DECLARATION

- I, Saasa Vivien Monde Mwaekwa, declare that this dissertation:
 - (a) Represents my own work;
 - (b) Has neither in any part nor in whole, been presented as a substance for award of any kind or degree at this University or any other University; and
 - (c) Does not incorporate any published work of another dissertation, and, acknowledgements have been made where other people's ideas and work have been used.

| Signed: | | |
|---------|------|------|
| Date: | | |

COPYRIGHT

All rights reserved. No part of this dissertation may be reproduced, stored in any retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or means without prior permission in writing from the author or the University of Zambia.

APPROVAL

| This thesis of SAA | SA VIVIEN MONDE MWAE | KWA is approved | d as fulfilling the partial |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| requirements for the | award of the degree of Master | of Education in L | iteracy and Learning by |
| the University of Zan | mbia. | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Signed: | | | Date: |
| | | | |
| ••••• | | ••••• | |
| | | | |

DEDICATION

This study is dedicated to my children: Amukena, Manga, Nangana, Waluka, Monde and Masiye, and also to my brother Clement Saasa. My brother supported me both morally and financially throughout the time I was studying. My children kept on encouraging me and sometimes typing my work when I felt fatigued.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I owe the success of this study to my supervisor, Dr. J. Simwinga, for his unwavering support and invaluable guidance throughout the period I was under his supervision. Despite his numerous responsibilities as a Lecturer, Researcher, and Assistant Dean of School of Humanities, he still had time to accommodate and patiently guide me. Somebody who corrected and guided me as if I had just forgotten, I really learnt a lot from him.

I convey my gratitude to Mr. Chakanika Wanga and Dr. Sibalwa David, for their encouragement whenever I felt weary with work. They have been all weather friends.

I also want to thank all the respondents: teachers, School Insert Coordinators and pupils who took part in this research as either respondents or as subjects, for their patience and cooperation during the research process. It could have been time consuming for them. However, they accommodated me until I collected all the data that I needed.

Finally I would like to thank all the people who contributed to the success of this research in one way or another, especially Juliet Kotolo Phiri and Anthony Mulenga. These colleagues were ready and open to me whenever I consulted them.

ACRONYMS/ABBREVIATIONS

BASAT Basic Skills Assessment Tool **BTL** Breakthrough To Literacy **EFA**Education For A **ECZ** Examinations Council of Zambia L1Local Language or First Language LEA Language Experience Approach MoE Ministry of Education NALSNational Adults Literacy Survey NAEP National Assessment of Education Progress NAEYC National Association for the Education of Young Children NRC National Research Council NRP National Reading Panel **NBTL** New Breakthrough To Literacy **PRP** Primary Reading Programme RAN Rapid Automatized Naming **ROC**Read On Course SACMEQ Southern Africa Consortium for Monitoring Educational Quality SICSchool In-service Coordinator **SITE** Step In To English SPSS Statistical Package for the Social Sciences UNESCO United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization UNICEF United Nations Children's Fund **ZIC** Zonal In-Service Coordinator

ABSTRACT

The definition of reading adopted for this research is that it is a deliberate process of looking at and understanding written language. The focus of the research was to find out factors that affect reading levels in Chitonga among Grade 3 pupils in selected schools from Livingstone and Kazungula districts of Southern Province. This was basically to examine the extent to which pupils understood relatively simple texts (comprehension - whereby the reader obtains meaning from the text), letter identification and phonemic recognition and spellings. The Pupils were from government primary schools and Chitonga was used because it is the official Local language in the province.

The researcher chose Grade 3 pupils in Term 1 because they had just completed grade 2, meaning, they had learnt adequate reading skills in Chitonga. The investigation was done using three types of instruments, these were sub-tests derived from the Basic Skills Assessment Tool (BASAT), Interviews with Pupils and also from questionnaires which were administered to 14 Grade 3 Teachers and 3 School In-service Coordinators (SICS. Pupils were tested in letter naming, letter-sound association, phonological tasks (initial and ending sound identification, syllable segmentation), reading words, sentences and reading for comprehension – which was pictorial reading comprehension. The other sub-test was on writing spelling dictation of words and sentences. All the tests were in Chitonga and were administered to 80 pupils.

The children were subjected to naming all the letters of the alphabet and their phonemes, reading one, two, and three-syllable words, reading sentences, reading comprehension - where they were to match what they read with pictures, writing spelling dictation of one and two syllable words and writing dictation of short sentences. The results suggested that the majority of Grades 3 pupils, in both urban and rural schools, had difficulties with all the exercises. The biggest challenge was encountered in writing spelling where many of them could not manage to write any item correctly.

The study concluded that grade 3 pupils in the selected schools had challenges in letter identification, letter-sound association, phonological awareness, reading and writing spellings, and comprehending simple texts. In terms of preference on reading materials, results showed that grade 3 pupils read more English books than Chitonga ones. The reading habits indicated that they read more at school than any other place.

Based on these findings a number of recommendations were made to different levels of stakeholders so as to address the challenges raised from the findings.

Some of the recommendations made were to the Ministry of Education to enhance the implementation of Language Policy in institutions of Learning and to regularly provide the Primary Reading Programme Kits to Basic Schools to ensure continuity in teaching reading skills to pupils. The recommendation to the District Education Office is to intensify teacher monitoring and pupils' reading performance as often as possible. For Headteachers was to give priority to pupils' reading materials as they procure books for the school.

Table of Contents

| Content | age |
|--|------|
| Declaration | i |
| Copyright | ii |
| Approval | iii |
| Dedication | iv |
| Acknowledgement | v |
| Acronyms/Abbreviations | vi |
| Key for School Names | vi |
| Abstract | vii |
| Table of Contents | viii |
| List of Tables | ix |
| | |
| CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.0 General Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 Background to the Problem | 1 |
| 1.1.1 The Benefits of Knowing How to Read | 1 |
| 1.1.2 Goals of Reading in General | 4 |
| 1.1.3 Importance of Third Grade Reading | 5 |
| 1.1.4 Skills Development | . 5 |
| 1.1.5 Importance of Mother Tongue | 7 |
| 1.1.6 Reading | . 10 |
| 1.1.6.1 Decoding | . 10 |
| 1.1.6.2 Comprehension | . 11 |
| 1.1.6.3 Retention | 11 |
| 1.1.6.4 Fluency | 12 |
| 1.1.6.4.1 The Importance of Fluency in Reading | 13 |
| 1.1.6.5 Other Factors Affecting Reading | 13 |

| 1. | 1.7 Interventions on Literacy in Zambia | 14 |
|------|---|------|
| 1.2 | Statement of the Problem. | 16 |
| 1.3 | Purpose of the Study | 16 |
| 1.4 | Objectives of the Study | 16 |
| 1.5 | Research Questions | 16 |
| 1.6 | Significance of the Study | 17 |
| 1.7 | Challenges Faced during Data Collection | 18 |
| 1.8 | Delimitations of the Study | 18 |
| 1.9 | Operational Definition of Terms. | 18 |
| 1.10 | Structure of the Dissertation | 20 |
| 1.11 | Summary of Chapter One | 20 |
| | | |
| CH | APTER TWO: REVIEW OF LITERATURE | 22 |
| 2.0 | General Introduction | 22 |
| 2.1. | Reading Performance at Global Level | 22 |
| 2.1. | 1 Third Grade Reading Skills – A Benchmark Gaining Ground | 24 |
| 2.2 | Reading Performance in Africa | 24 |
| 2.3 | Reading Performance in Zambia | 27 |
| 2.4 | Conclusion | 28 |
| | | |
| CH | APTER THREE: METHODOLOGY | .30 |
| 3.0 | General Introduction | . 30 |
| 3.1 | Methodology | 30 |
| 3.2 | Research Design. | 30 |
| 3.3 | Research Site | 30 |
| 3.4 | Population | 31 |
| 3.5 | Sample Size | 31 |
| 3.6 | Sampling Techniques | 31 |
| 3 7 | Research Instruments | 32 |

| 3.8 Data Collection Procedures | 33 |
|--|-----|
| 3.8.1 Basic Skills Assessment Tool Sub-tests | 33 |
| 3.8.1.1 The Alphabetic Principle | 33 |
| 3.8.1.2 Phonological Awareness Tasks | 34 |
| 3.8.1.3 Reading Tasks | 34 |
| 3.8.1.4 Writing Spelling Dictation Tasks | 34 |
| 3.8.1.5 Questionnaires | 35 |
| 3.9 Data Analysis | 35 |
| 3.10 Conclusion | 35 |
| | |
| CHAPTER FOUR: PRESENTATION OF FINDINGS | .37 |
| 4.0 General Introduction | 37 |
| 4.1 Difficulties Grade 3 Pupils Encountered in Reading Chitonga | 37 |
| 4.1.1 Data From BASAT Sub-tests | 37 |
| 4.1.1.1 The Alphabetic Principle | 37 |
| 4.1.1.2 Phonological Awareness | 39 |
| 4.1.1.3 Reading one, two, and three syllable words | 41 |
| 4.1.1.4 Reading Sentences | 48 |
| 4.1.1.5 Pictorial Reading Comprehension | 48 |
| 4.1.1.6 Writing Spelling Dictation | 49 |
| 4.1.2 Pupils' Views on Difficulties they encountered in reading Chitonga | 55 |
| 4.2 Availability of Reading Materials in Chitonga | 56 |
| 4.2.1 Teachers' Views | 56 |
| 4.2.2 Pupils' Views | 56 |
| 4.3 Strategies Teachers use in teaching reading to Grade 3 Pupils | 64 |
| 4.4 Conclusion | 65 |
| | |
| CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION OF THE FINDINGS | 66 |
| 5.0 General Introduction | 66 |

| 5.1 Basic Skills Assessment Tool Sub-tests | 66 |
|---|----------------|
| 5.1.1 The Alphabetic Principle | 66 |
| 5.1.2 Phonological Awareness | 69 |
| 5.1.3 Reading Tasks | 70 |
| 5.1.4 Writing Spelling Dictation | 71 |
| 5.2 Difficulties they encountered in reading Chitonga | 71 |
| 5.2.1 Pupils' Views | 71 |
| 5.2.2 Teachers' Views | 72 |
| 5.2.3 Understanding Chitonga | 72 |
| 5.3 Availability of Grade 3 Reading Materials in Chitonga | 72 |
| 5.4 Strategies Teachers used to teach reading in Chitonga | 73 |
| 5.5 Summary of Findings | 73 |
| 5.6 Conclusion | 74 |
| CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS 6.0 General 6.1 Conclusions 6.2 Recommendations REFERENCES and BIBLIOGRAPHY 79 - | 75 76 77 |
| List of Tables Pag | ge |
| Table 1: Respondents' ability to correctly identify and name letters of the alphabet | 37 |
| Table 2: Respondents' ability to give sounds of the letters of the alphabet | 38 |
| Table 3a: Respondents' ability to recognize' initial sounds' in given words | 39 |
| Table 3b: Respondents' ability to recognize' end sounds' in given words | 40 |
| Table 4a: Respondents' ability to read two-letter words – 'me' | 41 |
| Table 4b: Respondents' ability to read two-letter words – 'pa' | 42 |
| Table 4c: Respondents' ability to read two-letter words – 'so' | 42 |
| Table 4d: Respondents' ability to read two-letter words – 'cu' | 43 |

| Table 5a: | Respondents' ability to read two-syllable words – 'kala' | 44 |
|-----------|---|-----|
| Table 5b: | Respondents' ability to read two-syllable words – 'jika' | 44 |
| Table 5c: | Respondents' ability to read two-syllable words – 'mebo' | 45 |
| Table 5d: | Respondents' ability to read two-syllable words – 'sala' | 45 |
| Table 6a: | Respondents' ability to read three-syllable words – 'kobala' | 46 |
| Table 6b: | Respondents' ability to read three-syllable words – 'kojika' | 46 |
| Table 6c: | Respondents' ability to read three-syllable words – 'mabisi' | 47 |
| Table 6d: | Respondents' ability to read three-syllable words – 'ndamana' | 47 |
| Table 7: | Respondents' ability to correctly match sentences with given pictures | 48 |
| Table 8a: | Respondents' ability to write correct spelling for two-letter words – 'pe' | .49 |
| Table 8b: | Respondents' ability to write correct spelling for two-letter words – 'tu' | 50 |
| Table 8c: | Respondents' ability to write correct spelling for two-letter words – 'de' | 51 |
| Table 8d: | Respondents' ability to write correct spelling for two-letter words – 'zo' | 52 |
| Table 9a: | Respondents' ability to write correct spelling for two-syllable words - 'lila' | .53 |
| Table 9b: | Respondents' ability to write correct spelling for two-syllable words – 'bila' | 53 |
| Table 10: | Respondents' attitude towards reading in relation to challenges they encountered. | 55 |
| Table 11a | Does the respondent feel the class has enough books to read all of them at once | .57 |
| Table 11b | e: Type of books respondents read most | 57 |
| Table 11c | : Number of times respondents read different types of books in a week | .58 |
| Table 11d | : Place where respondents read different types of books and number of times | |
| | read in a week. | 59 |
| Table 12a | : Respondents' ability to understand Chitonga | 61 |
| Table 12b | : Language respondents use at home and ability to understand Chitonga | .62 |
| Table 12c | : Language respondents use at home and ability to understand Chitonga by sex | 63 |

APPENDICES:

Appendix 1a: Summary of Basic Skills Assessment Tool Sub-tests

Appendix 1b: Alphabetic Principle and Phonological Awareness sub-tests

Table A: Chitonga Alphabet **Table B:** English Alphabet

Table C: Phonemes: Letter (Consonant and Vowel) sounds

Table D: Syllable Segmentation, Initial/ End sound identification, Sound blending

Appendix 1c: Reading and Writing sub-tests

i: Key for Reading Sub-tests

ii: Key for Writing Spelling Dictation sub-tests

Appendix 1d i: Pictorial Reading Comprehension (English version)

Appendix 1d ii: Pictorial Reading Comprehension (Chitonga version)

Appendix 2a: Interview Schedule for Grade 3 Pupils –(English version)

Appendix 2b: Interview Schedule for Grade 3 Pupils –(Chitonga version)

Appendix 3a: Questionnaire for Grade 3 Teachers

Appendix 3b: Questionnaire for School In-service Coordinators

Appendix 4: Characteristics of Respondents

Appendix 5: Characteristics of Grade 3 Teachers and SICs