MORPHO-PHYSIOLOGICAL RESPONSES OFSPRING WHEAT (TRITICUMAESTIVUM L.) TO HEAT STRESS

By

Alimo Syanyunta

A Dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Plant Breeding and Seed Systems

THE UNIVERSITY OF ZAMBIA LUSAKA

2013

DECLARATION

I Alimo Syanyunta hereby declare that this dissertation represents my own work and this has not been previously submitted for a Degree, Diploma or any other qualification at this or any other University.

Signature.....

Date

APPROVAL

This dissertation of Alimo Syanyunta has been approved as partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Master of Science in Plant Breeding and Seed Systems by the University of Zambia.

	Nameof ExaminerSignature	Date	
	1		•••••
2.			••••••
3.		••••••	•••••

ABSTRACT

Constant or transitory high temperatures affect wheat growth and development leading to diverse morphological, physiological and biochemical changes that eventually decrease grain yield. It is against this background that this study was conducted to identify morphophysiological traits associated with heat tolerance and establish the most appropriate indirect selection criteria for heat stress tolerance in wheat. In this respect, eighteen spring wheat genotypes were evaluated in a randomised complete block design with four replications at School of Agricultural Sciences, field station in Lusaka. These genotypes were grown under optimal environment (E_1) and heat stress environment (E_2) created by separation of sowing dates from May to November, 2012. Thus, yield and morpho-physiological traits were examined. These wheat genotypes exhibited significant differences for traits studied in each environment with considerable reduction in mean trait values measured under heat stress environment. The above ground biomass recorded the highest mean trait reduction of 28. 75% followed by tillers per unit area (25.87%), grain yield (21.65%), and thousand kernel weight (17.62%). Spike length exhibited the lowest percentage reduction of 0.57% under heat stress.Environmental effects were highly significant for most of morpho-physiological characters except for spike length and number of spikelets per spike. Similarly, the genotypes x environment interactions were highly significant for most of the traits except for the spike length. Strong and positive correlations were observed under heat stress between grain yield and canopy temperature depression ($r = 0.79^{**}$); tillers per unit area ($r = 0.78^{**}$); chlorophyll stability index ($r = 0.71^{**}$); membrane thermostability ($r = 0.68^{**}$); and plant height ($r = 0.68^{**}$) 0.53**). This study identified membrane thermostability as a trait that explained most of variations in grain yield (80.7%); followed by tillers per unit area (10.2%) and canopy temperature depression (2.4%). This scenario provides a suggestion that such traitscontribute towards the capacity of plant to tolerate heat stress and could therefore be used as indirect selection criteria for yield in heat stressed environments. It could thus be concluded that there are genetic variations among wheat genotypes in their response to heat stress and that membrane thermostability with canopy temperature depression and number of tillers per unit area could be utilised in breeding for heat tolerance in wheat. Based on heat tolerance indices of genotypes, Entry 20 was identified as the most heat tolerant genotype with heat tolerance index of 1.12. Other genotypes that exhibited high heat tolerance were Entry 10, Entry 41, Entry 44, Unza II and Pungwa. Thus, these genotypes could therefore be used as sources of genetic material in wheat breeding programmes. It is recommended that this study should be repeatedin many locations including hot river valleys endowed with water resources for irrigation and should include other traits.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Conducting a research of this magnitude is not an easy undertaking. It inevitably demands for the assistance of many people and organisations. Such people who deserve special recognition and thanks include; my mentor Dr D. M. Lungu who contributed immensely towards the success of this study amid numerous personal challenges and I am greatly indebted for his painstaking efforts. Special thanks also go my co supervisor Mrs T. Kambikambi for her invaluable assistance rendered during research. I wish to express my sincere gratitude to Alliance for Green Revolution in Africa for providing the financial support for the programme.

I wish to thank Seed co, Zambia Seed Company, Zambia Agriculture Research Institute and University of Zambia for generously providing plant materials used in the study.

Special appreciation goes to the Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock for providing paid study leave. Thanks to Popota College Principal Mr M. Mulamfu, Mr R. Chirwa, Mr J. Chalimba and other members of staff for their unwavering encouragement and support rendered to me during my graduate study period.

Many thanks to Dr M. S. Mwala, Dr M. Mataa and Dr K. Munyinda for their assistance and constructive criticisms that improved the research focus. I wish to thank the University of Zambia field laboratory staff especially Mr Daka, Mr Mwape and Mr P. Sakala for offering tireless assistance and guidance during the experimental period. Thanks are also extended to Chief Technicians, Mr Chipampe and Mr Musukwa for the assistance given during laboratory experiments.

Sincere thanks to Dr. E. Phiri for provision of weather data and guidance rendered during canopy temperature measurements. Appreciation is alsoexpressed to Mr M. Sakumona and Mr P. Kalima for offering tireless help from field experiment to statistical analysis. Special thanks go to the following for assistance rendered during data analysis; Mr Chisanga, Ms Irene Shilulu, Mr S. Taulu, Mr Chilimboyi andMr Kapunda.

I wish to express my sincere gratitude to my family for the support and unconditional love rendered to me. Finally, I would like thankthe Almighty God for his grace and for making this endeavour possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	•
	1V
ACKNOWLEDGEMEN IS	······ V
TABLE OF CONTENTS	V1
LIST OF TABLES	V11
LIST OF FIGURES	V111
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Importance of Wheat	6
2.2 Importance of Wheat in Sub-Saharan Africa	7
2.3 Heat Stress	9
2.4 Morpho- physiological Consequence of Heat Stress	11
2.5 Physiological parameters Associated with Heat Adaptation	14
2.6 Yield, Yield Components and Other Traits	22
2.7 Breeding for Heat Tolerance	25
3.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS	
3.1 Experimental Location.	
3.2 Experimental Materials	
3.3 Experimental Arrangement	29
3.4 Agronomic Practices	29
3.5 Morpho- physiological Traits Measured	
3 6 Data Analyses	24
5.6 Data 1 mary 505	
4.0 RESULTS	
4.0 RESULTS	
 4.0 RESULTS	35 35
4.0 RESULTS 4.1 Temperature Regime 4.2 Performance of Genotypes in Optimal Environment 4.2.1 Grain Yield	
 4.0 RESULTS	35 35 36 36 36 37 37
 4.0 RESULTS	35 35 36 36 37 37 37
 4.0 RESULTS	35 35 36 36 36 37 37 37 37
 4.0 RESULTS	35 35 36 36 36 37 37 37 37 38 28
 4.0 RESULTS	35 35 36 36 36 37 37 37 37 38 38
 4.0 RESULTS	35 35 36 36 36 37 37 37 37 38 38
 4.0 RESULTS	35 35 35 36 36 37 37 37 37 38 38 38
 4.0 RESULTS	35 35 35 36 36 37 37 37 37 38 38 38 40 40
 4.0 RESULTS	35 35 35 36 36 37 37 37 37 38 38 40 40 41 41
 4.0 RESULTS	
 4.0 RESULTS	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Page

4.8 Heat Stress Indices	55
4.9 Comparative Performance of Heat Tolerant versus Other genotypes	57

5.0 DISCUSSION	59
6.0 CONCLUSION	' 0
7.0 LIST OF REFERENCES	2

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Average Maximum and Minimum monthly Temperatures
Table 2: Wheat Genotypes used in the Study
Table 3: Summary of ANO VA for all Traits in Optimal Environment
Table 4: Means of all Traits under Optimal Environments
Table 5: Summary of ANOVA for all Traits in Stress Environment
Table 6: Means of Traits in Stress Environment
Table 7: Means of physiological Traits in Stress Environment
Table 8: Summary of Combined Analysis of Variance for all traits
Table 9: Means of Traits of 18 wheat genotypes in Two Environments
Table 10 : Effect of Environment on Yield and other Parameters
Table 11: Simple Correlation between Yield and other Traits
Table 12: Association among Traits under heat stress Environment
Table 13: Correlation between Grain Yield and other Traits
Table 14: Coefficient of Determination of yield
Table 15:Stepwise multiple Regression for yield on Morpho-physiological Traits 54
Table 16: Heat Stress Indices of Wheat genotypes
Table 17: Averages for Three Parameters under heat stress

LIST OF FIGURES

Page

Figure 1	Photosynthesis Mechanism under Heat Stress	13
Figure 2	Comparison of Heat Tolerance versus Non-Heat whet genotypes	58