DECLARATION

I, Lubilo Foster , hereby declare the work and that it has not previously academic qualification.		
Signed	Date	

This work is subject to copyright.

All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically those of translation, reprinting, re-use of illustrations, broadcasting, reproduction by photocopying machines or similar means, and storage in data banks.

©Lubilo Foster, Department of Geomatic Engineering, School of Engineering, The University of Zambia, 2016.

CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL

This thesis by **Lubilo Foster** is approved as partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the Master's Degree of Engineering (MEng) in Geoinformatics and Geodesy by the University of Zambia.

Examiners:	Signature	Date	
1			
2			
3			
Supervised by:			
Dr. P. Nsombo			

Abstract

Geodetic Deformation Monitoring (GDM) plays a vital role in project safety and management. Geodetic measurements on dams are done to monitor change and rate of change in order to ensure safety. Kariba Dam suffers normal stresses, and strains mainly from temperature and hydrostatic pressure seasonal changes. These loads deflect the dam upstream/downstream in summer and winter, respectively. The deflections are currently monitored using a traditional deformation monitoring system by Zambezi River Authority (ZRA). However, more unexpected factors which were not catered for at design stage have rendered the traditional methods obsolete. These unexpected factors are: Reservoir Induced Seismicity (RIS), Plunge Pool scouring, Alkali Aggregate Reaction (AAR), landslide and earth-work vibrations. Thus, in this study, the dam was assessed to have new deformation patterns: Clockwise (southward) horizontal rotation of tangential movements of the dam northwards, abutment, upstream/upward swelling of the dam crest, and southward vertical rotation of the south and north bank. Due to the above deflections, the Kariba Dam arch has lost its original shape, with the arch ends buckling more than the spillway section. Human-related errors may worsen these deformations. The vertical and horizontal rotational tendencies resulted from anchor cables and landslide moments of forces on the south bank. AAR effect was analyzed as being of less concern because past findings proved it's subsiding. Poor geology destabilized the control points, thus rendering the classical monitoring system less accurate. To address the new deformations, a real-time, automated GNSS/LPS Online-based Control and Alarm System (GOCA) was designed for an early detection, alerting of landslide and its effects on the dam to classified users. This system applies GNSS and classical Local Positioning Sensors (LPS) such as Total Stations, and geotechnical instruments. It was recommended that prism array be installed urgently for use even under the classical methods for more deformation detection. This research has explained the puzzling new dam behaviour and substantiated the effects of the landslide which might have been worsened by earth work activities in the area with poor geology. Hence this research formed a basis for future studies and monitoring system improvements at the Kariba Dam through easy-to-understand analysis and visualizations of concepts and past deformation data presented mainly in form of tables by ZRA. The analysis included computations of rates of change of current deformations compared to the previous ones. The past and current deformation results were visualized in form of drawings and illustrations which helped link landslide forces to new dam deformations.

Key words: GDM, Kariba Dam, GNSS, RIS, AAR, GOCA, LPS, ZRA, Landslide, visualization techniques, prism array, south bank and traditional methods.

To my lovely daughter, Mirriam Mwaka Lubilo, who has brought joy into my life

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I would like to thank the Directorate of Research and Graduate Studies (DRGS) of the University of Zambia for having embarked on the Master's program at the University. Further, I would like to thank the DRGS for admitting me into this program.

To my sponsors, Southern African Science for Climate and Adaptive Land use (SASCAL), I say many thanks. My appreciation to SASCAL would be incomplete without thanking Dr. A. Mulolwa who facilitated my sponsorship.

In addition, I extend my appreciation to my supervisors, Dr. P. Nsombo and Mr. A. R. Mwanza for their valuable contributions to my research. I would like to also thank the department of Geomatic Engineering and the School of Engineering at large for facilitating my study.

Most importantly, I thank my Judges or Examiners for their positive contributions towards the success of my dissertation.

I also thank the Zambezi River Authority management and its technical team who provided an enabling environment for me to conduct a study on such a sensitive and vital infrastructure.

To UNZA bindery team, I extend my gratitude for their professional service of binding my thesis report.

I would not forget to thank Bishop Masiya and Marshal Chikoli for working tirelessly in providing me with a spiritual support and social advice during my tough times.

Leslie Szeftel and his wife, Lynn, deserve a special recognition for their ideas which, most of them, formed a basis for my analysis in this dissertation.

I finally thank my dear wife for her house chores, which without them; I would not have succeeded in my study.

Table of Contents

Declar	ration.	i
Certifi	cate	of Approvalii
Abstra	act	iii
Dedica	ation	iv
Ackno	owledg	gementsv
Table	of Co	ontentsvi
List o	f Figu	resxi
List o	f Tabl	esxiii
Abbre	viatio	nsxiii
1.0	Intro	oduction
	1.1	Problem Statement
	1.2	Research Questions
	1.3	Project Objective
		1.3.1 Specific Objectives
	1.4	Rationale5
2.0	Back	kground
	2.1	General6
		2.1.1 Kariba Dam design loads
		2.1.1.1 Temperature Variations
		2.1.1.2 Hydrostatic Pressure
		2.1.1.3 Uplift pressure9
		2.1.1.4 Dam weight
	2.1.2	Kariba Dam deformation monitoring
		2.1.2.1 Geotechnical installations
		2.1.2.2 Anchor Bars
		2.1.2.3 Geodetic deformation monitoring system

	2.1.2.4 Principal behind Kariba Dam monitoring	.12
	2.1.2.5 Kariba Dam reference networks	.13
2.1.3	Unexpected dam stability threats	15
	2.1.3.1 Plunge Pool erosion.	15
	2.1.3.2 South Bank Landslide	.17
	2.1.3.3 Alkali Aggregate Reaction (AAR)	18
	2.1.3.4 Unusual Dam behaviour	.20
	2.1.3.4.1 Tangential movement	.20
	2.1.3.4.2 Rotational movement	.20
	2.1.3.5 Reservoir Induced Seismicity (RIS)	22
2.1.4	Landslide remedial measures.	23
	2.1.4.1 Slope maintenance	.23
	2.1.4.2 South bank network expansion.	24
2.1.5	Kariba Dam stability concerns	.25
	2.1.5.1 Dam failure attributed to human errors	26
	2.1.5.1.1 Vajont Dam failure	.26
	2.1.5.1.2 Teton Dam failure	27
2.2.0 Theor	retical Background	30
2.2.1	Isaac Newton's laws of motion	.30
	2.2.1.1 First law	30
	2.2.1.2 Second law	30
	2.2.1.2.1 Velocity	.30
	2.2.1.2.2 Acceleration.	.31
	2.2.1.3 Third law	.31
2.2.2	Force acting at an angle	.31
2.2.3	Moment or Torque of a force	32
2.2.4	Least Squares Network adjustment	.33

	2.2.4.1 Mathematical model.	33
	2.2.4.2 Surveyor's Reference System.	35
	2.2.4.2.1 Bearing and distance computations	35
	2.2.4.2.2 Labelling and use of Map directions	35
2.3.0	Modern Geodetic Deformation Monitoring	36
	2.3.1 GOCA System.	37
	2.3.1.1 Components of the GOCA System	37
	2.3.2 GNSS Continuous Operating Reference Station (CORS)	40
3.0	Materials and Methods	42
	3.1 Flow Chart.	43
	3.2 Field work	44
	3.2.1 Reconnaissance Survey	44
	3.2.2 Instruments	44
	3.3 Field Data Processing.	46
	3.4 Deformation Analysis and Results	47
	3.4.1 Past reports used	47
	3.4.2 Landslide and AAR effects on the dam	48
	3.4.2.1 Investigative AAR-landslide effects analysis	49
	3.4.3 Landslide deformation analysis on control pillars	49
	3.4.3.1 Landslide effect on control pillars	51
	3.4.4 Tree-dozer concept (force & its knock-off effect)	55
	3.4.5 Landslide Direction.	56
	3.4.6 System of Forces on the Kariba Dam	57
	3.4.7 Velocity and Acceleration of the north arch-end movements	60
	3.4.8 Force exerted on the north bank	61
	3.4.9 Vertical Pillar displacements	61
	3.4.10 Lateral control pillar displacements	63

		3.4.11 Isolating AAR from landslide effects	66
		3.4.11.1 AAR effects on the dam.	66
		3.4.11.2 Increased dam crest swelling	67
		3.4.11.3 New dam behaviour	70
		3.4.11.4 Other effects of the landslide on the dam	72
	3.5	5 Current deformation monitoring system errors	72
		3.5.1 Bearing and distance errors.	73
		3.5.2 Least squares adjustment failure	74
		3.5.3 Inadequacy of the current monitoring method	75
		3.5.3.1 Pendulum Flaws	76
		3.5.3.1.1 South Bank vertical rotation	77
	3.6	Rotational effects on the measurements	80
	3.7	Other Rotation-related problems.	80
	3.8	Earth-work effects on dam stability	80
	3.9	Reasons for misinterpretation.	81
		3.9.1 Inadequate landslide data capture	81
4.0	Geo	detic Deformation Monitoring System Design	83
	4.1	Reasons for the design.	83
	4.2	Conceptual Design.	84
	4.3	GNSS-RTS Physical design.	85
		4.3.1 Error handling using RTS-GNSS co-location	86
		4.3.2 Design features	89
	4.4	Prism Array design.	91
	4.5	Advantages of the upgraded system	93
	4.6	Disadvanta ges	93
5.0	Case	e Studies	95
I	5.1 Provinc	Automated deformation monitoring: Case study of Sermo Dam, Yee, Indonesia.	

	5.1.1 Sensors installed.	95
	5.1.2 Leica GeoMoS software	96
	5.2 GOCA deformation monitoring: Case study of Steenbras I South Africa.	•
	5.2.1 Trimble 4D Control (T4D) Software	99
	5.2.2 Advantages	100
	5.3 Ultimate objective of the system upgrade	102
6.0	Discussion	105
7.0	Conclusion and Recommendations	111
	7.1 Conclusion.	111
	7.2 Recommendations	113
8	References	115
9	Appendices	120
	Appendix A: Sermo dam GOCA system photos	120
	Appendix B: Control-Point Coordinates & Displacements	123
	Appendix C: Drawings derived from appendix B data	124

List of Figures

- Figure 2.1: Kariba Dam location (left) and general view (right); courtesy of ZRA
- Figure 2.2: Cross section of Kariba Dam, viewed from Zimbabwean side (South Bank)
- Figure 2.3: Total Station (right) measuring to Prism (left); courtesy of ZRA
- Figure 2.4: Primary Geodetic Control Points; courtesy of ZRA
- Figure 2.5: Current status of the Plunge Pool; courtesy of ZRA
- Figure 2.6: Plunge Pool depths, longitudinal section of figure 2.5
- Figure 2.7: Cross-Sectional view of the Landslide zones of the South Bank
- Figure 2.8: Effects of AAR on the concrete arched dam; section view parallel to main dam Axis
- Figure 2.9: Northward movement of the dam
- Figure 2.10: Kariba Dam misalignment problem about its upstream-downstream Axis (Coyne and Bellier, 2011)
- Figure 2.11: Stone-pitched slope of the South Bank at Kariba Dam; Courtesy of ZRA
- Figure 2.12: Force resolutions into components
- Figure 2.13: Moment of a force
- Figure 2.14: Data-set of measured points of an object point (Larson and Farber, 2003)
- Figure 2.15: Schematic diagram of the GOCA system
- Figure 3.1: Flow chart of the research methodology
- Figure 3.2: separation process of AAR and landslide effects
- Figure 3.3: Kariba Dam Local Coordinate System orientation: Courtesy of ZRA
- Figure 3.4: Sketch of Table 3.2 control point deformations-cross section from SB2 to NB4
- Figure 3.5: Hint; Bull Dozer knocking down trees (left) and its knock-off effect (right)
- Figure 3.6: Control point network and the associated derived landslide force directions
- Figure 3.7: Illustrative Free-body Diagram of the Kariba Dam arch (not to scale)

- Figure 3.8: 2012 Vertical displacements of monuments
- Figure 3.9: 2015 Vertical displacements of monuments
- Figure 3.10: Lateral-displacement bar chart of control points (see direction of drifts in drawing 1 in the appendices)
- Figure 3.11: Photo showing dam crest sections with CD2 at 0 as center
- Figure 3.12: Graphs showing AAR effect reduction; courtesy of ZRA
- Figure 3.13: Graphs of annual rise rate of individual crest block; Courtesy of ZRA
- Figure 3.14: Graphs of annual rise rate of individual crest blocks for south bank; courtesy of ZRA
- Figure 3.15: AAR-Landslide effects on the dam
- Figure 3.16: Total Station (left) mounted on unstable control point, SB17, (right); courtesy of ZRA
- Figure 3.17: The scatter plots obtained at t1 (a) and time t2 (b)
- Figure 3.18: Cross Sectional view; illustration of non-uniform dam deformation
- Figure 3.19. Contradiction of pendulum readings
- Figure 4.1: Schematic GOCA system design
- Figure 4.2: Geodetic deformation monitoring system design for Kariba dam
- Figure 4.3: Geodetic deformation monitoring system for Kariba Dam (Photos taken from Steenbras dam-SA)
- Figure 4.4: Prism array for Kariba Dam monitoring
- Figure 5.1: Co-located GNSS receiver with Prism; Courtesy of Trimble
- Figure 5.2: S8 RTS (left) in its Cabin and target prism to the right; courtesy of Trimble
- Figure 5.3: Protective cabin, housing the S8 total station as well as a GNSS receiver on top with the weather station (left) on the cabin roof; courtesy of Trimble
- Figure 5.4: Shows the GNSS Base Station; courtesy of Trimble
- Figure 5.5: Relationship between water level and south bank landslide

List of Tables

- Table 3.1: Digital Level accuracies
- Table 3.2: Control Pillar Residuals (mm) of 2012; courtesy of ZRA
- Table 3.3: Deformation Magnitudes and the derived Velocities & Accelerations
- Table 3.4: Derived lateral displacement **d** from the 2012 residuals in Table 3.2
- Table 3.5: Doubted negative pendulum readings

Abbreviations/Acronyms

AAR	
BoQs	Bill of Quantities
BOCs	Bill of Countings
BOR	Bureau of Reclamation
CAPCO	
CORS	
GCPs	
GNSS	
GDM	Geodetic Deformation Monitoring
GOCA	GNSS/LPS-based Online Control and Alarm System
LPS	Local Positioning Sensors
NB	
PAN	Prism Array Network
RIS	
RTS	
SB	
UNZA	
ZR A	Zambezi River Authority