

THE UNIVERSITY OF ZAMBIA

**EFFECT OF LEVELS OF CRUDE PROTEIN AND CALORIE/PROTEIN RATIO ON QUAIL
GROWTH**

**BY
HAMUNGALU OBRIGHT**

**A RESEARCH PROJECT REPORT SUBMITTED TO THE SCHOOL OF AGRICULTURAL
SCIENCES IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF
BACHELOR OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES.**

**SUPERVISED BY
BY HAAZELE .F (PhD)
DEPARTMENT OF ANIMAL SCIENCES**

**THE UNIVERSITY OF ZAMBIA
LUSAKA**

MAY, 2011

DECLARATION

To the best of my knowledge, this fifth year research report has never been done by any one for the acquisition of a degree at any university worldwide. Henceforth, I declare that all the results herein are independent and are a true reflection of the study that was undertaken.

.....

HAMUNGALU OBRIGHT

DEDICATION

I dedicate this report to all my beloved family members and friends for the support they offered during my school days at The University of Zambia.

ABSTRACT

This study was observed for a period of 35 days during which the birds (quails) were fed on feeds that were formulated. A pre-trial and two actual trials were conducted to investigate the effect of different protein levels and calorie/protein ratios in the diets. The diets for pre-trial and first actual trial had 27% and 24% CP at 2900 kcal/kg ME with calorie-protein ratios of 107 and 121, respectively. While diets for second trial had 24% and 20% CP at 2900 kcal/kg having different calorie-protein ratios of 121 and 145, respectively.

Sixty-four (64) unsexed chicks which had just hatched were put into two groups randomly and were observed for a period of 7 days (pre-trial). At the end of pr-trial phase the birds were distributed in a 2 X 2 Factorial laid as Completely Randomized Design (CRD). Each treatment (feed) had four replications and there were 6 birds in each experimental unit.

In the second trial an infection was suspected to have occurred and about 8% mortality was recorded. The Analysis of Variance on feed intake and body weights showed a significant difference ($p \leq 0.05$) between starter 1(27% CP) and starter 2 (24% CP) during the first trial. The results of feed intake, body weights and feed conversion ratio for the second trial did not show a significant difference between grower 1(24% CP) and grower 2 (20% CP).

Further more, the ANOVA on breast muscle weights, liver weights and fat extracted from Liver samples of slaughtered birds at the end of the second trial showed no significant difference between the treatments.

In addition, the ZABS and NRC recommendations gave different growth performances of birds in the first trial. In the second trial the two recommendations (ZABS and NRC) did not show any difference in terms of growth performance.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Firstly I would like to sincerely thank my supervisor, Dr. F. Haazele. Despite his busy schedule, he tirelessly helped me in many areas during my study. Also I would like to thank Mr. K. Wabuita for his advice on good management practices of quail production.

I would also like to express my thanks to all members of staff in the school of Agricultural sciences and my colleagues for the encouragement and many other services that they offered during this study.

Ultimately, I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to almighty God for seeing me through up to the end of my degree program.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
DECLARATION	ii
DEDICATION	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
ACKNOWLEDGE	v
TABLE OF TABLES	vi
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
ACRONMY	viii

CHAPTER 1

1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General information	1
1.2 Background to the study	1
1.3 Problem statement	2
1.4 Justification	3
1.5 Research objectives	3
1.5.1 Main objective	3
1.5.2 Specific objectives	3
1.5.3 Hypotheses	4

CHAPTER 2

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW	5
-----------------------------	---

CHAPTER 3

3.0 . MATERIAL AND METHODS	7
3.1 Pre-trial phase	7
3.2 Starter feed (first trial) and Grower feed (second trial)	7
3.3 Cages and Bird management	8
3.4 Diet used	8.
3.5 Data Collection	11

3.5.1 Pre – Trial	11
3.5.2 First trial	11
3.5.3 Second Trial	11
3.5.4 Breast muscle and Livers	12
3.5.5 Cost of producing each feed	12
3.5.6 Statistical Analysis	12

CHAPTER 4

4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	13
4.1 General health of birds	13
4.2 Pre-trial phase	13
4.3 First Trial (Starter phase)	14
4.3.1 Feed intake	14
4.3.2. Body weights of birds	15
4.3.3 Feed Conversion Ratios	17
4.3.4. Strain comparison during the first trial.....	18
4.4. Second trial of the study	19
4.4.1. Feed consumption during the second trial.	19
4.4.2. Body weights of birds.....	20
4.4.3. Feed conversion ratios.....	20
4.4.4 The breast muscles of slaughtered birds.....	21
4.4.5. Livers of slaughtered birds.....	21
4.4.6 Extracted oil from Livers of slaughtered birds.	22
4.4.3 Cost of producing each feed.....	22

CHAPTER 5

5.0 CONCLUSIONS	24
Appendix	25
References	29

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	PAGE
3.1 Percent composition of ingredients in the Rations.....	9
3.2 Calculated Composition of Rations.....	10
4.1 Average body weights (in grams) of birds during the first trial.....	15.
4.2 Feed conversion ratios for the first week of first trial.....	17
4.3 Feed conversion ratios for the second week of first trial.....	17
4.4 Average feed intake, body weights and FCR for the strains.....	18
4.5 Average feed consumption (in grams) for the second trial.....	19
4.6 Average body weights (in grams) during the second trial.....	20
4.7 Feed conversion ratios for the second trial.....	20
4.8 Average breast muscles (in grams) of slaughtered birds.....	21
4.9 Average Liver weights (in grams) of birds slaughtered.....	21
4.10 Market price for each ingredient used in feed formulation.....	23

LIST OF FIGURES

GRAPH

4.1 Average feed consumption during the First trial.....	14
4.2 Average body weights during the first trial.....	16

ACRONMY

ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
CP	Crude protein
DCP	Dicalcium phosphate
FAO	Food Association Organization
FCR	Feed Conversion Ratio
Kcal	Kilo calories
ME	Metabolisable energy

