

COPYRIGHT

All rights reserved. No part of this dissertation may be reproduced or utilised in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the author or the University of Zambia.

© 2013 Chipili Andrew

DECLARATION

I, **Chipili Andrew**, do hereby make solemn declaration that this dissertation is a representation of my own work and that it has not been submitted previously for a degree at this or any other university. All the other people's work I have consulted have been acknowledged.

Signature:

Date:

DEDICATION

I dedicate this piece of work to my almighty God for his protection, guidance, wisdom and natural intellect rendered to me during my study and research period.

CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL

This dissertation by **Chipili Andrew** is approved as a partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Education in Educational Psychology of the University of Zambia.

Signed: Date:
Signed: Date:
Signed: Date:

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I feel fortunate to have had Dr. Sophie Kasonde-Ng'andu as my Principal Supervisor. I whole-heartedly express my sincere gratitude to her for her valuable professional guidance and advice. Despite her busy schedule, she kindly and generously gave significant input to the production of this dissertation. I am indebted to her for her concern, support, encouragement, and for providing excellent supervision which saw this dissertation to a successful completion.

My particular thanks and heart-felt appreciation are extended to the Provincial Education Officer for Northern Province, Mr. Ngosa Kotati for granting me study leave to undertake this programme at a time when the nation was implementing study plan policy. At short notice, the Provincial Education Officer allowed me to proceed unconditionally. I am grateful to him.

I am also grateful to the District Board Secretary (Luwingu District), head teachers, teachers and pupils of Siali, Katopola, Don-Bosco, Kapisha and Chiponde Primary Schools for sparing their busiest schedules to answer the questionnaires. I really salute you dearly.

My sincere thanks and gratitude go to my workmates Mr. Richard Simuchimba, Mr. Lazarous Chanda, Chilongo, Tamara and Mr. Mutale Mulenga for their encouragement and contributions to the completion of this work.

Lastly but not the least, I would like to express my earnest and heartfelt gratitude to my supportive wife, Jane and my two sons, Andrew and Bernard, my daughters Nancy, Dyness and Milika for their encouragement, unlimited support and understanding during my long period of absence. You sacrificed a lot for me and may God bless you richly.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Copyright approval.....	i
Declaration.....	ii
Dedication.....	iii
Certificate of approval.....	iv
Acknowledgements.....	v
Table of contents.....	vi
List of tables.....	xi
List of figures.....	xii
Abstract.....	xiii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Overview.....	1
1.2 Background.....	1
1.3 Statement of the problem.....	3
1.4 Purpose of the study.....	4
1.5 General objective of the study.....	4
1.6 Specific objectives of the study.....	4
1.7 Research questions.....	4
1.8 Significance of the study.....	5
1.9 Delimitations of the Study.....	5
1.10 Limitations.....	5
1.11 Operational definitions.....	5
1.12 Theoretical framework.....	6
1.13 Summary.....	7
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW.....	8
2.1 Overview.....	8
2.2 Theory of multiple intelligences.....	8
2.3 The human mind at work.....	10
2.4 Multiple intelligences.....	12
2.5 Teacher's intelligence profile.....	14
2.6 Learners' profile.....	16

2.7	Multiple intelligence in the classroom.....	16
2.8	Summary.....	16
CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY.....		18
3.1	Overview.....	18
3.2	Research design.....	18
3.3	Target population.....	19
3.4	Sample size.....	19
3.4.1	Gender of respondents.....	19
3.4.2	Age group of teachers.....	19
3.4.3	Teaching experience.....	20
3.4.4	Teachers' highest professional qualification.....	21
3.5	Sampling techniques.....	21
3.6	Research instruments and data collection.....	22
3.7	Data analysis.....	22
3.8	Ethical considerations.....	22
3.9	Summary.....	22
CHAPTER FOUR: PRESENTATION OF FINDINGS.....		23
4.1	Overview.....	23
4.2	Level of teachers' knowledge about multiple intelligences.....	23
4.2.1	Variation in intelligence.....	23
4.2.2	Teachers' views on whether intelligence exists in many different ways.....	24
4.2.3	Existence of multiple-intelligence in one learner.....	24
4.2.4	Failing in one area but with success in different area shows intelligence.....	24
4.2.5	Learners are not dull, what matters are appropriate instructional styles of methodology related to learners' intelligence.....	25
4.3	Teachers' views on integration of multiple intelligences in instructional styles of teaching in primary schools.....	26
4.3.1	Teachers views on whether learners grasped concepts in classrooms differently.....	26
4.4	Whether teachers integrate multiple intelligences in their teaching Methodologies.....	26

4.4.1	Debate as a method of teaching fluency in English in a classroom.....	27
4.4.2	Introduction of concepts using songs.....	27
4.4.3	Introduction of lessons through imaginative story telling.....	27
4.4.4	Teaching mathematics using songs and games.....	27
4.4.5	Whether teachers gave examples from other fields when teaching mathematics.....	28
4.4.6	Whether teachers taught painting, collage, paper mosaic and drawing.....	28
4.4.7	Whether learners participated in physical education activity.....	28
4.4.8	Whether there are singing groups in classrooms.....	29
4.4.9	Whether learners were able to play musical instruments.....	29
4.4.10	Whether classes have subject clubs.....	29
4.4.11	Whether learners desired to work alone and whether teachers encouraged this behaviour.....	29
4.4.12	Whether learners took field trips to learn about nature.....	30
4.4.13	Whether debates, imaginative stories, group discussions and songs made learners learn faster and understand concepts from other subjects.....	30
4.4.14	Whether charts, songs, football, field trips made learners learn mathematics with less difficulty.....	30
4.4.15	Preference of drawing and painting more than writing passages and paragraphs.....	31
4.4.16	Cooperative groups, peer teaching, interpersonal interaction and group brainstorming learning activities make all learners participate effectively.....	32
4.4.17	Learning about nature (field trips) made learners develop interest in the lesson there after.....	32
4.4.18	Alternatives in intelligence.....	33
4.5	Learners' views on whether multiple intelligences either in or outside classroom were integrated in their learning.....	34
4.5.1	Whether classes have debate clubs and whether learners participated in debates.....	34
4.5.2	Whether learners liked group discussions in English in the classroom.....	34

4.5.3	Whether learners enjoyed lessons when the teacher taught them through story telling in English.....	35
4.5.4	Whether learners read news in class and enjoyed reading news.....	35
4.5.5	Whether teachers used songs when teaching English and whether learners enjoyed lessons when the teacher used songs.....	35
4.5.6	Whether teachers used of stories, songs and games when teaching mathematics and whether learners enjoyed this method of teaching.....	35
4.5.7	Whether teachers taught the learners mathematics through group work.....	36
4.5.8	Whether learners have the ability to learn mathematics quickly when teachers used charts and examples from football.....	37
4.5.9	Whether learners liked painting and drawing exercises.....	37
4.5.10	Whether teachers gave chance to learners to paint and draw during classroom exercises.....	37
4.5.11	Whether learners preferred to do more drawing activities and painting than writing.....	38
4.5.12	Whether teachers used sports as part of examples when teaching and whether learners enjoyed lessons when the teacher used this method of teaching.....	38
4.5.13	Learner’s ability to sing.....	39
4.5.14	Learners’ views on presence of class singing group.....	39
4.5.15	Learners’ ability to play musical instruments.....	39
4.5.16	Learners’ views on whether teaching mathematics and English using songs made them learn very well.....	39
4.5.17	Peer teaching and whether learners enjoyed this method of teaching.....	39
4.5.18	Learners’ views on individualized work in class.....	40
4.5.19	Whether teachers took learners outside the classroom to learn about nature.....	40
4.6	Summary.....	41
CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS.....		43
5.1	Overview.....	43
5.2	Level of teachers’ knowledge about multiple intelligences.....	43

5.3	Teachers' views on integration of multiple intelligences in instructional styles of teaching in primary schools.....	45
5.4	Whether teachers integrate multiple intelligences in their teaching methodologies.....	47
5.5	Learners' views on whether multiple intelligences either in or outside classroom were integrated in their learning.....	52
5.6	Summary.....	55
CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....		56
6.1	Overview.....	56
6.2	Conclusion.....	56
6.3	Recommendations.....	58
6.4	Recommendations for future research.....	58
References.....		59
Appendix I: Questionnaire for teachers.....		62
Appendix II: Questionnaire for learners.....		68

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1: Presence of debate club and participation as speaker in debates.....	34
Table 4.2: Reading news in class and enjoying reading news.....	35
Table 4.3: Using songs when teaching English and enjoying lessons when teacher used songs.....	36
Table 4.4: Use of stories, songs and games by teachers when teaching mathematics and whether learners liked this method of teaching.....	36
Table 4.5: Use sports as part of examples when teaching and whether learners enjoyed lessons when their teacher used this method.....	38
Table 4.6: Peer and whether learners enjoyed it.....	40
Table 4.7: Whether teachers take learners outside classroom to learn about nature.....	41

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1: Gender of respondents.....	19
Figure 3.2: Age distribution of teacher respondents.....	20
Figure 3.3: Teachers' teaching experience.....	20
Figure 3.4: Highest professional qualification.....	21
Figure 4.1: Teachers' responses on whether there were some variations in the learners' intelligence.....	24
Figure 4.2: Failing in one area but success in different area shows intelligence.....	25
Figure 4.3: Learners are not dull, what matters are appropriate instructional styles of methodology related to learners' intelligence.....	25
Figure 4.4: Whether learners grasped concepts in classrooms differently.....	26
Figure 4.5: Teaching mathematics using games and songs.....	27
Figure 4.6: Whether teachers used examples from other field when teaching mathematics.....	28
Figure 4.7: Debates, imaginative stories, group discussions and songs made learners learn faster and understand concepts from other subjects.....	30
Figure 4.8: Charts, songs, football, field trips made learners learn mathematics with less difficulties.....	31
Figure 4.9: Preference of drawing and painting more than writing passages and paragraphs.....	31
Figure 4.10: Cooperative groups, peer teaching, interpersonal interaction and group brainstorming learning activities make all learners participate effectively.....	32
Figure 4.11: Learning about nature (field trips) made learners develop interest in the lesson there after.....	33
Figure 4.12: Whether there are alternatives in intelligence.....	33

ABSTRACT

This study aimed at investigating teachers' and learners' on integration of multiple intelligences in instructional styles of teaching and learning. It was structured to explore if teachers engaged the aspect of multiple intelligences in their instructional teaching styles as a way of promoting creativity in the teachers particularly in relation to multiple intelligences..

A descriptive survey approach was used in conducting this study. Data was collected through semi-structured questionnaires to a sample of 40 teachers and 60 learners who were purposively sampled from the six selected primary schools in Luwingu District. The study mainly used quantitative methods of data collection. However qualitative methods of data collection were also employed to supplement the quantitative methods. Thematic analysis was used to analyse qualitative while quantitative data was analysed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) to generate statistical tables and figures.

The study revealed that, teachers did not fully engage the eight multiple intelligences in their instructional styles of teaching and learning in the classroom. The study further showed that as much as some of the teachers involved the approach of multiple intelligences in their instructional styles of teaching, it was found that the approach did not aim at addressing the individual learners' intelligences that were appropriate for their learning but that the approach was used unknowingly or misapplied.

The study recommended that: (a) the Ministry of Education, Science, Vocational Training and Early Education (MESVTEE) should (i) incorporate multiple intelligence into the existing curriculum to equip student teachers in colleges and universities with the skills and knowledge in order to enhance the quality of education (ii) take full responsibility of conducting in-service training on the integration of multiple intelligences in instructional teaching styles as a way to reinforce the application of the eight multiple intelligences so as to enhance effective teaching and learning in a classroom situation. (b) teachers should (i) engage eight multiple intelligences in their instructional styles of teaching across all the subjects in the curriculum to cultivate the

learners' interest in all subjects (ii) explore and establish the individual learning styles to enhance the learners' active participation in the learning process.