Sanusi K et al renal diseases in HIV Seropositive patients in Nigerian: an assessment of prevalence, clinical features and risk factors. *Nephrol.Dial.Transplant.23*,741-746(2008)
- 11. Asudani D, Patel R and Corser J. Renal Diseases in HIV Infection. *Internet Journal* of Internal Medicine.2004. Volume 5 Number 1.

- 12. Perazella Mark. Acute Renal Failure with HIV infection: *Hospital physician (2001)* pp30-41
- Valeri A, Neursy AJ. Acute and chronic renal disease in hospitalised AIDS patients. *Clin Nephrol.1991; 35:110-8*
- 14. Franceschini N, Napravnik S, Eron J et al. Incidence and etiology of acute renal failure among ambulatory HIV infected patients. *Kidney International*(2005) 67,1526-1531
- 15. Rao Fredman EA. Outcome of severe renal failure in patients with Acquired Immune deficiency Syndrome. *Am J Kidney Dis 1995; 25:390-8*.
- Roe J et al. HIV care and the incidence of acute renal failure. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 47:242-249
- 17. Wyatt CM, Aros RR, Klotman al. Acute renal failure in hospitalised patients with HIV: risk factors and impact on in hospital mortality. *AIDS 2006;20:561-565*
- 18. MOH 2007 Antiretroviral management Guidelines.
- Mwaba P, Mwansa J, Chintu et al. Clinical presentation, natural history and cumulative death rates of 230 adults with primary cryptoccocal meningitis in Zambian AIDS patients treated under local conditions. *Postgrad.Med J 2001;* 77(914): 769-773
- Izeedine H, Baumelou A and Deray G. Acute renal failure in HIV patients. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* (2007). 22(10)2757-2762
- 21. Gupter SK, Eustace JA, Winston J et al. Guidelines for management of Chronic Kidney Disease in HIV-Infected patients: Recommendations of the HIV Medicine Association of the Infectious Disease Society of America. *Clinical Infectious Diseases 2005;40: 1559-85*
- 22. Choi AI, Shlipak NG, Hunt P et al. HIV-infected persons continue to lose kidney function despite successful Antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS*.2009;23(16):2143-2149
- 23. Williams DI, Williams DJ, Williams IG et al. presentation, pathology, and outcome of HIV associated renal disease in a specialized center for HIV/AIDS. *Sex Transm Inf* 1998; 74:174-184
- 24. Cheung CY, Wong KM, Lee MP et al. prevalence of Chronic Kidney Disease in Chinese HIV-infected patients. *Neprol Dial Transplant 2007; 22(11): 3186-90*

- 25. Geoffrey B. Clinical HIV-related Kidney disease- a clinical and practical approach in the African context. *Southern African Journal HIV medicine 2003, pp11-14*
- 26. Kalyesubula R and Perazella MA. HIV related drug nephrotoxicity in Sub-Saharan Africa. *The Internet Journal of Nephrology 2010 Volume 6 number 1*
- 27. Hoste AJ, Clermont G, Kersten A *et al.* RIFLE criteria are associated with high mortality in critically ill patients: a cohort analysis. *Critical Care 2006*, 10:R73
- 28. Kellum JA Defining and classifying AKI: one set of criteria. Nephrol.Dial.Transplant.(2008) 23(5):1471-1472
- 29. Kellum JA, Levin NBouman Cet al. Developing a consensus classification system of acute renal failure. *Curr Opinion Crit* 2002;8:509-514
- Renal failure secondary to Acute Tubular Necrosis; epidemiology, diagnosis and management. CHEST 2009 pp 1-33
- 31. Peter PJ *et a*l. Antiretroviral therapy improves renal function among HIV infected Ugandans. *Kidney Int.2008* ;74,925-929
- 32. Gitman MD, Hirscherick D, Beskin CH et al. Tenofovir induced Kidney Injury. *Expert Opin Drug Saf.* (2007) 5; 156-162
- 33. PADILLA S, GUTIERREA F, MASIA M et al.: Low frequency of renal function impairment during one-year of therapy with Tenofovir-containing regimens in the real-world: a case-control study. *AIDS Patient Care STDS* (2005) 19:421-424.
- 34. Harris M Nephrotoxicity associated with antiretroviral therapy in HIV infected patients. *Expert Opin.Drug Saf* (2008)7(4):389-400
- 35. Ibrahim F, Naftalin C, Cheserem E et al. Low CD4 count and impaired renal function(eGFR) are independent risk factors for ARF in HIV infected patients. Conference report on Retroviruses and Opportunistic infections, CROI 2010.
- 36. Whitworth JA. Progression of Renal Failure- The role of Hypertension. Ann. Acad.Med Singapore 2005;34:8-15
- Palmer BF. Renal dysfunction complicating the treatment of Hypertension. N Eng J Med, Vol 347, No16
- Bruggeman LA, Bark C, Kalayjian R. HIV and the Kidney. Current Infectious Disease reports Vol 11,Number 6,479-48;

39. Estella M et al. Impact of kidney function at highly active antiretroviral therapy initiation on mortality in HIV infected women. J.Acqui Immun Defic Syndr. Advance online publication 2010

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1

Informed Consent Form

(With translations for non-English writing or speaking participants)

Title: Prevalence and risk factors of renal dysfunction among Hospitalised HIV-infected patients at the University Teaching Hospital, Lusaka

Site: Phase V admission and Adult Filter

Hello,

My name is Dr Justor Banda a medical Doctor in Department of Medicine at the University Teaching Hospital and doing a research study for my Masters.

Introduction

You are invited to consider participating in this research study. Your participation is voluntary and can withdraw from the study at anytime without problems in future. It is important that you read and fully understand information on this leaflet as it will help you in making the decision. If unable to understand, an interpreter will be provided to help you go through the leaflet.

Purpose of the study

The human body has kidneys performing different functions that include removing waste products. The kidneys are a common complication in hospitalised patients and the risk is higher in HIV infected than non-HIV patients. It is a major cause of mortality and morbidity at the University Teaching Hospital. HIV, opportunistic infections and their treatment contribute to kidney damage in Hospitalised HIV infected patients.

Procedures of the study

If you agree to participate in the study, information about your sex and age will be obtained. A qualified HIV counselor will counsel you before an HIV test. A physician will examine and collect history assessing renal dysfunction. Samples of blood and other tests relevant to your illness will be drawn for testing renal dysfunction and other comorbidities.

Possible risk factors are as follows:

Blood collection may cause pain at site of puncture.

Air emboli and infection are very rare complications that can occur after vein puncture. Qualified personnel will collect the blood samples to prevent such complications.

Benefits of the study

Benefits are that participants in this study will be screened for kidney dysfunction and the findings will help in laying intervention strategies for kidney disease.

Financial arrangements

You will not be paid for participating in the study. There are no costs for any related study procedures.

Confidentiality

All information obtained during the course of this study will be kept strictly confidential. Your records will be given unique identification numbers and the initial identification details won't be used. All physical records will be kept in a locked locker with access limited to the research team. Electronic data will be password protected.

Source of Information

If you have any questions, concerns and clarifications, please contact the following:

Dr Justor Banda, Department of Internal Medicine/Bag RW1X, Lusaka phone +260977819656 or Chairperson, UNZA Biomedical Research Ethics Committee, P.O. Box 50110, Lusaka

Phone +2601256067

I.....having read and clearly understood the above written information, consent to taking part in this research study.

Participants signature or thumb print

Date_____

Witness

Date_____

APPENDIX 2

DATA COLLECTION SHEET

Identification no_____

Date_____

TITLE: Prevalence and Risk factors for renal dysfunction among Hospitalised HIV infected adult patients at University the Teaching Hospital, Lusaka

1. DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

- a. Age _____
- b. Sex \Box male \Box female
- c. Marital status \Box single \Box married \Box divorced \Box widowed
- d. Race \Box Black \Box non-Black

2. HISTORY

- a. Diarrhoea $\Box Y / \Box N$, Duration $\Box < 14$ days, $\Box > 14$ days, Frequency/day.....
- b. Vomiting $\Box Y / \Box N$, Duration $\Box < 14$ days, $\Box > 14$ days, Frequency/day.....
- c. Passing urine □Y / □N, if not passing then duration of not passing urine.....
- d. Hypertension \Box Y/ \Box N, duration of
 - hypertension.....
- e. Diabetes $\Box Y / \Box N$, duration of Diabetes.....
- f. History of Kidney diseases $\Box Y / \Box N$
- g. Herbal medications $\Box Y / \Box N$, name of medication(s).....
- h. Nephrotoxic drugs
 - i. □Brufen □ASA □Indocid □diclofenac □Naproxen □Other NSAIDS.....
 - ii. □Enalapril □captopril □Losartan □other ACEI or ARBs.....
 - iii. Tenofovir $\Box Y / \Box N$

		iv. Septrin $\Box Y / \Box N$			
v. Amphotericin B \Box Y/ \Box N					
vi. Others					
3. HIV status □positive □negative					
4.	4. If on HAART type of regimen patient is on				
5.	5. CLINICAL EXAMINATION				
	a.	BP/			
	b.	Pulse			
	c.	Temp			
	d.	Pedal oedema $\Box Y / \Box N$			
	e.	Reduced skin tugor $\Box Y / \Box N$			
	f.	Pulmonary oedema $\Box Y / \Box N$			
	g.	$Mental \ state- \ \Box \ oriented \ \ \Box \ in appropriate \ words \ \ \Box \ in comprehensible$			
		sounds no verbal response			
	h.	Uraemic frost $\Box Y / \Box N$			
	i.	Uraemic pericarditis $\Box Y / \Box N$			
	j.	Acidotic breathing $\Box Y / \Box N$			
	k.	Pallor $\Box Y / \Box N$			
	1.	Sepsis- pneumonia meningitis encephalitis other site of			
		infection			
	m.	WHO stage \Box I, \Box II, \Box III, \Box IV and diagnosis qualifying patient for WHO			
		stage			
6. LABORATORY RESULTS					
	a.	НЬ			
	b.	WBC			
	c.	Platelets			
	d.	AST			
	e.	ALT			
	f.	Albumin			
	g.	Urine protein			

h. Urine creatinine.....

- i. Creatinine.....
- j. Urea.....
- k. CD4⁺count.....
- 1. RBS.....

APPENDIX 3

