AN AUTOSEGMENTAL ANALYSIS OF TONE IN VERBAL FORMS OF LAMBA

BY

FENSON A. MWAPE

A Dissertation submitted to the University of Zambia in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Arts in Linguistic Science.

2 168 83

Department of Literature and Languages
University of Zambia
LUSAKA

1994
All rights reserved. No part of this dissertation may be reproduced or stored in any form or by any means without prior permission in writing from the author or the University of Zambia.

C Fenson A. Mwape, 1993.
DECLARATION

I, Fenson A. Mwape, declare that this dissertation represents my own work and that it has not been previously submitted for a degree at this or any other University.

Fenson A. Mwape
APPROVAL

This dissertation of FENSON A. MWAPE is approved as fulfilling part of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Arts in Linguistic Science of the University of Zambia.

Signed                        Date

[Signature]                        14/12/1994

[Signature]                        18th August, 1994

[Signature]                        23/6/1994
ABSTRACT

This study investigated tone in some verbal forms of Lamba from an autosegmental viewpoint. The aim was to establish the relationship between underlying and surface tone representations in these forms.

The dissertation comprises three chapters.

Chapter One, the Introduction, presents the background to the study and discusses, among other things, Zambia's language-education policy and the position of Lamba therein. This chapter also reviews the relevant literature and gives a brief outline of the major tenets of the theoretical model the study employs - Autosegmental Phonology.

Chapter Two discusses the tonology of the Lamba verb. Rules are applied to account for the relationship between underlying and superficial tone patterns. Firstly it is established that, tonally, there are two types of verbs in Lamba, viz, those with a high-toned first syllable of the radical and those with a low-toned one. Following Mtenje's analysis (see Miti, 1988; ) the former are regarded as H verbs while the latter are L ones. This chapter also establishes that there are five types of tonal rules in the Lamba verb: rules of tone shift (regressive and progressive), rules of tone lowering, rules of tone raising, rules of tone imposition (or insertion) and rules of tone spreading. All the rules discussed fall in these types. It was further noted that some tonal rules are category-sensitive in
that they apply only to specific categories while others apply to several.

Chapter Three is the conclusion. This chapter presents a summary of the findings and evaluates the major features of Autosegmental Phonology, viz., tone spreading, tone stability, contour tone and floating tones. Except perhaps for floating tones whose occurrence may only be associated with imposed tones, there is strong evidence for the occurrence of all the other features.

On the whole, the study has accounted for the underlying and surface tone patterns in the Lamba verb. The study has also established the existence of an inextricable link between morphological and phonological segmental processes on one hand and phonological tonal processes on the other. The study also confirms the strength of the Autosegmental approach in the analysis of tonal phenomena.
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CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.0 General

This chapter discusses, *inter alia*, the background to the study, the language situation in Zambia and the position of Lamba therein as well as the methodology and Lamba phonemic system.

It should be highlighted here at the outset that Lamba is often considered to be a member of the Bemba group of languages which, according to Kashoki (1968:1), is perhaps the largest linguistic unit in Zambia and has several dialects and dialect clusters whose spatial boundaries it is not easy to tell in purely linguistic terms. This relationship between Lamba and Bemba raises the question of whether the former may be considered a language in its own right or a dialect (or dialect cluster) of the latter. This issue is explored in some detail later in this chapter.

It should be noted, though, that while Lamba is considered to be related to Bemba, it is somewhat geographically isolated from 'mainstream Bemba' and shares borders with other languages like Kaonde and Lenje (which do not belong to the Bemba group) --a situation which may entail some differences between Lamba and other languages or dialects in the so-called Bemba group.
1.1 **Background to the Study**

The Bantu languages have been studied for a relatively long time now. Their systematic study could be dated back (though without much precision) to 1862 when, according to Guthrie (1948: 5), Wilhelm Bleek drew the similarity among South African languages, gave evidence of the historical relationship among these languages and proposed the name 'Bantu' for them.¹

In the infancy of 'Bantu linguistics' more attention was given to the 'major' languages than to the 'minor' ones.² For instance as Fortune (1959 : 2) observes, while languages like Nyanja and Bemba received attention right from the start, the majority of other languages were never studied so that even today, over three decades since Fortune made this observation, it may be true to assert that for the majority of the languages 'nothing more than their name is known' (Fortune, 1959 : 2) Perhaps this situation could be attributed to the fact that the earliest scholars to study Bantu languages were missionaries and colonial officers who studied the languages of the areas they settled in for practical reasons—to spread 'the Word of God' more easily and for politico-administrative purposes respectively.

These observations are pertinent in the light of what has so far been done on the languages of Zambia--the bulk of the available literature is on the 'major' languages: Bemba, Tonga, Nyanja and Lozi and within each such major language one dialect adopted as the standard language; for instance, 'Central Bemba' (Kashoki, 1968:1) was taken to be the standard variety of Bemba. It is
only fairly recently that interest has arisen in the study of smaller languages but even when this is done, they (smaller languages) are studied alongside the major ones as if they cannot be studied in isolation. Consider, for instance, works like Lisimba (1982), Miti (1988) and Hchipola (1991) which, while investigating the smaller languages only do so with reference to the major languages Lozi, Nyanja and Plateau Tonga, respectively.3

The situation being so, it is imperative that we, in the words of Kashoki (1968:viii), 'venture one step more into the unexplored jungle ahead' by studying some of the languages that have received little or no scholarly attention. This study is, therefore, an attempt to explore this 'jungle' by investigating the realization of tone in some verbal forms of Lamba, using the theory of Autosegmental Phonology. It should be pointed out, however, that Lamba is not an unexplored jungle per se as it has been studied by a few scholars, notable among whom is the late Reverend Clement Doke whose work of 1938 (see Bibliography) is of great relevance to this study. Even then, it may be observed that while Doke attempted to study the traditions of the Lamba people and the grammar of their language—and undoubtedly with much success—no attempt has so far been made at studying the language's tonal system although it is well known that tone is distinctive in Lamba.

This study has, therefore, broken fairly fresh ground and it is hoped that this will stimulate more interest in the study of other smaller languages and/or dialects of Zambia, and that their
position in the education system may be reconsidered. It is important that these languages be studied as the availability of literature in them may open up possibilities for their being taught in schools. Surely the unofficial languages have a significant role to play in national life.

1.2. Objectives and Hypotheses

1.2.1 General Objective
This study has the following general objective:

To analyze how tone operates in the verbal forms of Lamba in terms of how surface tones are related to underlying tones.

1.2.2 Specific Objectives:
1) To establish underlying and surface tone patterns in the verbal forms
2) To formulate autosegmental rules to account for the relationship between these patterns.

It is evident form the objectives that there are two basic assumptions underlying this study. The first assumption is that the 'language' under investigation is tonal, that is, it is a language in which syllable pitch contrasts play an important role in the meaning of words (Hartmann and Stork, 1972:238). The second assumption is that the language manifests differences in underlying and surface tone representations. This study, therefore has the following hypotheses:
1.2.3. **Hypotheses**

1. Like Proto - Bantu (see Gurhrie, 1967-70), Lamba has two lexical tones, high and low.

2. The lexical tones of individual verbal morphemes constitute one of the parameters determining the ultimate surface tone.

3. The tonal pattern of a verb form in Lamba is category-sensitive in that tense, aspect, polarity, relativity, and mood may contribute to the surface tone pattern of a verbal form.

4. The tonal pattern of the verb form in Lamba is sensitive to the syllabic structure of the radical.

5. The syntactic environment contributes to tonal variation.

1.3. **Methodology**

1.3.1 **Data Collection**

This study involved collecting data from native speakers of Lamba over a four-month period from April to July, 1992. To do this, Chief Chiwala's area in Ndola Rural was visited and two informants were engaged from there (Messrs Golden Kamina and Sunday Lundanya); later a third informant, Ms. Anne Sumaili, who resides in Lusaka, was also engaged.
Initially twenty English verbs with their Lamba translations were picked from Doke's *Lamba - English Dictionary* (1937) and *Lamba Vocabulary* (1936). The Lamba entries were all affirmative infinitives and constituted our citation forms. To confirm Doke's translations, the informants were asked to translate the English words into Lamba and their responses were tape-recorded and later transcribed phonetically. After discovering that verb radicals were of two types, namely, those with a high tone on their first syllable and those with a low tone on their first syllable, the recorded verbs were sorted out into L and H types. Following this analysis four radicals were selected for further use, viz -Rón- 'see', -lí- 'eat', -lim- 'cultivate' and i- 'go'. These radicals differ in terms of syllabic structure: -Rón- and -lim- have the -CVC- structure while -lí- and i- have -CV- and -V- structure respectively. It was hypothesized (see 1.2.3, above) that the syllabic structure of the radical could have a significant impact on the realization of tone.

Using these verbs, a questionnaire was drawn up. In this questionnaire the verbs were used in sentences in all the morphologically marked moods and tenses/aspects and other grammatical categories (see chapter 2 for a discussion of these categories). In the indicative mood, which, in Lamba, is the only mood which is subject to relativity (that is, the distinction between absolutive (non-relative) clauses and relative clauses) the questionnaire was divided into two categories: absolutive (non-relative) and relative. In each case the verbs were used in both the positive and negative with
or without an object infix. In addition, each verb form was used in isolation and followed by words in the same sentence. This was so because the preliminary investigation had revealed these distinctions as pertinent. For the other moods all the parameters mentioned above were also used except the dichotomy absolutive/relative which does not exist for them. All the sentences were in English and the informants were asked to translate them into Lamba. The interviews were tape-recorded and later transcribed phonetically. In the absence of equipment for determining tone, the researcher relied on his ears. Most of the data obtained from the interviews are given in Appendix IV and it is from these that all examples in this work are obtained.

1.3.2 Data Analysis and Theoretical Model

The data was analyzed within the framework of Auto- segmental Phonology, henceforth ASP. Initially, the verb forms were analyzed in isolation (that is, sequentially). Comparisons were then made and on the basis of the observations rules formulated to account for the tonal phenomena. Having said this, let us briefly discuss the major tenets of the ASP approach.

The ASP approach, though used earlier by scholars like Leben and Williams (see Kentowicz and Kisseberth, 1979), was popularised by Goldsmith (1976) and developed further in Clements and Goldsmith (1984) as a 'radical' approach towards the analysis of tonal phenomena (see for instance, Batibo, 1986 Basboll, 1986, Miti, 1988, Katamba, 1989, Kaye, 1989). ASP was developed as a reaction to the inadequacies of Standard Generative Phonology.
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1.3.2 Data Analysis and Theoretical Model

The data was analyzed within the framework of Autosegmental Phonology, henceforth ASP. Initially, the verb forms were analyzed in isolation (that is, sequentially). Comparisons were then made and on the basis of the observations rules formulated to account for the tonal phenomena. Having said this, let us briefly discuss the major tenets of the ASP approach.

The ASP approach, though used earlier by scholars like Leben and Williams (see Kentowicz and Kisseberth, 1979), was popularised by Goldsmith (1976) and developed further in Clements and Goldsmith (1984) as a 'radical' approach towards the analysis of tonal phenomena (see for instance, Batibo, 1986 Basboll, 1986 Miti, 1988, Katamba, 1989, Kaye, 1989). ASP was developed as a reaction to the inadequacies of Standard Generative Phonology.
The latter is said to be a linear theory, by which it is meant that in this theory suprasegments, including tone, are part and parcel of segments both underlyingly and superficially. ASP, therefore, arose as a result of the observation that the Standard theory, as expoused by Chomsky and Halle (1968), was 'not adequate for certain types of morphophonemic processes, notably those involving tone, segmental length, vowel harmony' and others (Harlow, S. and N. Vincent, 1988:3). Although it was originally intended for the analysis of tone, ASP was later extended to cover other phenomena such as vowel harmony. Tiersma observes that the major feature of ASP is that:-

It allows for a multilineal analysis and provides for placing segmental information on one tier and other information on a separate tier (Tiersma, 1981:231).

Atkinson and others (1989:256) also observe that ASP is unlike traditional linear phonology because it 'does not bundle phonological elements together into segments which follow each other strictly like beads on a string.' Rather, it recognizes the existence of a tonal tier and a segmental tier. The two tiers are connected by association lines in conformity with the Well-Formedness Condition (WFC) which was initially formulated as follows:

1. All vowels are associated with at least one tone
2. All tones are associated with at least one vowel.
3. Association lines do not cross. (Clements and Goldsmith, 1984; as quoted by Miti, 1988:56).

Further, Miti (1988:56) observes that Goldsmith and Clements
stipulate that if a derivation deviates from this convention, it is 'marked as ill-formed since the WFC is interpreted as a principle defining a neutral state from which any deviation is corrected automatically by convention' (Miti, 1988:57). Later on, the WFC has had to be reformulated as follows:

1. Associate free tones to free tone-bearing units from left to right.
2. Associate free (unassociated) segments in preference to bound (associated) segments, (a) giving precedence to segments linked to unaccented elements, otherwise, (b) giving precedence to segments on the left.

By suggesting two levels of analysis, ASP is not only able to handle tonal variation more adequately but it is also able to account for phenomena such as contour tones, tone spreading and floating tones which are in essence the backbone of the approach but which could not be accounted for in the Standard theory (see, for example, Basboll, 1988:204; Miti, 1988:2). These phenomena have been investigated in several languages as is explained in the review of literature. Below are some illustrations of how tones are associated to Tone-bearing Units (TBU's) in the ASP approach.

Tonal tier

Segmental tier C V C V C V C V

This is a case where there are two tones against four tone-
bearing units. There are also cases where there are more tones than TBU's in which the association would look like this:

```
Tonal tier

Segmental tier
```

To illustrate the autonomy of tone vis-a-vis segments, let us take the noun umuntu 'person' and inkoko 'chicken' in Lamba and see what happens when they are used with the negative particle tee - 'it is not'.

1. (a) /'inkoko/ → [i:nkɔkɔ] 'chicken'
   (b) /umuntu/ → [umù:ntu] 'person'
   (c) /tee inkoko/ → [te:nkɔkɔ] 'It is not a chicken'
   (d) /tee umuntu/ → [te:mù:ntu] 'It is not a person'

The examples above show that while /i/ in 1(c) and /u/ in 1(d) are deleted after /ee/ their tones (ie, H and L respectively) are not; they are taken on by the /ee/ of /tee/. This shows the autonomy of tone, hence the term 'autosegment' as used in ASP to refer to traditional suprasegments. In fact these examples illustrate the occurrence of tone stability after the application of the phonological rule of vowel elision.

1.4. Related Literature

It has already been highlighted that there is very little linguistic literature on Lamba. The only notable attempts at
studying the language were made by Doke (1927, (1931, 1937 and 1938). Amongst these it is perhaps the last that has direct relevance to this study. This work, 'a textbook of Lamba grammar' discusses the word classes in terms of their form, derivation and syntactic function. Further, foreign words and terms that have been adopted from other languages are discussed.

In his analysis of the Lamba verb, Doke begins by offering what one might call the 'traditional' definition of the verb: that it is a word which signifies an action and which is in agreement with the subject (Doke, 1938: 169). Doke observes that this definition excludes imperatives and infinitives as these are 'interjections' and nouns respectively although both have qualities of the verb. He also suggests three criteria for classifying verbs, viz, (a) by looking at the syllabic structure of the stem, (b) in terms of mood and (c) according to the syntactic function of the verb. In terms of syllabic structure, Doke observes that Lamba verbs may be (a) mono-syllabic (in which case they are usually consonant-initial), (b) bi-syllabic and (c) vowel verb stems which begin with a vowel and may have two or more syllables.7 Regarding mood, Doke identifies three finite ones, viz, indicative, subjunctive and conditional (with each of the moods having several tenses) and a number of non-finite moods such as the infinitive and the imperative. In terms of syntactic function, Doke observes that the Lamba verb may be transitive if for its meaning to be fully realized it requires an object, intransitive if it does not require an object or locative if it requires a locative construction.
It should be mentioned that while Doke (1938) is an impressively concise record of Lamba 'grammar', it is important to bear in mind that more than four decades have passed since its publication and, consequently, some of the forms it discusses may have changed—languages are not static. For instance, today it is more common to hear a Lamba person say ndealyeé nshima 'I am eating nshima' than ndukulyeé nshima 'I am eating nshima'.

There are other works which, though not dealing with Lamba directly, have relevance to this study. Some of these are Guthrie (1948), Fortune (1959), Ohannessian and Kashoki (1978), Kashoki (1968), Sharman (1955), Sharman and Meeussen (1956), Kashoki, Mann and Wright (1977), Lisimba (1982) and Miti (1988). Some of these have already been mentioned in 1.1. above.

In his work of 1948, Guthrie offers a topological classification of all Bantu languages organized in geographical zones and groups. He classifies Lamba as M54. Fortune (1959) follows Guthrie's classification and states that Lamba has Lima, Swaka and Seba as its dialects in addition to Lamba proper.

Ohannessian and Kashoki (1978) is perhaps the most extensive publication on the languages of Zambia. In this work an attempt is made to record the history of the languages of Zambia as well as to group them according to their relatedness in terms of origin, phonology and vocabulary. This work also has articles on patterns of language use and on language in education.
Sharman (1956), Kashoki (1968) and Mann (1977), though focusing on other aspects of what Kashoki (1968: 1) calls 'central Bemba', also discuss the tonology of the language in rather general terms. It is important to mention that none of these studies uses the ASP approach; rather they all use the linear approach. In fact, amongst them, it is only in Sharman (1956) that tone is looked at in detail and rules formulated to account for the surface tones. Sharman (1955) and (1956) are significant only in that they investigate the nature of the verbal system of Bemba--a system which undoubtedly has similarities with that of Lambda.

Miti (1988) investigates tonal variation in the varieties of Zambian Nyanja (Cewa, Nsenga and Ngoni) using the ASP approach. Miti discusses the major tenets of the ASP theory (that is, the occurrence of contour tones, tone stability and automatic spreading) and accounts for their occurrence in the Nyanja varieties. He notes, for instance, that in these varieties tone stability occurs where there is de-syllabicization and vowel elision as in the following examples:-

2. (a) de-syllabicization

\[
\text{mu-ana} \rightarrow \text{mw-ana} \rightarrow \text{mwa: nà'} \text{ 'child'}
\]

(b) vowel elision

\[
\text{Mu-kwasu} \rightarrow \text{mu-kwasu} \rightarrow \text{ŋkwàsù} \text{ 'brother'} \text{ (Miti, 1988:}
\]
Miti explains that in these examples where there is glide formation (as in (a) where /u/ becomes a glide) the H tone associated to the original /u/ is now linked to /a/ and in (b) where there is vowel elision, the remaining /m/ in /mu/ gains syllabic identity and the tone that was associated with the now non-existent /u/ is linked to it. Two final, but important, points should be raised about Miti's work. First, it should be noted that this work investigates not only tonology but also the morphology of both the verb and the noun and uses the theory of lexico-statistics to establish the extent of vocabulary correspondences between varieties. The second point relates to the major difference between Miti's work and the present one, that is, while the former looks at a number of language varieties within the framework of comparative dialectology the latter focuses on only one.

A significant contribution to the understanding of Bantu tonology especially within the context of ASP has been made by Goldsmith's (1984) work on Tonga (note that Goldsmith is one of the prominent names in ASP circles). In his analysis of Tonga tonology, Goldsmith establishes that Tonga is an accented language.

There are several other works which evaluate the Autosegmental view and in which examples of how tonology can be approached are found. Some of these works are Kenstowicz and Kisseberth

In their work of 1979 Kenstowicz and Kissberth discuss the major trends in generative phonology and give an evaluation of the standard theory in the light of recent developments. They observe that two approaches to the study of tone can be distinguished, viz linear and ASP. Both approaches are said to fall within the framework of generative phonology, which views phonological elements in terms of feature specifications such as [± syllabic], [± anterior], [± coronal] and so on. They observe that whilst the description of tone has been affected by the principle of feature specification, it should, however, be stressed that tone is unlike other phonological elements in that it is a 'multi-valued scalar feature' which is able to function in a whole host of complex ways. Kenstowicz and Kissberth also present and evaluate the reasons advanced in support of both linear and ASP approaches. They note that while in the former tone is viewed as a feature of the vowel and syllabic consonants, in the latter it is held that tone must be associated with morphemes (see also Batibo, 1986:35). Secondly, the linear approach holds the view that there are languages in which tonal realization is dependent upon phonetic environment in which the TBU finds itself, a situation which would not exist if tone and the segment were separate. On the other hand, the ASP approach advances the existence of contour tone, tone stability, automatic spreading and floating tones as evidence to strengthen the 'tone autonomy' argument:
Tone stability is taken to mean that when an underlying tone-bearing unit (normally a vowel) is either deleted or becomes non-syllabic and loses its ability to bear tone, the tone still survives and surfaces on an adjacent syllable (Katamba, 1989: 194).

Automatic spreading refers to the tendency for the tone borne by a segment that has been deleted to be 'spread over the now smaller chain' (Basboll, in Newmeyer, 1988: 204).

Concerning contour tones, it has been observed that they have the following internal structures where [+ high] stands for High tone, [-High] stands for low tone, and [\text{\hat{\imath}}] stands for falling tone and \text{[V]} stands for rising tone.

```
(+ High)       (- High)       (- High)       (+ High)
(+ Syllabic)   or             (+ Syllabic)
(- Consonant)
```

\[
\begin{align*}
H & \text{[\hat{\imath}]} & L & \text{[V]} \\
V & & V & \\
\end{align*}
\]

In concluding this review, it should be emphasized that the works cited are only those that have attempted to either look at the verbal system of Lamba or other related languages and the tonal systems of the latter or have used or reviewed the ASP approach. Consequently, this review is far from being exhaustive.

1.5. \textbf{Historical and Sociolinguistic Background}

This section addresses itself to issues related to the history of Lamba and Zambia's language situation and policy.
A good starting point would be to establish the areas in which the language (Lamba) is spoken. On the whole, much of the available literature suggests that Lamba has been, and is, spoken in and in the areas around the Copperbelt Province of Zambia and the Southern part of the Shaba Province of Zaire (Johnston 1919, Doke 1927, Bryan 1959, Luchembe 1974 and Ohannessian and Kashoki 1978). In fact, it would not be an exaggeration to assert that today the boundaries of Lamba extend into some parts of Northwestern and Central provinces of Zambia (see Map 2).

It is argued in the literature that the Lamba were one of the groups that originated from the Luba-Lunda Empire which was situated somewhere in the southern part of the present-day Zaire. Kashoki (1978: 9) asserts that the Lamba were one of the groups from Zaire 'that resulted in more or less distinct language groups'.

Historically the Lamba people are 'an agricultural and hunting people living in small villages sometimes far removed from one another' (Doke, 1927: xi). Each village is run by a headman who is directly responsible to the chief. Some of the prominent Lamba chiefs are Mushili, Nkana, Chiwala, Lesa, Ndubeni and Shibuchinga. Though the Lamba people are predominantly agriculturalists, their proximity to the copper mines has had a great impact not only on their way of life but also on their language. For instance, Doke (1938: 401) observes that Lamba has had English words incorporated into it as a result of its contact with modern civilization, and '... the strong influence of Bemba in the mining areas of the Copperbelt is of considerable
importance.' Doke further states that Lamba has acquired words from other languages such as Portuguese, Swahili, Nyanja, Lenje, Kaonde and Afrikaans.

The language situation in Zambia is quite complex owing to the great number of 'languages' spoken in the land and to population mobility. It is, in fact, not clear as to how many languages there are in Zambia; there are over 73 ethnic groups claiming to speak different languages so that it is often argued that there are over 73 languages spoken in Zambia (Ohannessian and Kashoki, 1978). There is a tendency to associate language with ethnic group or 'tribe' (see also Miti, 1979:)

Perhaps the difficulty in determining how many languages there are in Zambia arises from the lack of clarity in the use of the terms language and dialect'. Distinguishing between these two concepts, it must appreciated, is a rather difficult task as has been observed by scholars like Ladefoged, Glick and Criper (1971), Ohannessian and Kashoki (1978) and Haugen (1979) who note that the two terms' meaning are ambiguous. Haugen states this case clearly when he writes about the relationship between the difficulties encountered when attempting to state how many languages are spoken in the world and the lack of clarity of the terms language and dialect:

...the impossibility of stating precisely how many languages or dialects are spoken in the world is due to ambiguities of meaning present in these terms.... The use of these terms has imposed a division in what is often a continuum, giving what appears to be a neat opposition when the edges are rugged and uncertain (Haugen, 1979: 97).
It may be noted that it is virtually impossible to determine whether Lamba is a language or a dialect of Bemba to which it is related. For instance, while Guthrie (1948) classifies Lamba and Bemba as Zone M54 and M42 respectively, (that is, he regards the two as separate but related languages), Kashoki (1978: 19) puts both in the same group although he acknowledges that the two are different in some respects.

In this study, however, Lamba is considered to be a language, although it is appreciated that this is a rather debatable stand. It is our view that the distinction between language and dialect is rather elastic and is perhaps only helpful for comparative purposes.

We wind up this section with a brief look at the language policy in Zambia. This, it must be pointed out, has been covered in works like Mwanakatwe (1968), Africa (1980), Simukoko, (1981), Chishimba (1984), Chisanga (1987), Siachitema (1987) and many others. However, it is worth reiterating that from the numerous indigenous languages, seven are used for official purposes (in education, media and administration). These are Bemba, Kaonde, Lozi Lunda, Luvale, Nyanja and Tonga. Of these, four are taught at both primary and secondary school levels (Bemba, Lozi, Nyanja and Tonga), while the rest are taught only at primary school level. These languages are meant to be taught in specified areas (or zones): Bemba in the Northern, Luapula, Copperbelt and parts of Central Provinces; Tonga for Southern Province and some parts of Lusaka Province; Lozi for Western Province (and Livingstone
in the Southern Province); Nyania for Lusaka and Eastern Provinces and Kaonde, Lunda and Luvale for North-Western province (see map 1). In addition to these languages there is the English language which, since 1965, has been the official medium of instruction in the educational system from Grade 1 through university. It would be noted that Lamba, the subject of this study, is not an official language. Instead, the official language in Lamba-speaking areas is Bemba. This is in spite of the Lamba people's resentment of and opposition to the use of Bemba in their areas as observed by Serpell:

In the Copperbelt Province complaints have been voiced by Lamba-speaking parents against the use of Bemba as a medium of instruction (Serpell in Ohannessian and Kashoki, 1978: 436-437).

1.6. **Some Notes on the Phonology of Lamba**

The phonemic system of Lamba comprises segments and suprasegments. The former category consists of vowels, consonants and glides and the latter includes amongst others length and tone. Vowels, glides and consonants are shown in the feature matrices below. Note that figure 1 is a matrix of all Lamba sounds without removing redundancies. These redundancies are removed in Figure 2 where the sounds are minimally described.

It should be pointed out that the sounds presented in the matrices are only those that are phonemically significant (that is, phonemes). Phonemes may have several variants (allophones) whose use is dependent upon the phonetic environment. For instance, (3) and (4) below give the allophones of the phonemes
### Feature Description of Lamba Phonemes

| Feature         | i | e | a | o | u | p | B | t | l | k | g | s | f | v | c | j | m | n | p | y | y | w |
| Syllabic        | + | + | + | + | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Consonantal     | - | - | - | - | - | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | - |
| Interrupted     | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | + | - | + | - | - | + | + | + | + | + | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Anterior        | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | + | - | + | - | - | - | + | + | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Back            | - | - | + | + | + | - | - | - | + | + | - | - | - | + | + | + | - | - | - | - | + | - |
| Coronal         | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | + | - | + | - | - | + | + | - | - | - | + | + | - | - |
| High            | + | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | + | + | - | - | + | - | + | - | - | + | + | - | - |
| Low             | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lateral         | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Spirant         | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | + | + | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Delayed Release | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | - |
| Voice           | + | + | + | + | + | - | + | - | - | + | - | - | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | - |
| Nasal           | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
Fig 2: Minimal Feature description of Lamba Phonemes

| SYLLABIC | i | e | a | o | u | p | B | t | l | k | g | s | f | v | y | j | m | n | h | y | w |
| CONSONANTAL | + | + | + | + | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| INTERRUPTED | + | + | + | + | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ANTERIOR | + | + | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BACK | - | - | + | + | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CORONAL | - | - | + | + | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HIGH | + | - | - | + | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LOW | + | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LATERAL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SPIRANT | + | | + | + | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DELAYED RELEASE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| VOICE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NASAL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
/B/ and /l/, respectively.\textsuperscript{14}

(3) /B/\rightarrow [b]/ Nasal --

This rule means that the phoneme /B/ is realized as [b] after a nasal and as [B] elsewhere as in 3 (a) and (b) respectively. This rule should be observed although in the orthography the letter 'b' is often used. (In the present work the fricative (B) is written as B so as to avoid complications in typing. This means that wherever B occurs in the data and the examples it should be read as [B]).

(a) Ímbwa\rightarrow [iːmbwà] 'dog'
(b) aBáːnike\rightarrow [àBáːnike̩] 'children'

(4) /l/\rightarrow [d]/ Nasal -- states that the phoneme /l/ is realized as [d] after a nasal and as [l] in all other environments as in the examples below.

(5) (a) /n-la- li-a/\rightarrow [ǹdályà] 'I eat'
(b) /Ba-la-li-a/\rightarrow [Bàlályà] 'They eat'

These are but a few examples of the nature of Lamba allophones vis-a-vis phonemes. There are other phonemes which may have one or more allophones. For a detailed discussion of Lamba sounds it is recommended that Doke (1938) be consulted.

It is important at this stage to mention the salient, segmental rules which, as is explained in Chapters 2 and 3 may affect tone
patterns; these are glide formation (see (5) a and b where the fusion of the vowels /i/ and /a/ produces a glide /-y/); consonant harmony (as in (5) a where the rule in (4) applies and /L/ becomes /d/ because it comes after a nasal /n/; vowel harmony and vowel coalescence as in (6) and (7) below respectively.

(6) (a) -tem-il-a-----→ temena 'cut down trees for'
(b) -tóB-ulul-a-----→ toBólola 'pull down a house'

Note that in (a) above there is also consonant harmony (or nasal assimilation) where the non-nasal sound /l/ is assimilated by the preceding nasal

At this stage a word or two should be said about the process of vowel coalescence where two vowels which are in contact fuse. Below are some examples:

(7) (a) a+a-----→ a:
/ukúBona aBánike-----→ [ùkúBòna: Bánike] 'to see the children'
(b) a+i-----→ e:
/ukúBona inkálamba-----→ [ùkúBòne: nkálambà] 'to see an angel'
(c) a+u-----→ o:
/ukúBula ukuBona-----→ [ùkúBùlo: kuBòna] 'not to see'
(d) e+i-----→ e:
/BaaliBwene inkálamba-----→ [Bà: lìBwe: ne:nkálambà] 'they
saw an angel.

It has been mentioned that Lamba has two suprasegmental features: *viz* length and tone. These are features that are superimposed on segments; note, however, that in Chapter 2 they are treated as autonomous entities in line with the ASP theory.

In Lamba length is a phonemically distinctive suprasegmental feature as is shown by the following examples (minimal pair).

(8) (a) ukunona [ˈʊkʊnɔnɑ̀] 'to be fat' (of animals)  
(b) ukunoona [ˈʊkʊnɔːnɑ̀] 'to sharpen'

Tone is discussed in Chapter 2. It is, however, important to mention at this state that two level tones are attested in Lamba, *viz*, H (igh) and L (ow). Tone is phonemically significant in that it performs both lexical and grammatical functions. On the lexical level it distinguishes words which are 'similar in every other way but which have different tones and hence different meaning' (Mann, 1977: 15). For example in (9) below tone is responsible for the difference in meaning between the two words.

(9) (a) ukwimba [ˈʊkwɪːmbə] 'to sing'  
(b) ukwimba [ˈʊkwɪːmbə] 'to dig'

On the grammatical level tone 'is used for signalling grammatical distinction '(Katamba, 1988: 187). It thus distinguishes grammatical categories such as tense and mood (see chapter 2 for a discussion of these categories).
NOTES

1. There are other scholars like Karl Meinhof, S. Koelle, Joseph Greenberg and many others who have made significant contributions to Bantu linguistics. Greenberg classifies the languages of Africa (not just Bantu languages as does Guthrie) on the basis of their genealogy. In fact before Bleek there were other scholars like H. Lichtenstein who had earlier worked on Bantu languages. Credit, however, goes to Bleek for being the first to attempt to study these languages systematically; indeed he is the 'father' of Bantu linguistics.

2. Reference to a language as major or minor is by and large arbitrary and subjective. Perhaps one of the reasons given was the number of speakers but even this is not a precise criterion. Nevertheless, the literature suggests that Nyania, Bemba, Lozi and Tonga have always occupied a place of 'honour.' This position seems to have been strengthened by the national language policy.

3. Plateau Tonga is regarded as the 'Standard variety,' the officially useful variety. There are, however, other varieties of Tonga such as valley Tonga of Gwembe.

4. Presently it appears that their role is only of academic value. For instance, while the University of Zambia's Department of Literature and Languages recognizes this and makes it a component for the course ZLG 410, nothing seems to have been done about these languages' role in education beyond the University lecture room.

5. In a paper entitled Language, Law and Human Rights vis-a-vis the Place and Role of Non-official Languages in Democracy in Multilingual Setting' presented at an Institute of African Studies, University of Zambia, Seminar, Prof. Mubanga Kashoki presents a case for the non-official languages because he feels that language is both a human right and a resource.

6. Vowel harmony is a phonological process in which in certain environments vowels agree with each other in terms of certain features e.g., High or Low.

7. The syllabic structure given here is based on the surface representation. This may not be the case in the underlying representation. For instance, while lya 'eat' is monosyllabic in the surface representation (it has syllabic structure CCV), its realization underlyingly is different: li-a (i.e. cv-v). The relationships between the surface representation and the Underlying representation especially with reference to tone is discussed in detail in Chapter 2.

8. J. Kamina, Interview 20/06/92.

9. Chief Mushili on his 30th anniversary of his ascension to power argues that the Lamba were the first to discover Copper
Mines which the white man later took over (Sunday Times of Zambia, 1/11/92, p.1).

10. Some of the words that have come into Lamba from other languages with the languages of origin in brackets are imbataata 'potato' (Portuguese); imwamfuli 'umbrella' (Swahili); pempele 'worship' (Nyanja); lupeae 'warthog' (Kaonde) (Doke, 1938).

11. A number of criteria for distinguishing between these notions have been postulated; some of these are prestige, size, and mutual intelligibility. It is felt that a language has more prestige than a dialect; that a language has more speakers and has a wider spatial boundary than a dialect; and that while dialects of the same language are mutually intelligible, different language are not. These criteria are not apt, though. For instance, the issue of mutual intelligibility is one of degree; or in terms of size, how big or small should the area covered by a language or dialect be?

12. With the growing numbers of families using English as the first language, English is gradually becoming a 'Zambian' language.

13. See also Lehman, 1978: 106.

14. Some phonological rules are responsible for the occurrence of the allophones (e.g. /s/-→ [ʃ] (palatalisation); /l/-→ [ɗ] (stopping).
CHAPTER TWO

A TONOLOGICAL ANALYSIS OF THE LAMBA VERB

2.0. General

This chapter sets out to present a tonological analysis of the Lamba verb using the theory of ASP. It first discusses the morphological structure of the verb and the various grammatical categories that characterize it. These are then analyzed for tone, first on an individual basis and then generalizations are made. It should be emphasised that although this chapter primarily concerns itself with the analysis of verbal tonology in Lamba, it is necessary to discuss the morphology of the verb because morphology is integrally connected with tonology in linguistic structure.

2.1. Grammatical Categories

2.1.0 General

Any study of the verb in Lamba and indeed any other Bantu language, should, of necessity, consider the major grammatical categories that are morphologically and phonologically marked. For the study of Lamba verb forms, the relevant grammatical categories are mood, tense, aspect, relativity (relative and absolutive (non-relative order) and polarity (positive/negative).

2.1.1 Mood

In Lamba the category 'mood' comprises five members which are morphologically marked, namely, the infinitive, the imperative,
the indicative, the potential, and the subjunctive. In this study these are used in the traditional sense. The notion 'mood' in this study is used to refer to 'grammatical distinctions in verb forms which express a speaker's attitude to what he is saying. (Hartmann and stork, 1972: 144). A verb may, in this vein, be used to make a statement (indicative mood), give a command (imperative mood), make a wish, a supposition or a concession (subjunctive mood) or indicate a condition or a possibility (conditional or potential mood). It is important to note that the infinitive mood (usually marked by the prefix Ku-) has the ability to behave as a noun (for instance nominal class 17). Below are some examples:

(10) Infinitive: ñukulya 'to eat'
    Imperative: lyá: 'eat.'

    Indicative: Balályá 'they eat'
    Subjunctive: ñúlye 'You should eat/may eat'
    Potential: Bangëesä 'they can/could come!

It should be pointed out that while we have isolated the five major moods above, there are other moods which are not morphologically marked such as the interrogative and, to some extent the potential; Doke refers to the latter as the conditional (Doke, 1938). These moods are syntactically marked; for instance, the 'conditional' mood is marked by kani + -nga- as in
(11) kani Ba-nga-is-a--[kániBangé:sa] 'if they could come'
The interrogative may be marked by words which may in English be translated as 'when,' 'why,' 'what,' 'which' and other particles when used in questions.

(12) (a) Balúkulyeéndo.? (Balúkulya índo?) 'What are they eating?' (lit. they are eating what?)
(b) Bakalimá líisa? 'When are they going to cultivate (lit.- They are going to cultivate when?)

It should be emphasized, though, that the interrogative is not investigated in detail in this study because it is phonologically, and not morphologically, marked.

2.1.2 Tense
The category 'Tense' is associated with three of the five moods that have been identified in 2.1.1, namely the indicative, the subjunctive and the imperative moods, as shown n the table.

Fig 3. Co-occurrence of Tenses, Aspects and Moods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TENSE/ASPECT</th>
<th>INDICATIVE</th>
<th>SUBJUNCTIVE</th>
<th>IMPERATIVE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRESENT</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hodiernal</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>NIL</td>
<td>NIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-hodiernal</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>NIL</td>
<td>NIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUTURE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hodiernal</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-hodiernal</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>NIL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Two points should be raised here. First, it should be emphasized that the infinitive and the potential are tenseless. Secondly it should be noted that some of the slots in the table above may not be morphologically differentiated. For instance úlye may be either in hodiernal future subjunctive ('You should eat now') or hodiernal future imperative ('You should eat later today'). Below are some further examples showing co-occurrence of the Moods and tenses in Lamba.

(13) a. Present indicative: ndàBona 'I eat'
b. Present Subjunctive: UBone 'You should eat'
c. Present Imperative: Bòna 'see'
d. Hodiernal Past Indicative: BaacìBona 'They saw today'
e. Pre-hodiernal past indicative: Baalìliile 'They ate'
f. Hodiernal Future Indicative: BàakuBona. 'They will see (today)'
g. Hodiernal Future Subjunctive: úlye 'You should eat today'
h. Hodiernal Future Imperative: UBò 'You must see'
i. Post-hodiernal Future Indicative: BakaBona 'They will see.'
k. Post-hodiernal Future subjunctive. Bakálye 'They must eat'.

2.1.3 Aspect
In Lamba five aspects have been identified: the progressive, the habitual, the persistive ('still') the punctual and the perfect,
as illustrated below:

(14) Progressive Balúkulya 'They are eating'
    Habitual Balálya 'They eat'
    Persistive Bačílya 'They are still eating'
    Perfect Balíliile 'They have eaten'
    Punctual Baákulya 'They will eat today'

These aspects may co-occur with other grammatical categories (mood and tense as is shown below):

**FIG. 4 CO-OCCURRENCE OF TENSES AND ASPECTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MOOD</th>
<th>TENSE</th>
<th>ASPECT</th>
<th>EXAMPLE GLOSS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indicative</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Habitual Balálya They eat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Progressive Balúkulya They are eating</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Persistive Bačílya They are still eating</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Perfect Balíliile They have eaten</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hodiernal</td>
<td>Simple Baálya They have eaten</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Baacílya They ate (today)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Progressive Baacílárlya They were eating</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(today)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Past</td>
<td>Punctual Baalíliile They ate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Progressive Baalúkulya They were eating</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Baaléelya They were eating</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Perfect Baalíliile They ate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hodiernal</td>
<td>Punctual Baákulya They will eat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Baaléelya They will be eating</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Progressive Baákulkulya They will be eating</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(today)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Perfect Bakulukuliile They will have eaten</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Future</td>
<td>Punctual Bakálya They will eat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Progressive BakálukuBona They will be eating</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hodiernal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOOD</td>
<td>TENSE / ASPECT</td>
<td>EXAMPLE GLOSS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUBJECTIVE</td>
<td>present Punctual</td>
<td>Bálye 'They should eat'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Progressive</td>
<td>Báleekúlya 'They should be eating'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Perfect</td>
<td>Báleekúliile 'They should have eaten'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hodiernal Punctual</td>
<td>Bálye (today) 'They should eat'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Progressive</td>
<td>Báleekúlya (today) 'They should be eating'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FUTURE Perfect</td>
<td>Báleekúliile 'They should have eaten'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>post- hodiernal</td>
<td>Bakálye 'They should eat'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Progressive/ Habitual</td>
<td>Bakáleekulima 'They should be cultivating'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Perfect</td>
<td>Bakáleekulimine 'They should have cultivated'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPERATIVE</td>
<td>PRESENT Punctual</td>
<td>Lýá 'eat'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Progressive</td>
<td>Bóna 'see'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ÚleekúBona 'You should be seeing'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Koolyá 'You should be eating'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hodiernal FUTURE</td>
<td>úlye 'You must eat'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Progressive</td>
<td>Koolyá 'You must eat'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mùleekúlya 'You must be eating'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kamúlya 'You must be eating'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>post- hodiernal</td>
<td>wúkálye 'You must eat (in Future)'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>wúkáBóna 'You must see'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Progressive</td>
<td>wúleekúlya 'You should be eating'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>wúleekúBóna 'You (sg) should be eating'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On the basis of the co-occurrence of mood, tense and aspect, we can isolate and represent the markers of these grammatical categories as in the table above.
FIG. 5  **Markers of Mood, Tense**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MOOD</th>
<th>TENSE</th>
<th>ASPECT</th>
<th>MARKER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PRESENT</td>
<td>Punctual</td>
<td>-la- -a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Progressive</td>
<td>-lee- -a/-luku- -a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Perfect</td>
<td>-li- -ile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Persistive</td>
<td>-ci- -a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDICATIVE</td>
<td>hodiernal</td>
<td>Simple</td>
<td>-a- -a/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-aci- -a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAST</td>
<td>Progressive</td>
<td>-acilaa- -a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Punctual</td>
<td>-aali- ile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Perfect</td>
<td>-aaluku- a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-aali- ile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FUTURE</td>
<td>Punctual</td>
<td>-ku- -a/ -lee -a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Progressive</td>
<td>-akaluku- -a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Perfect</td>
<td>-akuluku- -ile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>post-</td>
<td>Punctual</td>
<td>-ka- -a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hodiernal</td>
<td></td>
<td>-kalu- -a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Progressive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.4  **Relativity (Absolutive-Relative Order)**

As suggested by the term 'absolutive-relative order,' this category comprises two sub-categories, the absolutive and the relative. The **absolutive** form of the verb is one where the verb form is used in a clause that is not a relative clause and a **relative** verb form is one that is used in a relative clause. This dichotomy is relevant to Lamba as is shown below.

(15) (a) ABáánike BalúkuBona 'The children are seeing'  
(b) ABáánike áBalúkuBona 'The children who are seeing'

In the example above the only semantico-syntactic difference between (a) and (b) is that in (a) the verb is used in a non-
relative (absolutive) clause while in (b) it is used in a relative clause. It may be noted that relative clauses in Lamba are those introduced by words which correspond to the English relative pronouns who, whom, which, that and so on with their use dependent upon the nature of the subject—whether it is human or non-human as in the examples below:

(16) (a) Ìmbwa ìilyéé nshíma 'The dog that/which eats nshíma.'
(b) ÎBala îli Bálílimíne 'The farm which they cultivated.'
(c) ÁBaantu áBalyéé nsoka 'The people who eat snakes.'
(d) Kalúmbwana úu mwaBóna 'The boy who you have seen.

It is also important to distinguish between subject relative clauses and non-subject relative clauses as is shown in (b) and (c) below respectively.

(17) (a) ÀBaana BalúkuBóneé nkálamba (àBaana BalúkuBóna inkálamba). 'The children are seeing an angel.' (non-relative)
(b) àBaana áBalúkuBóneé nkálamba (àBaana áBalúkuBóna inkálamba) 'The children who are seeing an angel.' (subject relative)
(c) (i) Inkálamba îî BalúkuBóna áBaana 'The angel whom the children are seeing.'
(ii) Inkálamba îî àBaana BalúkuBóna 'The angel the children are seeing.' (non-subject relative).
Note the presence of a- in (b) and its absence in (c) before the verb. It should also be noted that in non-subject relative clauses it is possible to use the zero (θ), relative (that is a relative clause in which a relative pronoun is not included structurally) as in the following example.

(18) (a) IBala áBaana Balúkulima 'The farm the children are cultivating.' Contrast this with the following:

(a) IBala ilí áBaana Balúkulima 'The farm which the children are cultivating.'

It is important to make it clear that the category absolutive - relative is relevant in the indicative only.

2.1.5 **Polarity (positive-negative)**

This category co-occurs with every other category; that is, to every positive verb form, there is a corresponding negative form. Below are some examples.

(19) (a) UkuBona 'to see' (infinitive-positive)
UkuBulóo kúBona 'not to see' (infinitive - negative)

(b) Balálya 'They eat' (indicative present habitual positive)
Tabalyapo 'They don't eat' (Indicative Present Habitual negative)

(c) Ukályepo 'You should eat' (subjunctive past-hodiernal future positive)
Wíkalyapo 'You should not eat' (Subjunctive past-hodiernal Future negative)

Except for the infinitives, negation is morphologically marked; the nature of the negative marker to be used is dependent upon the nominal category 'person' - whether first person, second or third person (for instance, in (b) and (c) above ta- and -i- are used to refer to the third and the second person respectively. In the infinitive negation is syntactically marked, see for instance, 19 (a) above.

2.2. Morphological structure of the Verb

The structure of the Lambda verb is similar to that of all other Bantu languages which, as Miti (1988), observes comprises a radical and several affixes which precede it or follow it as in the following example.

(20) Ba-luku-Bón-a→[BalúkuBona] 'They are seeing'

The radical is the nucleus of the verb and may in some languages influence the application of tonal rules (Chanda, 1987).

There are several verbal morphemes (or constituents) which may precede and/or follow the Radical. These are presented in the table below:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONSTITUENT</th>
<th>EXAMPLE</th>
<th>GLOSS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-prefix</td>
<td>ta-Ba-li-a-po (taBalyapo)</td>
<td>'They don't eat'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefix</td>
<td>Ba-la-li-a (Balálya)</td>
<td>'They eat'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tense/Aspect sign</td>
<td>Ba-luku-Bón-a (BalúkuBona)</td>
<td>'They are seeing'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infix</td>
<td>Ba-luku-mu-Bón-a (BalukúmuBona)</td>
<td>'They are seeing him'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radical</td>
<td>Ba-lu-ku-li-a (Balukulya)</td>
<td>'They are eating'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension</td>
<td>Ba-li-mu-lim-il-ile (Balimulimine)</td>
<td>'They have cultivated for him'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ending</td>
<td>Baluku-Bón-a (BalúkuBona)</td>
<td>'They are seeing'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-ending</td>
<td>muBón-a-ini (muBonéeni)</td>
<td>'See him'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Lamba it is possible for all these morphemes to be used in one sentence as in the following example.

(21) TaBalúkumúliminapo 'they are not cultivating for him'
    where ta- is a pre-prefix
    -Ba- is a prefix
    -luku-is the tense marker
    -mu-is the object infix
    -lim-is the Radical
    -im-is the extension (applied)
    -a-is the ending, and
    -po is the post-ending.

It is important also to mention that these morphemes occur in the order in which they appear here and have specific functions to perform in the verbal system. It should be emphasized that not
every verb can have all of these morphemes.

In winding up this section, a word or two more should be said about the prefix and the infix. It should be mentioned that the realization (form) of these morphemes largely depends on the nature of the enclitic they refer to as in (22) below.

(22) (a) Bakolwe taRaBonapo 'Monkeys don't see'
            (b) UkuiBoná inkálamba 'To see the angel'

In (a) the underlined segment is the prefix while in (b) it is an infix. Appendix III gives all the possible prefixes and infixes vis-a-vis noun prefixes.

There are several verbal extensions in Lamba, these include among others the causative, the reciprocal, the associative, the reversive, the applied and the intensive. All these extensions perform different functions in the verbal system of Lamba. For instance, the passive extension (suffix -un-) indicates that the subject has been acted upon by some agent, the reciprocal (suffix -an-) denotes the idea that something is done reciprocally; the reversive indicates a reversal of an action (suffix -ulul-, with variations depending on the phonetic environment); the applied is used 'to indicate an action when applied on behalf of, towards or with regard to some object' (Doke, 1938: 184); its suffix is il- with variations depending on phonetic environment; it may be realized as -el-, -in- or -en-. 
(23) (a) -Bon---→Bòn-u-a [Bówà] 'Be seen' (passive)
(b) -Bon-an-a [Bònànà] 'See each other' (reciprocal)
(c) (i) -lim- -lim-il-a [Lìminà] ' Cultivate on behalf of'
       (applied)
(ii) -Bòmb- →Bòmb-il-a [Bòmbèlà] 'Work for/on behalf of' (Applied)'
(iii) -tèm- →tèm -il-a [tèmènà] 'Cut down trees for'
       (Applied)

These are but a few examples of verbal extensions in Lamba. A
more detailed analysis of these is made in Doke (1938); it is
strongly recommended that this document be consulted for more
examples.

Finally, it should be emphasized that the discussion of verbal
morphology above is of paramount importance to this study since
in the discussion of verbal tonology in the next sections constant
reference will be made to morphological and phonological processes
as these and tonal realization are inextricably interrelated.

2.3 Tone Patterns and Rules

2.3.0 General

This section discusses the tonal behaviour of the Lamba verb from
an autosegmental point of view. Tone patterns are observed in
terms of underlying and surface representations. Rules are then
formulated to account for the relationship between these
representations. The discussion is confined to the following
parameters: (a) mood, (b) tense and aspect, (c) polarity (d) relativity, (e) the position of the verb (whether in isolation or not sentence-final), (f) the nature of the word that follows the verb, and, (g) whether there is an infix or not. These elements determine the tone pattern that may occur. Except for the nature of the word (s) that follow (s) the verb, the other parameters have been discussed adequately in the preceding sections. It should be stated that words that may follow the verb in Lamba may fall into two broad categories, viz. consonant-initial words and vowel-initial words. The former category may be further divided into two sub-categories: those consonant-initial words which do not induce the raising of the tone of the FV of the verb (type A, Ewino-type words) and those that do (type B, kolwe-type words). 6

2.3.1 **Surface Tonal Patterns**

Several tone patterns are observable in the data. Further, there are differences between the surface representations and the underlying ones. For instance the positive infinitive úkulya 'to eat' which is underlyingly L-L-H-L (u-ku-lí-a) is realized as HLL (úkulya) superficially. Some of the surface tone patterns and the conditions under which they occur are illustrated below.

(i) # LHL"##

This pattern occurs in the positive infinitive with -CVC-, in the present habitual positive and the post-hodiernal future positive with CV (C) with or without an infix. In the infinitive it may also occur with CV
radical with an infix.

(24) (a) ukúBona 'to see' (infinitive)
(b) ukúmuBona 'to see him' (infinitive)
(c) BaláBona 'they see' (Present habitual)
(d) BalámúBona 'they see him (Present habitual)
(e) BakáBona 'they will see' (Post hodiernal future)
(f) BakámúBona 'they will see him (post hodiernal future)
(g) Bakácilya 'they will eat it' (Post-hodiernal Future)
(h) ukúmulya 'to eat him' (infinitive)
(i) ndálya 'I eat' (indicative Present habitual)

(ii) # HL² ##
This pattern occurs with the positive infinitive with CV radical

(25) úkulya 'to eat'
ukúlíla (not *úkuliila) 'to eat on behalf of'.

(iii) # L¹#
This pattern is used with
1. the positive infinitive with CV (C) radical before A

(26) ukuBona Bwino 'to see well'

2. the positive infinitive with CV (C)... ##
(27) (a) ukulima 'to cultivate'  (b) ukulimina 'to cultivate for'

3. the positive present habitual, present progressive, post-hodiernal future and pre-hodiernal past with CV (C) radical with or without an infix-in the absolutive, either in isolation or before A.

(28) (a) Balalima 'they cultivate' (Present habitual)
      (b) Balalima Bwino 'they cultivate well (Present habitual)
      (c) Balukulima 'they are cultivating (Present Progressive)
      (d) -Balukulilima 'they are cultivating it' (Present progressives)

      (e) Bakalima 'they will cultivate' (Post hodiernal future)
      (f) Bakalima Bwino 'they will cultivate well (Post-
      hodiernal future'.
      (g) Baalilimine 'they cultivated' present hodiernal Future)

4. the negative absolutive present habitual and pre-
hodiernal past with CV (C) radical with or without an
infix.

(29) (a) taBalyapo 'they don't eat
      (b) taBaBonapo 'they don't see' (Present habitual)
      (c) taBaaBweenepo 'they didn't (Present habitual past)
(iv) \#L^H#

This pattern occurs in the infinitive and all absolute indicative tenses with both CV' (C) and CV' (C) radicals before B in both the positive and the negative. Below are some examples:-

(30) (a) ukuBoná kolwe 'to see the monkey' (infinitive)
(b) ukulimá na kábili 'to cultivate again' (infinitive).
(c) BalaBoná kolwe. 'They see the monkey (Present habitual positive)
(d) taBaBonápoló kolwe 'they don't see the monkey (negative Present habitual).
(e) BakaBoná nakábili 'they will see again' (Positive post modernal future).

These are just some of the examples illustrating the occurrence of the pattern above.

(v) \#L^2 HLHL##

-This tone pattern is used in the absolutive present progressive negative with both CVC and CVC radicals with or without an infix.

(31) (a) taBalúkuBonápo 'they are not seeing'
(b) taBalúkucíBonápo 'they are not seeing it'
(c) tatulúkulímapo 'we are not cultivating'
(d) tatulúkucílimaupo 'we are not cultivating it'

(vi) #L
This pattern occurs in the negative post-hodiernal future with or without an infix with both CV (C) and CVC radical.

(32) (a) taBakáBonapo 'They won't see'
(b) taBakálílimapo 'They won't cultivate.'

(vii) #HL
This pattern occurs in the subject relative present habitual, and hodiernal past for CVC and CVC radicals with or without an infix.

(33) (a) ñabaBona 'who see' (Present habitual)
(b) ñabalíma 'who cultivate (Present habitual)
(c) ñabaaBona 'who saw today 'Hodiernal past)
(d) ñbaalíma 'who cultivated today' (Hodiernal past).

(viii) #HL
-This tone pattern is used in the negative relative present habitual and pre-hodiernal past for CV (C) and CV (C) radicals without an infix. Note that in the pre-hodiernal past this pattern may occur even when there is an infix.
(34) (a) áBataBonapo 'who don't see' (Present Habitual)
(b) áBatalimapo 'who don't cultivate' (Present Habitual)
(c) úutaliilepo 'who didn't eat (present hodiernal past)
(d) áBo tatuBonapo 'whom we don't (Present Habitual; non-
subject relative).
(e) íli tatwaaliminepo 'which we didn't cultivate' (Present
Hodiernal past; objective rel.)

(ix) # HL^2 HL^n##
This pattern occurs in the subject relative post-hodiernal
future negative with or without an infix with both CVC and
CVC radicals.

(35) (a) úutakaBonapo 'who won't see'
(b) úutakálilimapo 'who won't cultivate it'.

(x) # HL^3 HLHL^n##
This tone pattern is observable in the non subject relative
present progressive negative with both lexically H and
lexically L verbs.

(36) (a) útamulúkuBonapo 'whom you are not seeing'
(b) íli tamulúkulímapo 'which you are not cultivating'

(xi) -#HL #
This pattern is observable in the imperative

(36) Bóna 'see'
2.3.2 Underlying Tonal Patterns

The corpus reveals two basic underlying tone patterns which are represented below. It should be reemphasized that in our analysis it is only the verb radical and the augment-like vowel in relative clauses that can be underlyingly H-toned. The two underlying patterns are:

(i) \[ L^n H L^n \#

(37) (a) Infinitive positive: \[ u-ku-B\hat{\text{o}}n\-a 'to see' \]
(b) Subjunctive positive: \[ u-\text{l}i\-e 'you should eat' \]
(c) indicative Pres. Hab: \[ Ba-la-li\-a 'they eat' \]
\[ Ba-la-B\hat{\text{o}}n\-a 'they see' \]
(d) indicative Pres. Prog. positive \[ Ba-luku-B\hat{\text{o}}n\-a 'they will see' \]
(e) indicative Post-hon-future Positive \[ Ba-ka-B\hat{\text{o}}n\-a 'they will see' \]
(f) Indicative post-hod-Future negative \[ ta-Ba-ka-B\hat{\text{o}}n\-a\text{-apo} 'They won't see' \]
(g) indicative Pres. Hab. Neg: \[ ta-Ba-B\hat{\text{o}}n\-a 'they don't see' \]

These are some of the examples of this tone pattern. It should be stated though that this pattern accounts for the absolutive forms (both positive and negative).

(ii) \[ H L^n H L^n \#

This underlying pattern is observable in the relative forms as in the following examples:
(38) Pres. Hab. á-Ba-Bón-a 'who see' (subject-relative)
   Pres Prog. á-Ba-luku-Bón-a 'who are seeing'
   Pres Hab. í-li- Ba-Bón-a 'which they see' (non-subject relative)
   -Post-hod future: á-Ba-ka-Bón-a 'who will see' (subject relative)

These are the major tone patterns that are observable in our data. A number of tonal rules account for the relationship between the surface representations and their underlying forms. The rules are discussed in 2.3.3 but before this is done a word should be said on the role of certain segments in the occurrence of the surface patterns.

It should be noted that in some forms the inclusion of an infix has an important influence on the surface tone pattern; compare for instance the infinitive forms ñkulva 'to eat' and ukúciya 'to eat it'. The difference in the tone patterns in these examples has largely to do with the inclusion of the object infix -qi- in the latter. There are several examples of this situation in Lamba. A further point concerning the infix is that it may in some cases have a surface H tone while in others it may not. In this vein, therefore, it may not be appropriate to make any generalisation concerning the tone of this segment; suffice it to say that, like with all other morphemes the tone of the object infix is dependent as much on the nature of the verb as on the position (or environment) in which it is used.
Another element that needs special mention is the augment-like vowel in relative clauses (both subject and non-subject). It is important to note that this segment is H-toned in all cases. Therefore, we consider it lexically H-toned.

2.3.3 Tonal Rules

2.3.3.0 General

The last section presented some of the tone patterns observable in the Lamba data. We now discuss the rules that account for these and other patterns. In doing so we establish the relationship between underlying and surface tone patterns. The presentation of the rules, it should be stressed, is based not on individual parameters as stated in 2.3.0 but on the nature of the rules themselves. In this vein, therefore, the rules identified are of five types. These are:

1. Rules of tone shift
2. Rules of tone lowering
3. Rules of tone raising
4. Rules of tone imposition (insertion)
5. Rules of tone spreading

These various types of tonal rules may work quite well with each other. For instance, as is see below there are cases where we have tone imposition with lowering as well as tone imposition with raising.
At this point, a point should be made on the conventions used in this study. As stated above (see list of symbols and abbreviations) $S$ represents any syllable. Since in Lamba all TBU's are vowels, in $S$, for example $H$ is born by the vowel of the syllable; $V$ represents word-final syllable made of a vowel only. This is used to refer to verbal ending -a and -e.

Most syllables in Lamba being L-toned, the L tone is unmarked and H tone is marked both underlyingly and superficially. This allows us to consider, for the purpose of this analysis and in accordance with the under specification theory (see Archangelli) to posit only one underlying tone, H. In most verbal forms the first syllable of the radical is the only syllable which may be underlying H. The only other exception is the augment-like vowel in relative clauses.

2.3.3.1 Rules of Regressive Tone Shift (RTS)

2.3.3.1.0 General

Miti (1988) observes that Regressive Tone Shift is a historical tonal rule which has been observed in the majority of Bantu languages. In this category of tonal rules, tone shifts to the left, that is regressively. In Lamba there are a number of rules of regressive tone shift and these are presented and exemplified below:
2.3.3.1.1 RTS Rule 1

This rule has two conditions for its application: (a) the radical of the verb should be of CV type and (b) there should be one syllable between the radical and the syllable to which the H tone shifts. This rule accounts for the positive infinitive as in pattern (ii) above. Below are examples of how the rule works.

(39) u-ku-li-a---ukulya 'to eat'
     u-ku-nu-a------ukunwa 'to drink'

(a) UR u-ku-li-a

Rule 1 u-ku-li-a
Glide Formation: u-ku-[y]a
SR ukulya 'to eat'

(b) -UR u-ku-nu-a

RTS 1: u-ku-nu-a
Glide Formation u-ku-[w]a
SR ukunwa 'to drink'
It should be noted that in these examples there is also application of the phonological process of glide formation (or semi-vocalisation). Note also that in the example above there is no infix.

The following examples show that the rule is category-sensitive:

40) (a) indicative Pres. Hab: u-la-li-a→ulálya (*úlalya) 'you eat'
    (b) Subjunctive Pres: u-ci-li-e→ucílye (*úcílye) 'you should eat it'
    (c) indicative Future: u-ka-li-a→ukálya (*kálya) 'you will eat'

The forms in (39) and (40) have the same structure, that is v-cv-cv-v but RTS Rule 1 applies to (39) but not to (40). This shows that RTS Rules 1 is category-sensitive. The following example shows that tonally nothing happens to infinitives with L-toned radicals.

41) (a) u-ku-i-a----→ukuya 'to go'
    (b) u-ku-ni-a----→ukunya 'to defecate'

3.1.2 RTS Rule 2

\[
\begin{array}{c}
H \\
\H \\
\end{array}
\]

# S S (S) S X # #, where X is any number of permissible syllables in a word
In this rule tone shifts to the second syllable. Note that in this rule there may or may not be an obligatory infix between the radical and the syllable to which tone shifts. This rule applies to several verbal forms as in the examples below:

(i) **Infinitive Positive**

\[ \text{UR: u-ku-mu-li-a} \]

RTS Rule 2: u-ku-mu-li-a
SR ukumulya 'to eat him'

(b) UR: u-ku-mu-li-il-a

RTS Rule 2 u-ku-mu-li-il-a
SR ukumulila 'to eat in his behalf'

(c) UR: u-ku-(mu)-Bon-a

SR ukumubona 'to see him'

(ii) **Present Habitual Positive Indicative**

(d) UR Ba-la-Bon-a

RTS Rule 2 Ba-la-Bon-a
SR BaláBona. 'They see'
(e) UR: n-la-Bon-a

RTS Rule 2: n-la-Bon-a

Nasal Assimilation: N[d]a - Bon-a
SR: NdaBona 'I see'

(f) UR Ba-la-mu-Bon-a

RTS Rule 2: Ba-la-mu-Bon-a
SR BalamuBona 'they see him'

(g) a. UR n-la-li-a

RTS Rule 2: n-la-li-a

Nasal Assimilation n[da]-li-a
SR Ndálya 'I eat'

(iii) Post-Hodiernal Future Positive

(h) Ba-ka-Bon-a---BakaBona 'they will see'
Ba-ka-twal-a---Bakätwala 'They will deliver'

UR. Ba-ka-Bon-a
RTS Rule 2: Ba-ka-Bon-a
SR BakaBona 'they will see'

(iv) Subjunctive Future Positive

(i) UR: Ba-ka-Bon-a

RTS Rule 2: Ba-ka-Bon-e
RS: BakaBone 'They should see'
2.3.3.1.3 RTS Rule 3

\[ \# S SS (S) S--- ## [Indicative Positive] \]

In this rule tone shifts to the third syllable between which and the radical there is an optional infix (object marker). The rule accounts for tone in a number of absolutive tenses such as positive pre-hodiernal past simple with or without an infix, hodiernal past progressive without an infix.

\[ H \]

(43) (a) UR: Ba-ali-Bon-ile

\[ H \]

Rule 3 Ba-ali-Bon-ile
SR: BaaliBweene 'They saw'

\[ H \]

(b) UR: Ba-ali-mu-Bon-ile

\[ HH \]

Rule 3: Ba-ali-mu-Bon-ile
SR: BaalimuBweene 'They saw him'

\[ \]

(c) UR: Ba-aluku-Bon-a

\[ H \]

Rule 3: Ba-aluku-Bona-a
SR: BaalukuBona 'They were seeing '

2.3.3.1.4 RTS Rule 4

\[ \#S S S S S---##\]
This rule specifies that there should be three syllables before the syllable to which tone shifts and one between it and the radical; the latter is an infix. The rule accounts for examples such as the following:

(44) UR: Ba-aluku-mu-Bon-a
     Rule 4: Ba-aluku-mu-Bon-a
     SRd: BaalukumuBona 'They were seeing him'

2.3.3.1.5 RTS Rule 5

[Diagram]

In this rule H tone shifts to the syllable to the immediate left of the radical. This rule is category sensitive and applies only to the subjunctive with CV radical as in the example below.

(45) UR: Ba-li-e

SR: Bálye 'They should eat'

The rules above are the main regressive tone shift rules that can be formulated to account for some of the tone patterns observable in the data.
2.3.3.2 **Rule of Progressive Tone Shift (PTS)**

In this rule, tone shifts progressively, that is to a tone-bearing unit that comes after the one that originally bore it. Like RTS Rule, this rule is category-sensitive and applies only to the negative infinitive. Note that the negative infinitive comprises and auxiliary plus the infinitive where the where the former *ukuBula* is lexically H- toned. PTS Rule 1 may be formulated as below:

\[
\text{[ S S S $\text{S}$]} \quad \text{Neg infinitive Auxiliary.}
\]

(46) (a) **UR:** U-Ku-Bul-a \# Uku-lim-a

PTS Rule 1: U-ku-Bul-a \# u-ku-lim-a

SR: UkuBulo kulima 'Not to cultivate'

This rule may apply together with other rules such as those or RTS as in (46) below:

(b) **UR:** u-ku-Bul-a \# u-ku-li-a

PTS Rule 1: U-ku-Bul-a \# U-ku-li-a

RTS Rule 1: u-kuBul-a u-ku-li-a

Vowel Coalescence: u-ku-Bul- [o o ] ku-li-a
Glide formation: u-ku-Bul- [o o] ku-[lya].
SR: ukuBulökulya 'not to eat'

(c) UR: u-ku-Bul-a u-kuBon-a

PTS Rule 1: u-ku-Bul-a u-ku-Bon-a

RTS Rule 2: u-ku-Bul-a u-ku-Bon-a

Vowel coalescence u-ku-Bul-[oɔ]ku Bona
SR: ukuBulo: kuBona 'Not to see'

An important observation to make here is that in these examples the behaviour of the main verb is like that of type B- words (Kolwe-type words); that is it induces the raising of the FV of the auxiliary ukuBula (see TR Rule 1 and note 6 below for more information on type B words). The only problem this would raise is that it would not be easy to account for what happens to the H on the radical of ukuBula. One explanation would be to say that this tone is lowered but this would require a different rule altogether. To avoid such complexities, therefore, it is safer to assert that the rule of PTS applies.

In these examples there is tone stability, that is even when, in these cases, the phonological rule of vowel coalescence is applied and a new sound is formed, the tone is maintained. Secondly these examples show the occurrence of contour tones in Lamba. It may further be observed that these examples show the interrelatedness
between tonal and phonological rules.

2.3.3.3 **Rules of Tone Lowering (TL)**

In Lamba verb forms, there are two principal rules of tone lowering. TL rule 1 formulated below, applies only when the verb is followed by Type A (Bwino-type) words while TL rule 2 does not need to be followed by A.

2.3.3.3.1 **TL Rule 1**

\[
\begin{align*}
H & \neq \\
[# X S Y # A # # ]
\end{align*}
\]

Where X and Y can be any number of permissible syllables before and after the radical respectively.

This rule means that the tone of the radical is lowered before a (Bwino-type) words as in the example below:

(a) **UR**: u-ku-Bon-a #Bwino

\[
\begin{align*}
H & \\
TL1 & u-ku-Bon-a #Bwino
\end{align*}
\]

**SR**: ukuBona Bwino 'To see clearly'.

(b) **UR**: ta-Ba-Bon-a-po # Bwino

\[
\begin{align*}
H & \\
TL1 & ta-Ba-Bon-a po # Bwino
\end{align*}
\]

**SR**: taBaBonapo Bwino 'They don't see clearly'.

(c) UR: Ba-la-lim-a # Bwino
SR: Balalima Bwino 'they cultivate well.'

Note that this rule applies to the majority of verbal categories in Lamba when the verb radical has H tone. If the radical has no H tone on it, the application of this rule is redundant as in (c) above.

2.3.3.3.2 **TL Rule 2**

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c}
& X & S & Y & # & # & \\
\hline
H & + & \\
\end{array}
\]

Rad

Where X and Y are any number of permissible syllables before and after the radical respectively, within a word.

This rule specifies that the tone of the radical is lowered, if it is underlying H. The radical may be preceded by any number of syllables. Note that the difference between this rule and the preceding one is that for the tone of the radical to be lowered the verb does not need to be followed by an A-type word.

(48) (a) UR. Ta-Ba-Bon-a-po

TL Rule 2: ta-Ba-Bon-a-po

SR: taBaBonapo 'They don't see'

(b) UR ta-Ba-a-Bon-ile-po

TL Rule 2: ta-Ba-a-Bon-ile-po
Imbrication: ta-Ba-a-[Bweene] -po
SR: taBbweenepo 'They didn't see'

It is possible to collapse TL rules 1 and 2 as illustrated below:

\[ H \]

\[ [X S Y # (\# A) \# \#] \]

Here the inclusion of A is optional, that is, the tone of the radical is lowered either when the verb is followed by A as in (47) or when not, as in (48).

2.3.3.4 Rules of Tone Raising (TR)
There are two rules of tone raising. These are rules which account for the raising of tone in certain environments.

2.3.3.4.1 TR Rule 1 (Final Vowel Tone Raising - FVTR)

\[ H \]

\[ [\# X S \# B \# \#] \]

Where X is any number of permissible syllables before the FV and B is a kolwe- type word.

This rule means that the tone of the FV is raised before B. It may be applied after other rules have already been as in (b) in the following example.

(49) (a) UR u-ku-lim-a \# nakaBili

\[ H \]

TR Rule u-ku-lim-a \# nakaBili
SR: ukulima' nakabili 'To cultivate again'

(b) UR u-ku-Bon-a #kolwe
TL u-ku-Bon-a # kolwe

TR Rule u-ku-Bon-a # kolwe
SR: ukuBoná kolwe 'To see the monkey'

It should be stated that while there is a temptation to argue that in (b) there is progressive tone shift, it cannot be considered for then we may not account for the H on the FV of ukulima; we know that -lim- is lexically low - toned. They only solution, therefore, is to apply TR rule 1. This means that TR rule 1 accounts for both lexically L and lexically H verbs.

2.3.3.4.2 TR Rule 2

H  H
[ # - - S # V S # ]

This rule means that at word boundary is the FV of the first word is H, the IV of the next word is raised. Note that the rule applies only when the verb is L for both CVC and CV radicals or H with CV radical. This rule is sensitive to the nature of the verb. That is why it can account for (46) a and b but not (42) b.

H

(50) (a) UR: u-ku-Bul-a u-ku-lim-a

H

PTS: u-ku-Bul-a u-ku-lim-a
TR Rule 2: u-ku-Bul-a u-ku-lim-a
Vowel Coalescence: u-ku-Bul-[oo] lim-a
SR: ukubulọ six kulima 'not to cultivate'

(b) UR u-ku-lim-a # i-Bala
TR Rule 1 u-ku-lim-a #i-Bala
TR Rule 2: u-ku-lim-a i-Bala
Vowel Coalescence: u-ku-lim [e e] Bala
SR: ukulimeę Bala 'To cultivate the field'

2.3.3.5 **Rules of Tone Imposition (insertion) RTI**

2.3.3.5.0 **General**

There are several rules of tone imposition in Lamba verbal tonology. These are rules that account for the imposition (or insertion) of tone on certain positions. In this case, surface tones, unlike in regressive tone shift, cannot be accounted for in terms of lexical or underlying tones. This phenomenon may be likened to what has elsewhere in the literature been referred to as 'floating tones' (see for instance Kenstowicz and Kesseberth, 1979 and Batibo, 1968). In Lamba, as we have already seen, these rules are category-sensitive; that is, they apply only to particular categories - namely, the negative absolutive and relative (both positive and negative). It is crucial to note that these rules may be applied together with other rules, prominently
TL and TR.

2.3.3.5.1 TL Rule 1

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{H} \\
\text{H} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

# S S S (S) (S) -- -- # # [negative]

This rule specifies that the third syllable receives an imposed H tone and in such a case the tone of the radical is lowered if it is lexically H.

(51) a. UR: ta-Ba-ka (mu)-Bon-a-po

RTI Rule 1: ta-Ba-ká-(mu)-Bon-a-po

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{H} \\
\text{H} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

TL Rule 1: ta-Ba-ka-(mu)-Bon-a-po

SR: taBakámuBonapo 'They won't see him'

b. UR: ta-Ba-ka-li-lim-a-po

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{H} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

TI Rule 1: ta-Ba-ka-li-lim-a-po

SR: taBakálilimapo 'They won't cultivate it'

c. UR Ba-i-ka-Bon-a-po

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{H} \\
\text{H} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

TI Rule 1: Ba-i-ká-Bon-a-po

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{H} \\
\text{H} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

TL Rule 1: Ba-i-ka-Bon-a-po

Vowel Coalescence: B[ee] - ka-Bon-a-po
SR: BeekaBonapo. 'They shouldn't see.'

Note that in this rule it is the TM that receives H tone. This rule accounts for both verbs with L-toned first syllable of radical and those with H-toned ones. The justification in the application of this rule lies in the fact that if other rules such as RTS were applied it would be impossible to account for the surface H on the TM in (b) for we know that -lim- is lexically L-toned. Compare (51) with what happens in the positive.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H} \\
(52) \quad \text{a. } & \text{Ba-ka-Bon-a---} & \rightarrow & \text{BakaBona} \\
& \text{b. } & \text{Ba-ka-lim-a---} & \rightarrow & \text{Bakalima} (*\text{Bakálima})
\end{align*}
\]

2.3.3.5.2 **TI Rule 2**

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
\text{H} & \text{H} & \text{(H)} \\
\text{# S S S S (S) S -- -- ##}
\end{array}
\]

In this rule the third syllable (the first syllable of the TM in this case) and the infix, if it is there, receive an imposed H tone: if the radical is lexically H, its tone is lowered.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H} \\
(53) \quad \text{a. } & \text{UR: ta-Ba-luku(mu)-Bon-a-po} \\
& \text{TI Rule 2: } & \text{ta-Ba-lú-ku-} & \rightarrow & \text{Bon-a-po} \\
& \text{TL ta-Ba-luku(mu)-Bon-a-po} \\
& \text{SR: taBalukunuBonapo } & \text{'They are not seeing him'}
\end{align*}
\]
b. UR: ta-Ba-luku-li-lim-a-po

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c}
\text{H} & \text{H} & \\
\end{array}
\]

TI Rule 2: ta-Ba-luku-li-lim-a-po

SR: taBalukulilimapo 'They are not cultivating it'

In this rule the third syllable (the first syllable of the TM in this case) and the infix, if it is there, receive an imposed H tone; if the radical is lexically H, its tone is lowered.

2.3.3.5.3 **TI Rule 3**

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c}
\text{H} & \text{H} & \\
\end{array}
\]

#SS (S) X # # subjunctive positive

This rule is category sensitive in that it applies only to some subjunctive positive forms. The S on which H tone is imposed is the second and may be the radical or any other TBU such as TM or infix but not the IV.

(54) a. u-lim-e --- → ulíme 'You should cultivate'

b. Ba-lim-e --- → Balíme 'They should cultivate'

c. Ba-ka-(li)-lim-e→ Bakalilime 'They should cultivate it'

UR: u-lim-e

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c}
\text{H} & \\
\end{array}
\]

TI Rule 3: u-lim-e

SR: ulíme 'You should cultivate'

d. u-mu-Bón-e→ umúBone 'You should see him'

UR u-mu-Bón-e
TI u-mu-Bon-e

TL u-mu-Bon-e

SR umuBone 'You should see him'

2.3.3.5.4 **TI Rule 4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S (S)</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Relative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Where (S) is the second syllable of the relative pronoun in non-subject relatives and which does not occur in subject relatives.

This rule means that in the subject relative form, the third syllable has H tone imposed on it while in the non-subject relative form the fourth does so. This S may be the radical or not. This means that if the radical is underlyingly H its tone is maintained in which case the imposition of H tone becomes redundant.

(55) (a) UR: a-Ba-Bon-a---->SR: áBaBóna 'Who see'

(b) UR: a-Balim-a- ---->SR: áBalíma 'Who cultivate'

(c) UR: a-Bo # tu-Bon-a--->SR: áBo tuBóna 'Whom we see'

(d) UR: a-Ba-sek-a-----SR áBaséka 'Who laugh'
2.3.3.5.5 **TI Rule 5**

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H & H & H \\
\end{array}
\]

# S S S S ... # # [relative positive]

In this rule the third S has H tone imposed on it and the tone of the radical is lowered. The S that receives H tone may be an infix or a TM. The condition is that the radical should have a lexically H tone.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H & H \\
\end{array}
\]

(56) (a) **UR**: a-Ba-tu-Bon-a

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H & H & H \\
\end{array}
\]

**TI Rule 5**: a-Ba-tu-Bon-a

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H & H & H \\
\end{array}
\]

**TL**: a-Ba-tu-Bon-a

**SR**: aBatuBona 'Who see us'

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H & H \\
\end{array}
\]

(b) **UR**: a-Ba-ka-Bon-a

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H & H & H \\
\end{array}
\]

**TI Rule 5**: a-Ba-ka-Bon-a

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H & H & H \\
\end{array}
\]

**TL**: a-Ba-ka-Bon-a

**SR**: aBakaBona 'Who will see'
This rule means that the infix, if it is there and which in this case would be the fourth syllable has H tone imposed on it and the tone of the radical, if it is underlying H is lowered; note that the radical in this rule does not necessarily need to have a H tone. This rule also applies if there is a tense - sign whether or not there is an object infix (see, for example (58) below).

(57) (a) UR: u-u-ta-mu-Bon-apo

H
/  \
H H  H

TI 6: u-u-ta-mu-Bon-a-po

H
/  \
H H H

TL u-u-ta-mu-Bon-a-po

SRd: uutamuBonapo 'Who doesn't see him'

(b) UR: u-u-ta-li-lim-a-po

H
/  \
H H

TI: u-u-ta-li-lim-a-po

SR: uutalilimapo 'Who doesn't cultivate it'

(c) UR: u-u-ta-Bon-a-po

H
/  \
H H

TL: u-u-ta-Bon-a-po
SR: *uatabonapo 'Who doesn't see"

(58) (a) UR: a-Ba-ta-ka-Bon-a-po

TL Rule 6: a-Ba-ta-ka-Bon-a-po

TL a-Ba-ta-ka-Bon-a-po

SR: aBatakaBonapo 'Who won't see

(b) UR: i-li- # Ba-ka-lim-a

TI Rule 6: i-li- # Ba-ka-lim-a

SR: Ili Bakalima 'Which they will cultivate'
UR: i-li- # Ba-ka-li-a

TI 6: i-li- # Ba-ka-li-a

SR: ili Bakalya 'Which they will eat'

2.3.3.5.8 **TI Rule 7**

# $ (S) \_S S (S) S - - - # # [relative positive] where
(S)\_ is the second syllable of the object relative
pronoun. It should, however, be observed that (S) a
is a surface syllable which comes from two syllables
underlyingly; for example: bo comes from two syllables
ba-o.
relative; where \( (S) \) is the second syllable of the object relative pronoun.

\[
\begin{align*}
(59) \quad \text{UR} & \quad \text{a-Ba-luku-Bon-a} \\
\text{TL} & \quad \text{a-Ba-luku-Bon-a} \\
\text{SR} & \quad \text{áBalúkùBona 'who are seeing'}
\end{align*}
\]

This rule is category-sensitive and applies only to the present progressive. It is the subject and object relative forms.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{TI Rule 7: a-Ba-luku-Bon-a} \\
\text{TL} & \quad \text{a-Ba-luku-Bon-a} \\
\text{SR} & \quad \text{áBalúkuBona 'who are seeing'}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
(60) \quad \text{UR} & \quad \text{a-Bo# Ba-lu-ku-Bon-a} \\
\text{TL} & \quad \text{a-Bo# Ba-lù-ku-Bon-a} \\
\text{SR} & \quad \text{áBo BalúkuBona 'Whom they are seeing'}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{TI Rule 7: a-Bo# Ba-lù-ku-Bon-a} \\
\text{TL} & \quad \text{a-Bo# Ba-luku-Bon-a} \\
\text{SR} & \quad \text{áBo BalúkuBona 'Whom they are seeing'}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{TI Rule 7: a-Ba-luku-lim-a} \\
\text{TL} & \quad \text{a-Ba-luku-lim-a} \\
\text{SR} & \quad \text{áBulúkulima 'Who are cultivating'}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{TI Rule 7: a-Ba-luku-lim-a} \\
\text{TL} & \quad \text{a-Ba-luku-lim-a} \\
\text{SR} & \quad \text{áBulúkulima 'Who are cultivating'}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(d) UR} & \quad \text{a-Baluku-mu-Bon-a} \\
\text{TL} & \quad \text{a-Baluku-mu-Bon-a} \\
\text{SR} & \quad \text{áBalúkuBona 'who are seeing'}
\end{align*}
\]
This rule is category sensitive and applies only to the present progressive positive in both the subject and object relative forms.

2.3.3.5.8 **TI Rule 8**

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
H & H & H \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

This rule means that the fourth syllable has H tone imposed on it and so is the radical if it is underlying L. If the magical is H underlyingly, then the imposition of H tone on it becomes unnecessary. This rule is also category-sensitive and accounts inlay for the patterns in the subject relative negative present progressive without an infix.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H & H \\
\end{array}
\]

(60) (a) **UR**: u-u-ta-luku-Bon-a-po

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
H & H & H \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

**TI Rule 8**: u-u-ta-luku-Bon-apo

**SR**: úutalúkuBonepo 'Who is not seeing'
(b) UR: u-u-ta-luku-lim-a-po

TI Rule 8: u-u-ta-luku-lim-a-po

SR: úutalúkulímapo  'Who is not cultivating'

2.3.2.5.9 **TI Rule 9**

# S S S S S S(S) - - - # # Subject relative pres prog neg with Infix.

In this rule there is an obligatory infix on which H tone is imposed. Following the application of Ti rule 9 the fourth syllable and the radical are now lexically H in all cases. This way the rule is able to account for lexically L radicals like -lim- as well. It should be noted that Ti rules 9 and 10 are in a feeding relationship - the former feeds the latter. That is, the former increases the number of environments to which the latter can apply.

(61) UR: u-u-ta-luku-mu-Bon-a-po

TI Rule 8: u-u-ta-luku-mu-Bon-a-po

TI Rule 9: u-u-ta-luku-mu-Bon-a-po

SR: úutalúkumúBonapo.  'Who is not seeing him'
TI rules 8 and 9 may be collapsed into one rule as below.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
H \quad H \quad H \quad (H) \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
\# S \quad S \quad S \quad S \quad S \quad (S) \quad S \quad X \quad # \quad # \quad \text{[Relative negative]}
\end{array}
\]

where (S) is an optional object infix.

This rule accounts for both (60) and (61).

2.3.3.5.10    **TI Rule 10**

\[
\begin{array}{c}
H \quad H \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
\# S \quad S \quad S \quad S \quad S \quad - \quad - \quad - \quad - \quad - \quad - \quad - \quad # \quad # \quad \text{object relative post-hodiernal future negative.}
\end{array}
\]

(62) (a) **UR:** i-li- # ta-Ba-ka-Bon-a-po

\[
\begin{array}{c}
H \\
\downarrow \\
\text{TI: i-li- # ta-Ba-ka-Bon-a-po}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
H \quad H \quad H \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
\text{TL: i-li- # ta-Ba-ka-Bon-a-po}
\end{array}
\]

**SR:** ili taBakaBonapo 'Which they won't see'

(b) **UR:** i-li- # ta-Ba-ka-lim-a-po

\[
\begin{array}{c}
H \\
\downarrow \\
\text{TI: i-li- # ta-Ba-ka-lim-a-po}
\end{array}
\]

**SR:** ili taBakalimapo 'Which they won't cultivate'

\[
\begin{array}{c}
H \\
\text{UR: i-fi- # ta-Ba-ka-ni-a-po}
\end{array}
\]
(c) UR: i-fi- # ta-Ba-ka-ni-a-po
    
    H       H
    
    TI: i-fi- # ta-Ba-ka-ni-a-po
    
    H       H
    
    Glide Formation: i-fi- # ta-Ba-ka-n[y]a-po
    
    SR: ifi tabakányapo 'Which they won't defecate'

In this rule the tone of the verb-radical may be either H or not underlyingly.

2.3.3.6 Rule of Tone Spreading (TS)

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{H} \\
\# S \quad S \quad S_2 \quad # \end{array}
\]

This rule just means that in some cases tone spreads from one syllable to another as in the following example. In the Lambda verbal forms, this rule is applied after RTS rule 1 has been applied with minor adjustments (for instance there are some changes on the verbal morphemes involved). While even in this case tone shifts to the first S as in RTS1 this S is not the IV. In fact in this case RTS Rule 1 applies to both CVC and CV radicals.

(63) UR: Ba-a-Bon-a

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{RTS 1: Ba-a-Bon-a} \\
\text{TS: Ba-a-Bon-a} \\
\end{array}
\]
SR: BaaBona 'They have seen'

This rule applies only to verbs with a lexically H first syllable of the radical. It should be emphasized that this rule applies to a low-toned vowel following a raised vowel (for instance, a-a→ a a) except in the penultimate position. Compare for example, BaaBona 'They have seen' and Baalya (*Baalya) 'They have eaten'.

2.3.3.7 SUMMARY

In this chapter we have discussed verbal tonology in Lamba on the basis of the data presented in the appendices. Tone patterns were identified and rules formulated to account for them. It has been shown that in the Lamba verb some tonal rules can be applied to several grammatical categories. Also raised has been the crucial interrelatedness of phonological and morphological process on one hand and tonal processes on the other.
NOTES

1. In fact the nature of these moods raises questions as to whether we, in the Lamba context, may actually refer to them as moods. This raises some semantic difficulties, the discussion of which goes beyond the scope of this work. By the same token, it should be mentioned that ideophonic verbs are not discussed in this work because there are many of them that function as predicators of declarative and descriptive utterances.

2. Note that the imperative and the subjunctive are marked by radical + - a and radical + - e respectively.

3. In terms of structure the relative pronoun in Lamba also has an augment - like vowel (e.g. a-Ba-Bon-a 'who see').

4. For instance marker + ending denote tense/aspect; infixes denote object and function in more or less the same way as English object pronouns such as 'me', 'then', 'you' and so on (Chanda, 1987: 56).

5. Extensions are a type of verbal suffixes in Bantu. For more information of these, Guthrie, comparative Bantu Vol. 1 may be consulted.

6. There are several words that fall into these types. It should also be mentioned that usually, if a word that follows the verb is vowel-initial it tends to behave like type B words. Type B words induce the raising of the tone of the FV of the preceding word but type A words lower tone.

7. In the formulation of the rules S may represent a vowel alone or a vowel preceded and/or followed by consonant (e.g. -a- or -Bon- respectively); unless otherwise specified, the last S is always the verb radical, # means word-boundary and # # means word ending. Note also that in this work only H is indicated both underlyingly and superficially. This therefore means that if the tone of any TBU is not indicated then its tone can be considered to be L since as stated above only two level tones, L and H are attestable in Lamba.

8. Note that in 42 the nasal -n- behaves syllabically, that is, it has a L tone so that the tone patterns of the example corresponds with those of the others in this group.
CHAPTER THREE

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS, THEIR IMPLICATIONS AND CONCLUSION

3.0 General

The last chapter discussed tonal phenomena in the verbal system of Lamba in terms of the rules accounting for the relationship between underlying and surface tone representations.

In this chapter we make general observations and/or comments on the application of rules and the resultant tone patterns. This chapter also evaluates briefly the occurrence of the major attributes of ASP and discussed in 1.3.2 and 1.4., namely, contour tones, tone stability, tone spreading and floating tones. Special attention is paid to identifying the environments in which these features occur.

The point of departure should perhaps be to re-emphasise a point raised earlier. This study has confirmed the view put across by most of its predecessors that have investigated Bantu tonology - that tone is a rather complex entity. It becomes even more complex when it relates to the verb because, as Miti (1988: 203) rightly states, verbal tone cannot be understood on the basis of radicals uttered in isolation as these always occur with other morphemes (such as SM, TM, infix, negative markers and so on) and lexical items. It has now been established that these elements have an important role to play in the occurrence of tone in the
Lamba verb. For instance the difference between RTS rules 1 and 2 lies in the inclusion of an infix in the latter and its absence in the former; in some rules (such as RTS rules 3, and 5, the infix is optional while in others (such as RTS rule 4) it is obligatory. The inclusion or absence of an infix in these rules does have an effect on tone representations.

There are, however, other morphemes such as verbal extensions which do not affect tone realization in any significant way. See for instance (22), and (35)b. It has also been discovered that while some rules and patterns apply to several categories (Moods, tenses etc) others are category-sensitive. For instance the rules of Regressive Tone shift apply only to the absolutive indicative positive, infinitive and subjunctive while rules of Tone Imposition apply to the absolutive indicative negative and the relative (positive or negative). Further there are also rules that apply only in certain environments such as when the verb is followed by a certain type of words, for instance TR rules 1 and 2 and TL rule 1. It has been revealed in this study that the position of the verb in a sentence has an important role to play in the realization of tone.

The study has also found that the grammatical categories established in 2.1 do influence the tone patterns and indeed the rules that may apply. For instance some of the rules that apply to the absolutive cannot work in the relative.
In fact it may be observed that one major difference (in terms of rules) between the absolutive and relative is that the former is predominantly accounted for by rules of Tone Shift (except in the negative) while in the latter tone imposition is prominent. This means that the categorial relations are crucial to the appreciation of tone in the Lamba verb. It should, in fact be stressed that every morpheme or segment is important although no single rule can account for the tone of individual segments. For instance while the infix may have H tone in some categories, in others it may not.

It should also be noted that some rules may have some exceptions. For instance, the rule of Final Vowel Tone Raising before Kolwe - type words may not always apply, as in ÚuBóna kolwe (not * ÚuBóna Kolwe) 'who sees a monkey' and Bakálya kolwe (not *Bakálya kolwe). Perhaps this is a result of influence from the H tone on the penultimate syllable of the verb. It is rare, if not impossible, for two H tones to follow each other on two different syllables in Lamba.

The formulation and presentation of rules in the last chapter was based on the premise that only H and L tones are observable in Lamba and by convention only H is indicated both underlyingly and superficially. It should be stated that studies in other Bantu languages have revealed the existence of as many as five level tones (see for instance,
Katamba, 1989, Kaye, 1989 and Kenstowicz and Kisseberth, 1979). It is probable that even in Lamba other tones, such as mid, may occur. For instance in (46) the H on ukuBona is likely to be mid because of influence from the preceding H. This study has, however, not been able to identify tones other than H and L.

In the analysis, only the first syllable of the radical had the ability be underlyingly H; all other segments with the exception of the augment like vowel in the relative are not. There are, however, situations where this proves problematic. Consider for instance the progressive marker -luku- in which the first syllable is H in most cases both in the absolutive and relative. It is not clear whether this segment can be considered lexically H. This segment presents problems even morphologically; it is one of the few TM's in Lamba that are bisyllabic. It could be argued that this form may have originally been -li-ku where -li- was an auxiliary which due to phonological processes such as assimilation changed to -lu-. This study, cannot, however confirm this; -it is for historical linguists to do so. Suffice it to say, therefore, that for now -luku- is one TM and is lexically L - toned.

Having made these general observations a comment or two should now be made on the occurrence of the ASP elements (or features) as stated above.
3.1 Comments on ASP Elements

3.1.0 Association Conventions

The application of rules observed the association conventions as stipulated in the well-formedness condition (see 1.3.2. above). In all the rules, care has been taken to ensure that there are no violations of the ASP conventions such as association of tones to TBU's from left to right, non-crossing of association lines and so on.

Looking at the rules it may be observed that in Lamba it is possible for a TBU to have one or more tones or for a tone to be associated to one or more TBU's as in the following illustrations.

(64) (a) Tonal Tier L H L
Segmental Tier Ba la Bon a 'They see'

(b) Tonal Tier L H L H L
Segmental Tier ta Ba luku mu Bon a po 'They are not seeing him

(c) Tonal Tier H L H L
Segmental Tier a Ba ka Bon a 'Who will see'

(d) Tonal Tier L HL H L
Segmental Tier u ku Bul o: kuBona 'Not to see'

These are but some examples to show that the rules have not
violated the ASP conventions; that is, all the associations conform to the established ASP Conventions.

3.1.1 Contour Tones

It was observed in Chapter 1 that in languages that have them contour tones may be analyzed as a concatenation of two disparate level tones, that is LH and HL, rising and falling respectively.

It is important to note that while Leben (1973) has observed that there are languages in which contour tones are borne by short vowels, in Lamba they are always borne by long vowels. Further, except for a few non-predictable cases, they occur at word boundary especially when vowels run together between words and in which case the phonological process of vowel coalescence applies (see, for instance 46 above). A further example would be BalaBonee nkaLamba 'They see an angel'. In these examples the contour tones are borne by long vowels occurring at word boundaries. There are, instances where contour tones occur within a word as in the example below:

(65) (a) Bala yalya [Ba:l yA] 'They have eaten'

(b) Lyáa [lyA] 'Eat!'

It is not, however, easy to explain the occurrence of these contour tones. Further, while in some languages like Bemba (see Mann, 1977) the nature of the vowels that are in contact dictates the surface tone there is yet no evidence in Lamba to point to this,
The important point to note is that contour tones exist in Lamba, but it is yet to be confirmed whether the nature of vowel combinations (such as a + u, a + i, etc) has any effect on the nature of the contour tone.

3.1.2 *Tone Stability*
This phenomenon, as has already been explained, means that even after a TBU that bore a tone has been deleted or loses syllabicity its tone still remains. This has been observed in Lamba (see for instance (46 and (50) above).

3.1.3 *Floating Tones*
There does not seem to be very strong evidence to suggest the occurrence of this feature in the Lamba verb. Floating tones may be said to exist only if we considered the rules of tone imposition as floating tones. This is an area in which further research should be encouraged.

3.1.4 *Tone Spreading*
It is evident from the last chapter that this feature does occur in the Lamba verb (see the TS rules above). Note that while it was noted that this phenomenon occurs when a segment is deleted and its tone spreads over 'the now smaller chain' (Basboll, 1988: 204), in the Lamba verb tone spreads even when no TBU is deleted; see for instance (63) above.

3.2 *Tonal Rules and Morphophonological Processes*
As has been stated above, there is interaction between
phonological processes and tonal rules. This interaction may affect the nature of the surface tone patterns that may occur.

The major phonological processes that affect the realization of tone in the Lambda verb are vowel coalescence, glide formation (or semi-vocalization), and nasal assimilation. These processes have been mentioned in passing before.

The importance of vowel coalescence with regard to the tone of the Lambda verb lies in its primary role in the occurrence of contour tones as discussed in 3.1.1.

The phonological process of nasal assimilation is important in that in some cases it is instrumental in the allocation of tone to segments that would otherwise not carry it; see for instance, 42 e and g.

Glide formation affects the realization of tone in that it reduces the number of TBU's (cf) (42) and (39), ukúBona and ukýlva respectively). In these examples the underlying pattern is the same in both cases, that is L-L-H-L but in the surface representation there is a difference because glide formation reduces the number of syllables in ukýlva which has a CV radical, hence the difference in the position of H (see RTS rules 1 and 2).

Imbrication occurs with verbs like -Bón- when used in the perfect and the pre-hodiernal past (for example, Ba-li-Bón-ile---→
BaliBeene 'They have seen'; Ba-ali-Bon-ile>BaliBeene 'They saw).

Note the lengthening of the penultimate vowel. It should, further be noted that while in most Bantu languages imbrication occurs when a vowel is followed by a nasal cluster (that is, a nasal + a consonant), in Lamba it may just need to be followed by a nasal alone.

Vowel harmony is a process where in certain environments vowels influence each other. In Lamba, the influence is between the vowel in the verb radical and a vowel in another morpheme such as an extension; see the discussion of the applied extension in 2

3.3. Limitation of the Study and Recommendations for Further Research

This study has a number of limitations which should be considered in evaluating its findings. Firstly, the study has just been a glimpse at a very wide and complex area; verbal tonology is one of the most intricate areas of Bantu linguistic analysis. It is therefore, not possible to discuss it exhaustively in a work of this magnitude. It is recommended that more research be done to investigate the influence of the syntactic nature of the verb on tone realization. For instance it would be interesting to investigate whether there are specific tone patterns for static, dynamic, transitive and intransitive verbs. Secondly, this study has investigated verbal tonology only by looking at the verb either in isolation or with other words within a sentence. Analysis of longer units would possibly reveal other tone patterns. It would also be helpful if more work could be done
on the nature of the two syntactic environments, A and B. Further research may reveal there classes which this study has not been able to identify.

The third limitation relates to the theoretical model used in this study. The study has applied the ASP model as expoused by Clements and Goldsmith (1984). It is probable that in recent years ASP may have undergone several developments - it is for future researchers to investigate whether these can be applied to Lamba and other related languages.

Fourthly, for this study only three informants were interviewed and only selected verbs were used. For further research it is recommended that more subjects be interviewed in a large area and more verbs used. The analysis could be extended to other word classes as well.

Finally, it should be emphasized that this study's discussion of Lamba verbal tonology should be understood in the light of these limitations. It would be greatly inconsistent with linguistic practice to generalize the findings to other languages - except if further enquiry warrants it.

CONCLUSION

This study has been an investigation of the occurrence of tone in Lamba verbal forms from an autosegmental view point. Tone patterns were established and rules applied to account for the representations. The study has established that surface and
underlying tone patterns in the Lamba verb are different. It should, however, be understood that this study has looked at only some aspects of verbal tonology in Lamba. It should be emphasized that the study has confirmed all the hypotheses presented in 1.2.2. The study has established two lexical tones of the verb radical *viz.* L and H and that lexical, syllabic structure and syntactic environment have a great effect on tone realization.

Regarding the two types of syntactic environments (that is, A and B) it should be noted that several words belonging to different classes or 'parts of speech' may fall in each type. Among type A (*bwino* -type words) are words like *fyoonse* 'everything' and *makosa* 'hard' as in the examples below.

(66) (a) Balályya fyoonse 'They eat everything'
(b) TaBalúkulúmapo makosa 'They are not cultivating hard'
(c) Ṭimbwa ʾishi tashiipayapo Baapushi. 'They dogs which don't kill cats'

Examples of type B (*kolwe* - type words) are numerous. Some of these words and/or phrases are *Mwape*, *paníni paníni*, *mootoka* 'car', *munganda* 'in the house', *pa mutenge* 'on the roof top', *mú máyninsa* 'in the rainy season', *púshi* 'cat', *ne makosa* 'very hard' and so on. Below are some examples.

(67) (a) BalámuBoná Mwape 'They see Mwape'
(b) Bakeendá panini panini 'They will walk slowly'
(c) BakáuBoná mootoka 'They will see the vehicle'
(d) TaBakáyapó mu janda 'They will not go into the house'

(e) Baku'muBoná punshi 'They will see the cat'

(f) TaBalúkulúmapó ne makosa. 'They are not cultivating very hard'

It may be noted that certain classes of nouns and prepositions are predominately of the kolwe - type. However, as stated in 3.3 above more research should be encouraged in establishing the nature of these word types.

It is important also to point out that there are some tonal rules which are universal in most Bantu languages that this study has not discussed; these are rules such as downstep and downdrift. While these rules apply in Lamba they were not observable in the verb; they applied only in words other than the verb. For instance in (67) d, the tone of mu in mu janda is likely to be mid; it is lower than that of the FV of the preceding verb but higher than L proper. Other examples of downstep can be found in the examples below:

(68) (a) Baaku'BaBoná Baataata 'They will see my father.'

(b) Baku'muBoná Buupe. 'They will see Buupe.'

In these examples, Baa in Baataata in (a) and Buu in Buupe in (b) downstep to mid Baa and Buu respectively.

The point to note is that the fact that these rules have not been discussed in detail does not in any way suggest that they are insignificant in Lamba.
Finally, it should be noted that in the analysis of tone patterns, the role of emphasis was not considered although it may affect tone realization. For instance, *Ndabona kolwe* 'I see a monkey' may occur as *Ndabona'kolwe* because of emphasis.

**APPENDIX I:**

**LIST OF VERBS AND THEIR LAMBA TRANSLATIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMPERATIVE</th>
<th>INFINITE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arrive</td>
<td>Fíka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beat</td>
<td>Páma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bite</td>
<td>Súma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Break</td>
<td>TóBa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burn</td>
<td>óca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Send</td>
<td>Túma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chase</td>
<td>Támfyá</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cry</td>
<td>Líla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curse</td>
<td>Fínga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drink</td>
<td>Nwáa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See</td>
<td>Bóna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Go</td>
<td>Yáa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hear</td>
<td>Úmfwa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steal</td>
<td>ÍBa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swallow</td>
<td>Mína</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kill</td>
<td>Ípaya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defectae</td>
<td>Nyáa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Send</td>
<td>Túma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eat</td>
<td>Lyáa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultivate</td>
<td>Lima</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Appendix II

## Consonant Sounds with Examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sound</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[p]</td>
<td>poosa [po:sa] 'throw'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[b]</td>
<td>jimba [j:mbwa] 'dog'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[t]</td>
<td>tema [tema] 'cut down trees'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[d]</td>
<td>eenda [e:nda] 'walk'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ç]</td>
<td>صادني [çâ:nî] 'grass'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[k]</td>
<td>kolwe [kolwe] 'monkey'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[g]</td>
<td>uBuunga [uBu:nggal] 'mealimeal'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ɓ]</td>
<td>ñBuifì [ûBuifì] 'lies'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[f]</td>
<td>ñimba [ñimba] 'cover'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[s]</td>
<td>ñisaBi [i:saBì] 'fish'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[n]</td>
<td>nèBo [nèBo] 'me'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[m]</td>
<td>jimba [j:mbwa] 'dog'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[s]</td>
<td>ñishulu [i:shilul] 'madman'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ŋ]</td>
<td>pya[pya] 'delecate'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[w]</td>
<td>wa [wa] 'fall down'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[I]</td>
<td>lîla [líla] 'cry'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ŋ]</td>
<td>ñgkoko [ûgkøko] 'chicken'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### APPENDIX III:

**VERBAL PREFIXES AND INFIXES ACCORDING TO CLASS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLASS</th>
<th>PREFIX</th>
<th>INFIX</th>
<th>EXAMPLES OF INFIXES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>mu-</td>
<td>-mu-</td>
<td>uku-mu-Bóna 'To see him'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>φ-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ba-</td>
<td>-Ba-</td>
<td>uku-Ba-Bóna 'To see them'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>Baa-</td>
<td>-Ba-</td>
<td>u-ku-Ba-Bón-a 'To see them'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>mu-</td>
<td>-u-</td>
<td>u-ku-Ba-Bón-a 'To see them'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>mi-</td>
<td>-i-</td>
<td>uku-iBónee mishi 'To see the villages'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>li-</td>
<td>-li-</td>
<td>uku-li-Bóna linso 'To see the eye'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>ma-</td>
<td>-a-</td>
<td>uku-a- Bón- a amenso 'To see the eyes'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>ci-</td>
<td>-ci-</td>
<td>uku-ci-Bón-a 'To see it'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>fi-</td>
<td>-fi-</td>
<td>u-ku-fi-Bón-a 'To see the'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>n-</td>
<td>-i-</td>
<td>i'nkoko-u-ku-i-Bón-a 'To see the chicken'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>n-</td>
<td>-shi-</td>
<td>i'nkoko-uku-shi-Bón-a 'To see the chicken'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>lu-</td>
<td>-lu-</td>
<td>uku-lubón-a 'To see it'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>ka</td>
<td>-ka-</td>
<td>uku-kabón-a aka-nike 'To see the young boy'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>tu-</td>
<td>-tu-</td>
<td>u-ku-tu-Bón-a u-tu-ntu 'To see the small things'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Bu-</td>
<td>-Bu-</td>
<td>u-ku-bu-Bóna u-ubuuci 'To (it) the see honey'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>ku-</td>
<td>-ku-</td>
<td>u-ku-kubón-a uku-Boko 'To see(it) the hand'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX IV:

TRANSCRIPTION OF SOME DATA

(A) ABSOLUTIVES

1. INFINITIVES

Positive without infix in Isolation

UkuBona  'to see'
Úkulya  'to eat'
Ukulima  'to cultivate'

Positive without infix followed

UkuBona Bwino  'to see clearly'
Ukulya kolwe  'to eat the monkey'
Ukulyéé nama  'to eat meat'
Ukulimeé Bala  'to cultivate the field'

Positive with infix in Isolation

UkúmuBona  'to see him'
Ukúcilya  'to eat it'
Ukulilima  'to cultivate it e.g. field'

Positive with infix followed

UkúmuBoná yonsée mpindi  'to see him all the time'
UkúmuBoná lyonse  'to see him always'
Weeshe ukúmuBoná nakáBili  'try to see him again'
Weeshe ukućilya nakábili 'try to eat it again'
Ukuulimée Bala 'to cultivate the field'

**Negative without infix in Isolation**

UkuBułó kuBona 'not to see'
UkuBułó kułya 'not to eat'
UkuBułó kułima 'not to cultivate'

**Negative Without Infix Followed**

UkuBułó kuBona kuBułipile 'not to see is bad'
UkuBułó kuBuóna kolwe 'not to see the monkey'
UkuBułó kuBuona Bwino 'not to see well'
UkuBułó kułya kuBułipile 'not to eat is bad'
UkuBułó kułya kolwe 'not to eat the monkey'

**Negative With Infix in Isolation**

UkuBułó kuBaBona 'not to see them'
UkuBułó kułilima 'not to cultivate it'
UkuBułó kuñifya 'not to eat them'

**Negative with infix followed**

UkuBułó kuBaBonaBonse 'not to see all of them'
UkuBułó kuñifya nakábili 'not to eat them again'
UkuBułó kućicyeë mpindi yonse 'not to eat it all the time'
UkuBułó kuñumëBonse' kolwe 'not to see the monkey'

2. **INDICATIVES**

**PRESENT HABITUAL**

**Positive without infix in Isolation**

NdàBona 'I see'
Ndálýa 'I eat'
Balalíma 'They cultivate'
BalàBona 'They see'
Balálya 'They eat'

**Positive without infix followed**

NdàBona Bwino 'I see clearly'
NdàBoná kolwe 'I see the monkey'
Ndálýa Bwino 'They eat the monkey'
Balalíma Bala 'They cultivate the field'
BalàBona makosa 'They cultivate hard'

**Positive with infix in Isolation**

BalámùBona 'They see him'
Baláçilya 'They eat it'
Balalilíma 'They cultivate it'
Positive with infix followed

BalámuBona kolwe 'They see (him) the monkey'
BalámuBona Bwino 'They see him clearly'
BalámuBona lyoonse 'They see him always'
Balámułyá kolwe 'They eat (him) the monkey'
Balábalya Bákolwe 'They eat (them) the monkeys'
Balaliliméé Bala 'They cultivate the field'

Negative without infix in Isolation

NshiBonapo 'I don't see'
TabaBonapo 'They don't see'
TaBalimapo 'They don't cultivate'
TaBalyaapo 'They don't eat'

Negative without infix followed

NshiBonapó kolwe 'I don't see a monkey'
TabaBonapo Bwino 'They don't see clearly'
TabaBonapó Buupe 'They don't see Bupe'
Tabalimapo Bwino 'They don't cultivate well'
Tamulimapweé Bala 'You don't cultivate the field'
TaBalyapweé nshima 'They don't eat nshima'

Negative without infix in Isolation

TatuBáBonapo 'We don't see them'
TaBacílyyaapo 'They don't eat it'
TaBalílimapo 'They don't cultivate it'

Negative with Infix followed

TaBalílimapweé Bala 'They don't cultivate the field'
TaBalílimapo Bwino 'They don't cultivate it well'
TaBalílimapó yonséé mpindi 'They don't cultivate it all the time'
Tabamu Bonapo Bwino 'They don't see him well'
TabamuBonapó kolwe 'They don't see (him) the monkey'
Tabaci lýya apo Bwino 'They don't eat it well'
Tabamu lýya pó kolwe 'They don't eat (him) the monkey'

Present Progressive

Positive without Infix in Isolation

BalúkuBona 'They are seeing'
Balukulya 'They are eating'
Balukulima 'They are cultivating'
Balúkupama 'They are beating'

Positive without Infix followed
BalúkuBonée nkálamba 'They are seeing an angel'
Balúkulyée nshima 'They are eating nshima'
Balúkuliméé Bala 'They are cultivating the field'
BalúkuBoná kolwe 'They are seeing the monkey'
Balúkulya kolwe 'They are eating the monkey'
Balúkulya Bwino 'They are eating well'

**Positive with Infix in Isolation**

BalukuBáBona 'They are seeing them'
Balukucílya 'They are eating it'
Balukulilíma 'They are cultivating it'

**Positive with Infix followed**

BalukuBáBona Bwino 'They are seeing them well'
Balukufíliya naBili 'They are eating them again'
Balukumupamá nee makosa 'They are beating him hard'
Balukulilíma nee se 'They are cultivating with a hoe'
BalukuBuBoná kolwe 'They are seeing (him) the monkey'
BalukuCílya Bwino 'They are eating it well'

**Negative without Infix in Isolation**

TatuluBónapo 'We are not seeing'
TatuluBáapo 'We are not eating'
Tatulukulímápo 'We are not cultivating'

**Negative without Infix followed**

TatuluBónapo inkálamba 'We are not seeing an angel'
TatuluBónapo Bwino 'We are not seeing well'
TatuluBáapo kolwe 'We are not seeing the monkey'
TatuluBónapo kolwe 'We are not eating well'
TatuluBáapo Bwino 'We are not cultivating well'
TatuluBáapo kolwe 'We are not eating a monkey'

**Negative with Infix in Isolation**

TatuluBuBónapo 'We are not seeing them'
Tabalukulíma 'They are not cultivating it'
Tabalukucílya 'We are not eating it'

**Negative with Infix followed**

Tabalukulíma Bwino 'We are not seeing the angel'
Tabalukulíma Bala 'We are not cultivating the field'
Tabalukulíma kolwe 'We are not eating the monkey'

**PRESENT PERFECT TENSE**

**Positive without Infix in Isolation**

Balíáile 'They have eaten'
Balílimine 'They have cultivated'
BalíBweene 'They have seen'
Positive without Infix followed

Balibwe ended Bwino 'They have seen well'
Balibwe ended nakabili 'They have seen again'
Balibwe ended kolwe 'They have seen a monkey'
Baliliile Bwino 'They have eaten well'
Baliliile kolwe 'They have eaten the monkey'

Positive with Infix in Isolation

MulumuBweene 'You have seen him'
Balililimine 'They have cultivated it'
Balifiliile 'They have eaten them'

Positive with Infix followed

Mulibweenes inkalamba 'You have seen the angel'
MulimuBweene kolwe 'You have seen the monkey'
MulumuBweene nakabili 'You have seen him again'
MulumuBweene Bwino 'You have seen him well'
Balimiiliile kolwe 'They have eaten (him) the monkey'
Baliciiliile nakabili 'They have eaten it again'
Baliciiliile Bwino 'They have eaten it well'

Negative without Infix in Isolation

TabaBweene pe 'They haven't seen'
Baalilepo 'They have not eaten'
Tabaliminepo 'They have not cultivate'

Negative without Infix followed

TabaBweene po kolwe 'They haven't seen a monkey'
TabaBweene po Bwino 'They haven't seen well/clearly'
Tabaliminepo nakabili 'They haven't cultivated again'

Negative with Infix in Isolation

TabaBweenepe 'They have not seen him'
Tabaciliilepo 'They have not eaten it'
Tabaliminepo 'They have not cultivated'

Negative with Infix followed

TabaBweeenepo Buupe 'They have not seen Buupe'
Tabimiiliilepo kolwe 'They have not eaten the monkey'
Tabaliminepo Bwino 'They have not cultivated well'
Tabaliminepo nakabili 'They have not cultivated it again'

POST-HODIERNAL FUTURE SIMPLE TENSE

Positive without Infix in Isolation

Tukabona 'We will see'
Bakalya 'They will eat'
Bakalima  'They will cultivate'
Bakaya  'They will go'

**Positive without Infix Followed**

Bakálya kolwe  'They will eat a money'
BakaBoná nakáBili  'They will see again'
Bakálime Bala  'They will cultivate the field'
BakaBona Bwino

**Positive with Infix in Isolation**

TukámuBoná  'We will see him'
Mukalilima  'You will cultivate it'
Bakácilya  'They will eat it'

**Positive with Infix followed**

TukámuBoná Büupe  'We will see (him) Büupe'
Mukalilime Bala  'You will cultivate the field'
Mukalilima makosa  'You will cultivate hard'
Mukalilima Bwino  'You will cultivate well'
BakaBona Bwino  'They will see him well'

**Negative without Infix in Isolation**

TaBakáBonapo  'They won’t see'
TaBakályaapo  'They won’t eat'
Tabakálilimo  'They won’t cultivate'

**Negative without Infix followed**

TaBakályaapó kolwe  'They won’t eat a monkey'
TaBakályaapo Bwino  'They won’t eat well'
TaBakáBonapó kolwe  'They won’t see a monkey'

**Negative with Infix in Isolation**

TaBakámuBonapo  'They won’t see him'
TaBakácilyaapo  'They won’t eat it'
TaBakálililimo  'They won’t cultivate it'

**Negative with Infix followed**

TaBakámuBonapó kolwe  'They won’t see the monkey'
TaBakácilyaapo Bwino  'They won’t eat it'
TaBakálililimo nakaBili  'They won’t cultivate it again'

**HODIERNAL FUTURE SIMPLE**

**Positive without Infix followed**

BáakuBona  'They will see'
Báákulya  'They will eat'
Baakulima  'They will cultivate'
Positive without Infix followed

Ba'akuBonee nk'alamba 'They will see an angel'
Baakulye' nama 'They will eat meat'
Baakulimee Bala 'They will cultivate the farm'

Positive with Infix in Isolation

Baaku'BaBona 'They will see them'
BaakuBicyila 'They will eat it'
BaakuBilima 'They will cultivate it'

Positive with Infix followed

Baaku'BaBona Bataata 'They will see my father'
BaakuBuliee saBi 'They will eat fish'
BaakuBilimee Bala 'They will cultivate the field'

Negative without infix in Isolation

Teeti BaBonepo 'They won't see'
Teeti Balyeepo 'They won't eat'
Teeti Balimepeo 'They won't cultivate'

Negative without Infix followed

Teeti BaBonepeewee nk'alamba 'They won't see an angel'
Teeti Balyeepewee nama 'They won't eat meat'
Teeti Balimepeewee Bala 'They won't cultivate the field'

Negative with Infix in Isolation

Teeti BamuBonepeo 'They won't see him'
Teeti Bacicyeepo 'They won't eat it'
Teeti Balimepeo 'They won't cultivate it'

Negative with Infix followed

Teeti BamuBonepo Buupe 'They won't see Buupe'
Teeti Balicyeepwee saBi 'They won't eat the fish'
Teeti Balimepeewee Bala 'They won't cultivate the farm'

PRE-HODIERNAL PAST SIMPLE

Positive without Infix

BaaliBweene 'They saw'
Baaliiliile 'They ate'
Baaliile 'They went'
Baaliilimine 'They cultivated'

Positive without Infix followed

BaaliBweenee nk'alamba 'They saw an angel'
Balilile' nama  'They ate an animal'
Balilimine' cisompe  'They cultivated the field'
Baliliile' nakâBili  'They went again'

Positive with Infix in Isolation
BaalímuBweene  'They saw him'
Baalíciiliile  'They ate it'
Balililimine  'They cultivated it'

Positive with Infix followed
BaalímuBweene Bu'upe  'They saw (him) Bu'upe'
Baalímuliiile' kolwe  'They ate the monkey'
Balililimine' cisompe  'They cultivated the field'

Negative without Infix in Isolation
TaBaaBweenepo  'They didn't see'
TaBaaliiilepo  'They didn't eat'
Tawaliminepo  'You didn't cultivate'

Negative with Infix  in isolation
TaBaamuBweenepo  'They didn't see him'
TaBaaciiilepo  'They didn't eat it'
TaBaaliliminepo  'They didn't cultivate it'

Negative with Infix followed
TaBaamuBweenepo Bwino  'They didn't see him well'
TaBaamuliiilepo' kolwe  'They didn't eat the monkey'
TaBaaliliminepwee' cisompe  'They didn't cultivate the field'

3. THE SUBJUNCTIVE AND IMPERATIVE

Subjunctive Present

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POSITIVE</th>
<th>NEGATIVE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'eat'</td>
<td>'don't eat'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'see'</td>
<td>'don't see'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'cultivate'</td>
<td>'don't cultivate'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'see clearly'</td>
<td>'don't see clearly'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subjunctive Future/Imperative Future

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ukâlye</th>
<th>wiikâlye</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'You should eat'</td>
<td>'You shouldn't eat'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ukâBone</th>
<th>wiikâBone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'You should see'</td>
<td>'You shouldn't see'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Subjunctive Future/Imperative Future

ukálye 'You should eat' wiikályá 'You shouldn't eat'
ukáBone 'You should see' wiikáBona 'You shouldn't see'
ukálime wiikálima 'You shouldn't cultivate'
mukáBone Bwino 'You should see clearly' mwiikáBona Bwino 'You shouldn't see clearly'
mukaBone Bwino 'you should see clearly' 'you shouldn't see clearly'
mukáBone kolwe 'you should see a monkey' mwiikáBona kolwe 'You shouldn't see a monkey'
mukálye kolwe 'you should eat a monkey' mwiikályá kolwe 'you shouldn't eat a monkey'
Bakačilye 'you should eat it' Beekácyila 'they shouldn't eat it'
Bakálilime 'they should cultivate Beekálilima 'they shouldn't cultivate it'

Imperative
Bona 'see' wiiBona 'don't see'
líma cultivate wiillima 'don't cultivate'
Bona kolwe 'see a monkey' wiiBona'kolwe 'don't see a monkey'
lyá 'eat' wiilya 'don't eat'
Bona nakáBili 'see again' wiiBona nakáBili 'don't see again'
Bona Bwino 'see clearly wiiBona Bwino 'don't see again'

(B) RELATIVES

PRESENT HABITUAL TENSE
Positive without Infix In Isolation
Kalúmbwaana úulya 'The boy who eats'
Umwaálalume úuBona 'they man who sees'
Umwaálalume úulíma 'The man who cultivates'

Positive without Infix followed
Kalúmbwaana úulyeé mbwa áafwa 'The boy who eats dogs has died'
Umwaálalume úuBonée nkálamba 'The man who sees angels'
Kalúmbwaana úuBona Bwino 'The boy who sees clearly'
Kalúmbwaana úuBona kolwe 'The boy who sees a monkey'
ABantu aBálíma Baïsa 'The people who cultivate have come'

Positive with Infix in Isolation
Kashiimbi úucílyá 'The girl who eats it'
Kalúmbwaana úútúBona 'The boy who sees us'
Umwaánakashi úulílima 'The woman who cultivates it'
Báátata áBo tuBona 'My father whom we see'

Positive with Infix followed
Umwaálalume úútúBona Bwino 'The man who sees us well'
Kalúmbwaana úucílyá Bwino 'The boy who eats it well'
Kalúmbwaana úufílya lyóóse 'The boy who eats them well'
Kashiimbi úulfílima aísa 'The girl who cultivates it has come'
"Abantu áBacílya nakáBili" 'The people who eat it again'

**Negative without Infix in Isolation**

- Kalúmbwaana úutalyapo 'the boy who doesn't eat'
- Umwaálalume úutaBonapo 'The man who doesn't eat'
- Kashíímbi útalimapo 'the girl who doesn't cultivate'
- IBala ílí taBalimapo 'The garden which they don't cultivate'

**Negative without Infix followed**

- Umwaálalume úutalyapo tuute ni John 'The boy who doesn't eat cassava is John'
- ÁBaálalume áBataBonapo ni Báátaata 'The man who doesn't see is my father'
- Kalúmbwaana úutaBonapo kolwe 'The boy who doesn't see a monkey'
- Tuute úú tatúlyapo tulapoosa 'The cassava which we don't eat is thrown away'
- Umwaálalume úú taBaBonapoíása 'the man whom they don't see has come'

**Negative with Infix in Isolation**

- Kalúmbwaana úutacílyapo 'The boy who doesn't eat it'
- Inama ílí talyapo 'The meat which he doesn't eat'
- IBala ílí tatulimapo 'The garden which we don't cultivate'
- Kalúmbwaana úú tatúBonapo 'The boy who we don't see'
- Kalúmbwaana úútañúBonapo 'The boy who doesn't see us'

**Negative with Infix followed**

- Kalúmbwaana úutacílyapo áonda 'The boy who doesn't eat it is thin'
- Kalúmbwaana útalílimapo múByanii 'The boy who doesn't cultivate it is my friend'
- Kalúmbwaana úutamùBonapo kolwe 'The boy who doesn't see the monkey'
- Kashíímbi útalílimapo Bwino 'The girl who doesn't cultivate it well'

**Present Progressive**

**Positive without Infix In Isolation**

- Umwaálalume úulúkuBona 'The man who is seeing'
- ÁBantu áBalúkulya 'The people who are eating'
- ÁMama sá Balúkulya 'The maize which they are eating'
- IBala ílí Balúkulima 'The farm which they are cultivating'
- Kalúmbwaana úulúkulima 'The boy who is cultivating'
- Kashíímbi úulúkuya 'The girl who is going'

**Positive without Infix followed**
Positive without Infix followed
ABááalalume áBalúkuBona Baákafúndisha
Umwááalalume úulúkulya ni kapokola
ABáánakashi áBo BalúkuBona Bááata
calúmbwaana úulúkuBona Bwino
Kashiímbi úo mulúkuBona Bwino

Positive with Infix in Isolation
Umwááalalume úulúkutúBona
Kalúmbwaana úulúkucílya
Kashiímbi úulúkulílima

Positive with Infix followed
Umwááalalume úulúkutúBona ni kafúndisha
Kalúmbwaana úulúkucílya Bwino
ABááalalume áBalúkulílima niBa mwúnsho

Negative without Infix in Isolation
Umwááalalume úutalúkuBonapo
Umwááalalume úu tamulúkuBónapo
Kalúmbwaana úutalúkulyáapo
Umwááalalume úutalúkulímapo
IBala íli tamulúkulímapo

Negative without Infix followed
ABááalalume áBatalúkuBónapo kolwe
Umwááalalume úútamulúkuBónapo Bwino
Umwááalalume úútalúkulímapo
ABááalalume áBa taBalúkulímapo ni Ba
Foloko

'The men who are seeing the teaching'
'The men who are eating a monkey'
'The women whom my father is seeing'
'The boy who is seeing clearly'
'The girl whom you are seeing clearly'

'The man who is seeing us'
'The boy who is eating it'
'The girl who is cultivating it'

'The man who is seeing us is a teacher'
'The boy who is eating it well'
'The man who is cultivating it is my uncle'

'The man who is not seeing'
'The man whom you are not seeing'
'The boy who is not eating'
'The man who is not cultivating'
'The farm which you are not cultivating'

'The men who are not seeing a monkey'
'The man whom you are not seeing well'
'The man who is not cultivating'
'the man who is not cultivating is Foloko'
**Negative with Infix in Isolation**

Umwaálalume úutalúkutúBonapo
Kalúmbwaana úutalúkucílyapo
Umwaálalume úutalúkulílimapo

'The man who is not seeing us'
'The boy who is not eating it'
'The man who is not cultivating it'

**Negative with Infix followed**

Umwaálalume úutalúkutúBonapo in kaBwálala
Kashímbi úutalúkumuBonapó kolwe
Kalúmbwaana úutalúkucílyapo Bwino
Kalúmwana úutalúkulílimaponi Búupe

'The girl who is not seeing a thief'
'The boy who is not seeing a monkey'
'The boy who is not eating it well'
'The boy who is not cultivating it is Búupe

**Present Perfect Tense**

**Positive without Infix in Isolation**

Umwaálalume úwaaBóna
Kalúmbwaana úwaálya
Umwaálalume úwaalíma

The man who has seen
The boy who has eaten
The man who has cultivated

IBala íli Baalíma
Umwaálalume úuBaBweéene

The field which has be cultivated
The man who has

**Positive without followed**

Umwaálalume úwaaBóna inkálamba
KalúmbBwaana úwaalyéé nshima
Umwaálalume úwaalíma iBala

The man who has seen an angel
The boy who has eaten nshima
The man who has cultivated the farm

**Positive with Infix Isolation**

Umwaálalume úwaakuBóna
Kalúmbwaana úwaacílyeéci
Umwaálalume úwaacílímeéci

The man who has seen you
The boy who has eaten it
The man who has cultivated it

**Positive with Infix followed**

Umwaálalume úwaakuBona ni shíma pepo
kalúmbwaana úwaacílyeéci mwiíníne

The man who has see you is a priest
The boy who has eaten
Umwáálalume úwaacíliméeci múByáanji 'The man who has cultivated it is my friend'

**Negative without Infix in Isolation**

Umwáálalume úutaBweenepo
Kalúmbwaana úútaliilepo
Umwáánakashi úútalimínepo 'The man who hasn't seen' 'The boy who hasn't eaten' 'The woman who hasn't cultivated'

**Negative without Infix followed**

Umwáálalume úutaBweenepó inkálamba 'The man who hasn't seen an angel'
Kalúmbwaana úútaliílepo iliindaanda 'The boy who hasn't eaten the egg'
Umwáánakashi úútalimínepo iBala 'The woman who hasn't cultivated the field.'

**Negative with Infix In Isolation**

Umwáálalume úútakúkBweenepo 'The man who hasn't seen you'
Kalúmbwaana úûtacíliilepo 'The boy who hasn't eaten it'
Umwáánakashi úútacílimínepo 'The woman who hasn't cultivated it'

**Negative with Infix followed**

Umwáálalume úutaciBweenépo lúshilu 'The man who hasn't seen it is mad'
Kalumbwaana uutaciBweenépo tici ni Mark 'The boy who hasn't eaten it is Mark'
Kalúmbwaana úútacílimínepo tici ni Mwape 'The boy who hasn't it is Mwape'

**PRE-HODERNAL PAST SIMPLE**

**Positive without Infix in Isolation**

Umwáálalume úwaBweene 'The man who saw'
Kalúmbwaana uwaalile 'The boy who ate'
Umwáánakashi uwalímíne 'The woman who cultivated'

**Positive without infix followed**

Umwáálalume úwaBweene inkálamba 'The man who saw an angel'
Kalúmbwaana uwaalile ínsoka 'The boy who ate a snake'
Umwáánakashi uwaalíminee Bala 'The woman who cultivated the field'

**Positive with Infix in Isolation**
Positive with Infix in Isolation

Umwaálalume úwaamúBweene
Kalumbwaana uwaacíliile ici
Umwaánakashi uwaacílimineeci

The man who saw him
The boy who ate it
The woman who cultivated it

Positive with Infix followed

Umwaálalume uwaamúBweeneene áafwa
Kashiimbi úwáciífile aafunta
Umwaánakashi úwáciílimine múúkote

The man who saw him has died
The girl who ate it is mad
The woman who cultivated it is old.

Negative without Infix In Isolation

Umwaálalume úutaBweenepo
Kalumbwaana úu tatwaBweenepo
Kashiimbi úutaaliilepo
AmataBa áo tatwaaliilepo
ÚBala íli taaliminepo uyu

The man who didn't see
The boy whom we didn't see
The girl who didn't eat
The maize which we didn't eat
The field which she didn't cultivate.

Negative without infix followed

Umwaálalume úutaBweenepó inkálamba
Kashiimbi úutaaliilepo ísaBi
AmataBa áo tawaaliilepo mailo
ÚBala íli taaliminepo uyu

The man who didn't see the angel
The girl who didn't eat fish
The maize which we didn't eat
The field which this woman person was not cultivating

Negative with Infix Isolation

Umwaálalume úutaacituBonapo
Kalumbwaana úutaaciciypó ici
Umwaánakashi úutaacílimenepo

The man who didn't see us
The boy who didn't eat it
The woman who didn't cultivate it

Umwaánakashi úutaacíliminepo
AmataBa áo tawaaliilepo mailo
ÚBala íli taaliminepo

The woman who didn't cultivate it
The maize which we didn't eat.
The field which she didn't cultivate last year

Negative with Infix followed

Umwaálalume úutaacituBonapo pa stesheni
Kalumbwaana útaacíiliilepo' ici mailo
Umwaánakashi útaalimepo mailo
Umwaánakashi útaalimepo mailo

The man who didn't see us at the station
The boy who didn't eat it yesterday.
The woman who didn't cultivate yesterday
The woman who didn't cultivate yesterday
Umwáánakashi úutaaliminepó mailo cultivate today. 'The woman who didn't cultivate yesterday'

PRE-HODIERNAL PAST PROGRESSIVE

Positive without Infix in Isolation

Umwáálalume úwaalúkuBona 'The man who was seeing'
Kalúmbwaana úwaalúkulya 'The boy who was eating'
Kalúmbwaana úwaalúkulya luno 'The boy who was eating this morning.'
lúceelo 'The boy whom you were seeing'
Kalúmbwaana úwalukuBona 'The boy who was cultivating'
Kalúmbwaana úwaalúkulima 'The field which you were cultivating'
Ilbala íli walúkulima

Positive without Infix Followed

Umwáálalume úwaalúkuBona 'The man who was seeing the teacher'
Bákafundisha 'The boy who was eating cassava'
Kalúmbwaana úwaalukulya tuute
Kalúmbwaana úu mwaBonoo lúceelo 'The boy whom you were seeing this morning.'
Kalúmbwaana úwaalúkuluméé Bala 'The boy who was cultivating the field'
IlíBala íli mwaalukulima mailo 'The field which you were cultivating yesterday.'

Positive with Infix Isolated

Umwáálalume úwaalúkutúBona 'The man who was seeing us'
Kalúmbwaana úwaalúkucílya 'The boy who was eating it'
Umwáána Lashi úwaalúkcílima 'The woman who was cultivating it.'

Positive with Infix followed

Umwáálalume úwaalúkutúBona mailo 'The man who was seeing us yesterday'
Kalúmbwaana úwaacíiliiléeci mailo 'The boy who was eating it yesterday'
Umwáánakashi úwaalúkucílimééci ulúceelo 'The woman who was cultivating it in the morning.'

Negative without Infix in Isolation

Umwáálalume úutaalúkuBonápo 'The man who was not seeing'
Kalúmbwaana úutaalúkulyáapo 'The boy who was not eating'
Kalúmbwaana úu tamwalúkuBonápo 'The boy whom you were not seeing'
Kalumbwaana úutaalúkulímapo
I íBala íli tamwaalúkulímapo

'The boy who was not cultivating'
'The field which you were not cultivating'

**Negative without Infix followed**

Umwáálalume úutaalúkuBonapwée nkálamba
Kalumbwaana úutaalúkulyáapo tuute
Umwáálalume úutaalúkulímapo íiBala

'The man who was not seeing an angel'
'The boy who was not eating cassava'
'The man who was not cultivating the field'

**Negative with Infix in Isolation**

Umwáálalume úutaalúkumúBonapo
Kalumbwaana úutaalúkucílyapo
Umwáálalume úutaalúkulímapo

'The man who was not seeing him'
'The boy who was not eating it'
'The man who was not cultivating it'

**Negative with Infix followed**

Umwáálalume úutaalúkumúBonapo 'áfwa
Kalumbwaana úutaalúkucílyapo ici cuungwa
Umwáálalume úutaalúkulímapo ici muufila

'The man who was not seeing him has died'
'The boy who was not eating it is a fool.'
'The man who not cultivating it is lazy'

**POST-HODIERNAL FUTURE SIMPLE**

**Positive without Infix In Isolation**

Kashimbi úukáBona
Umwáálulume úukalíma
Kalumbwaana úukálya
KashímBi úukáya

'The girl who will see'
'The man who will cultivate'
'They boy who will eat'
'The girl who will go'

**Positive without Infix followed**

Kashimbi úukáBonee nkálamba
Umwáálalume úukalímeé Bala
Kalumbwaana úukálya tuute

'the girl who will see an angel'
'The man who will cultivate the field'
'The boy who will eat cassava'

**Positive with Infix In Isolation**

Kashimbi úukámúBona
Umwáálalume úukácílíma
Kalumbwaana úukácílyéeci

'The girl who will see him'
'The man who will cultivate it'
'The boy who will eat it'
Positive with Infix Followed

Kashiimbi ùukamuBona mailo "The girl who will see him tomorrow"

Kalumbwaana úukacilyëeci mailo "The boy who will eat it tomorrow"

Negative without Infix In Isolation

Umwâalalume úutakaBonapo "The man who won't see"
Umwâalalume úutakaðimapo "The man who won't cultivate"
Kalumbwaana úutakâlyapo "The boy who won't eat"

Negative without Infix Followed

Kashiimbi úutakaBonapwee nkâlamba "The girl who won't see an angel"
Kalumbwaana úutakâlyapo tuute "The boy who won't eat cassava"

Negative with Infix In Isolation

Kashiimbi úutakáBaBonapo "The girl who won't see them"
Umwâalalume úutakácilimapo "The man who won't cultivate it well"
Kulumbwaana úutakáclyapo "The boy who won't eat it"

Negative with Infix Followed

Kashiimbi úutakáBaBonapo nakâbili "The girl who won't see them again"
Umwâalalume úutakácilimapo nee makosa "The man who won't cultivate it hard"
Kalumbwaana úutakácilyapo ici cino cingulo "The boy who won't eat it this afternoon"
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